

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PREFACE TO THE THIRD EDITION	V
PREFACE TO THE SECOND EDITION	VII
PREFACE TO THE FIRST EDITION	IX
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS TO THE FIRST EDITION	XIII
TABLE OF FIGURES	XXXI
TABLE OF CASES	XXXV
Chapter 1. Defining Competition Policy for a Global Economy	1
A. “Harvest King”—The Lysine Cartel	6
United States v. Andreas	6
Sidebar 1–1: “Vitamins, Inc.”	14
B. Identifying the Core Questions of Antitrust Law	17
1. What Are the Purposes of Competition Law Systems?	17
a. What Economic Purposes Can They Serve?	18
Market Power and Its Consequences: The Case of the Coffee	
Shop	19
i. Demand	19
ii. Supply and Perfect Competition	23
iii. Market Power	28
iv. The Consequences of the Exercise of Market Power	30
v. The Benefits of Competition	32
b. What Non-Economic Purposes Can They Serve?	35
Sidebar 1–2: Non-Economic Values and Competition Policy ...	37
2. What Conduct, Public or Private, Can Impair the Proper	
Functioning of Markets?	41
a. What Do We Mean by “Anticompetitive” Conduct?	42
i. Introducing the Concept of “Antitrust Injury”	43
Brunswick Corporation v. Pueblo Bowl-O-Mat, Inc.	44
ii. Distinguishing Collusive from Exclusionary	
Anticompetitive Effects	47
JTC Petroleum Co. v. Piasa Motor Fuels, Inc.	51
b. Justifying Intervention: When Can Markets Be “Self-	
Correcting”?	55
3. What Forms Can Antitrust Systems Take?	59
a. The Structure of Legal Rules	61
b. Design of the Enforcement Mechanism	62
Sidebar 1–3: Ramifications of Decentralized Enforcement	63
c. Remedies	66
d. The Role of the Courts	67

4.	Which Ideas Influence the Answers to the Core Questions of Antitrust Policy?	68
a.	Changing Intellectual Foundations	68
b.	The Influence of Industrial Organization Economics	70
c.	The Chicago School of Antitrust	71
d.	The Harvard School of Antitrust	73
e.	Post-Chicago Antitrust Analysis, Game Theory, and Other Developments	75
f.	Behavioral Economics	77
C.	An Introduction to Economic Proof	78
1.	What Are the Effects of Business Conduct on Economic Welfare?	79
2.	How Can the Effects of Business Conduct on Economic Welfare Be Demonstrated?	84
	Sidebar 1–4: Economics and the Development of Legal Rules	85
	Bell Atlantic Corp. v. Twombly	89
D.	Conclusion	93
	Appendix to Chapter 1	93
	Chapter 2. Concerted Action by Competitors	101
A.	Historical Foundations: The Emergence of the Rule of Reason	102
1.	<i>Standard Oil</i> and the “Rule of Reason”	102
	Board of Trade of City of Chicago v. United States	104
2.	Abbreviated Application of the Rule of Reason: The Per Se “Rule”	111
	Note on Antitrust Law Developments During the Great Depression	113
	United States v. Socony-Vacuum Oil Co.	115
3.	Transitioning to Modern Economic Analysis: First Principles	123
	National Society of Professional Engineers v. United States	125
	Broadcast Music, Inc. v. Columbia Broadcasting System, Inc.	132
	Note on the Rule of Reason After National Society of Professional Engineers and Broadcast Music	141
B.	Evolution and Modern Implementation of the Rule of Reason	142
1.	Proving Anticompetitive Effects by Irrebuttable Presumption: Per Se Analysis	143
a.	Price Fixing	143
	Catalano, Inc. v. Target Sales, Inc.	143
	Sidebar 2–1: <i>Maricopa</i> as Seen Through the Marshall and Powell Papers	147
	Sidebar 2–2: Joint Venture Analysis and the Supreme Court’s Decision in <i>Dagher</i> (2006)	154
b.	Market Division	160
	Palmer v. BRG of Georgia	163
c.	Concerted Refusals to Deal (“Group Boycotts”)	165
	Sidebar 2–3: Use of the “Group Boycott” Label	167

Federal Trade Commission v. Superior Court Trial Lawyers Ass’n.....	170
Sidebar 2–4: Defining and Justifying Contemporary Per Se Analysis	181
2. Proving Anticompetitive Effects by Rebuttable Presumption Through Evidence of Actual Harm.....	184
National Collegiate Athletic Ass’n v. Board of Regents of the University of Oklahoma	185
Note on the Development of the “Quick Look”	196
California Dental Association v. Federal Trade Commission	199
Note on Antitrust and the Professions.....	215
3. Proving Anticompetitive Effects by Rebuttable Presumption Using Economic Reasoning.....	217
Polygram Holding, Inc. v. Federal Trade Commission	217
Sidebar 2–5: Revisiting <i>Addyston Pipe</i> and Ancillary Restraint Analysis	226
4. Proving Anticompetitive Effects by Rebuttable Presumption Using Circumstantial Evidence.....	230
Realcomp II, Ltd. v. FTC.....	231
Sidebar 2–6: The Contemporary Rule of Reason in Practice.....	246
C. Modern Challenges in Characterization and Application of the Rule of Reason	258
D. Conclusion.....	275
E. Problems	275
Problem 2–1: Mountain Medical	275
Problem 2–2: Midwest Truck Leasing	276
Chapter 3. Distinguishing Concerted from Unilateral Action	279
A. Antitrust’s Special Scrutiny of Collective Action	282
Note on the Rationale for Scrutinizing Concerted Action	285
Sidebar 3–1: Leniency Programs.....	287
B. The Modern Economics of Collusion	291
1. The Problems of Collusion and Their Solutions: Two Case Studies.....	293
Sidebar 3–2: Understanding Collusion as a Prisoner’s Dilemma	306
2. Incomplete Coordination.....	309
C. The Development of Traditional Conspiracy Law.....	311
1. Collusion in the Shadow of Antitrust: Coordination Strategies for the Firms and Proof Strategies for Plaintiffs.....	312
2. Conscious Parallelism or Agreement?.....	315
Interstate Circuit, Inc. v. United States	316
Sidebar 3–3: The Turner/Posner Debate on Conscious Parallelism	322
Matsushita Electric Industrial Co. v. Zenith Radio Corp.	327
Sidebar 3–4: <i>Matsushita</i> -Perspectives from the Marshall Papers.....	336

	Bell Atlantic Corp. v. Twombly.....	340
D.	Proving Agreement Through Circumstantial Evidence: “Parallelism Plus”	354
	1. Establishing Parallelism Plus	355
	American Tobacco Co. v. United States	356
	United States v. Foley	361
	2. Synthesizing the Plus Factors	366
	3. Integrating the Plus Factors into a Narrative.....	372
	4. Modern Trends in Inferring Agreement	378
	Sidebar 3–5: What Is an Agreement?.....	379
	In re Text Messaging Antitrust Litigation	383
	In re Text Messaging Antitrust Litigation	386
E.	Information Exchange and Other Facilitating Practices	396
	1. Information Exchange.....	397
	Williamson Oil Co., Inc. v. Philip Morris USA	403
	Note on Container Corp.: Information Sharing as an Independent Sherman Act Violation	413
	2. Unilateral Facilitating Practices: Invitations to Collude	418
	United States v. American Airlines, Inc.	419
	3. Other Unilateral Facilitating Practices	424
	Sidebar 3–6: Section 5 of the Federal Trade Commission Act	427
F.	Conclusion.....	432
G.	Problems and Exercises	432
	Problem 3–1: Durab	432
	Problem 3–2: Ice Machines	434
	Chapter 4. Exclusionary Conduct	437
A.	The Economics of Exclusion.....	445
	1. <i>Lorain Journal</i> Case Study	445
	Lorain Journal Co. v. United States	446
	2. The Modern Economics of Exclusion: Two Paradigms.....	451
B.	Historical Foundations: The “Power + Conduct” Framework	459
	1. The Enduring Influence of Judge Hand’s Decision in <i>Alcoa</i>	459
	United States v. Aluminum Co. of America.....	461
	Note on the Origins of Alcoa’s Market Share Benchmarks	468
	Sidebar 4–1: Learned Hand, <i>Alcoa</i> , and the Reluctant Application of the Sherman Act.....	475
	Sidebar 4–2: Durable Goods Monopoly—Three Economic Issues	478
	Sidebar 4–3: The Section 2 Conduct Standard After <i>Alcoa</i> — Flirting with No Fault.....	486
	2. Economic Analysis of Market Definition: The <i>Cellophane</i> Decision (and Fallacy)	489
	United States v. E. I. Du Pont De Nemours & Co.....	491
	Note on the Contribution of du Pont to Our Understanding of Market Definition	498
	Sidebar 4–4: The <i>Cellophane</i> Fallacy.....	500

C.	The Contemporary Law and Economics of Monopolization	504
1.	Non-Price Exclusionary Conduct.....	506
	Aspen Skiing Co. v. Aspen Highlands Skiing Corp.....	506
	Note on Kodak and the Economics of Market Power in Aftermarkets	522
	United States v. Microsoft Corp.	525
	Sidebar 4–5: The “Single Inference” Method for Inferring Anticompetitive Effects	545
	Note on Microsoft Prosecutions in the European Union.....	557
	Sidebar 4–6: The Role of Intent in Antitrust Analysis	559
2.	Predatory Pricing	566
	Brooke Group Ltd. v. Brown & Williamson Tobacco Corp.....	569
	Note on Weyerhaeuser and Predatory Overbuying	584
	Sidebar 4–7: The Economic Debate About Predatory Pricing: A Short History	586
	Sidebar 4–8: Should There Be a Unitary Standard for Judging Exclusionary Conduct?	596
3.	Concerted and Unilateral Refusals to Deal	600
a.	Foundation Cases: From <i>Eastern States</i> to <i>Klor’s</i>	602
b.	Contemporary Treatment of Exclusionary Concerted Refusals to Deal: <i>Northwest Wholesale Stationers</i> (1985) and <i>Visa</i> (2003)	607
	United States v. Visa U.S.A., Inc.....	612
	Sidebar 4–9: The Economics of Two-Sided Platforms with Network Effects.....	622
c.	Unilateral Refusals to Deal: <i>Trinko</i> (2004).....	625
	Verizon Communications Inc. v. Law Offices of Curtis V. Trinko, LLP	627
	Note on “Price Squeezes”: The Intersection of Brooke Group and Trinko	636
	Sidebar 4–10: The Status of Essential Facilities and Leveraging After <i>Trinko</i>	637
	Note on “Cheap Exclusion”	641
	Sidebar 4–11: Comparative Perspectives: The Treatment of Anticompetitive Single Firm Conduct in the U.S. and E.U.	642
	Note on Business Torts and the Antitrust Laws	646
4.	Pattern or Practice: Preparing a “Monopoly Broth”	647
D.	The Offense of Attempt to Monopolize.....	648
	Spectrum Sports, Inc. v. McQuillan	649
E.	Remedies	655
	Note on the Success of Conduct Remedies in Monopolization Cases....	655
	United States v. Microsoft Corp.	659
F.	Conclusion.....	666
G.	Problems and Exercises	667
	Problem 4–1: Amerinet	667
	Problem 4–2: Mountain Air	668

Chapter 5. Mergers and Acquisitions	671
A. A Primer on Merger Analysis in the U.S.....	672
1. Introduction to the Statutory Framework	672
2. Motives for Merger Among Rivals.....	674
3. Historical Perspectives on Merger Enforcement	678
B. Horizontal Mergers: The Emergence and Erosion of the Structural Paradigm.....	679
1. Guide to the Cases.....	679
2. The Emergence of the Structural Presumption.....	680
Brown Shoe Co. v. United States	680
United States v. Philadelphia National Bank	685
Note on Von's Grocery and Pabst Brewing	690
3. The Erosion of the Structural Presumption	692
United States v. General Dynamics Corporation	692
Note on the Structural Presumption and the 1982 Merger Guidelines	696
Sidebar 5-1: The Sound of Silence: The Supreme Court and Merger Policy Since 1975	697
United States v. Baker Hughes, Inc.....	700
Note on Buyer Power.....	709
Federal Trade Commission v. H.J. Heinz Co.	710
Sidebar 5-2: Inferring Market Power from Market Concentration: The Economics of the Structural Presumption.....	717
C. Horizontal Merger Analysis Under the DOJ/FTC Merger Guidelines	719
Sidebar 5-3: Pre-Merger Notification and the Merger Enforcement Process in the U.S.....	722
1. Market Definition, Market Participants, and Market Concentration (Horizontal Merger Guidelines §§ 4-5)	727
Note on Cross-Price Elasticity of Demand.....	730
a. Market Definition Under the Merger Guidelines.....	731
Note on Market Definition in Practice	735
United States v. H & R Block, Inc.	738
Note on Issues in Market Definition: Submarkets, Price Discrimination Markets, Cluster Markets, and Critical Loss Analysis.....	753
Sidebar 5-4: The Economics of Price Discrimination	760
b. Identifying Market Participants.....	764
c. Measuring Market Concentration	765
2. Competitive Effects	771
Note on Mergers That Enhance Buyer Market Power (Monopsony Power).....	773
a. Coordinated Competitive Effects	774
Sidebar 5-5: Criminal Cartel Prosecutions and Coordinated Competitive Effects.....	775

	Hospital Corporation of America v. Federal Trade Commission	780
	United States v. H & R Block, Inc.	788
	Sidebar 5–6: Mavericks and the Coordinated Competitive Effects of Mergers	789
	Sidebar 5–7: Competitor vs. Customer Complaints	795
b.	Unilateral Competitive Effects	799
	Note on the Economics of Unilateral Effects in Differentiated-Product Markets: The Merger of Crunchies and Fruities	803
	Sidebar 5–8: Methods of Quantifying Unilateral Effects in the Merger Guidelines	806
	Federal Trade Commission v. Staples, Inc.	811
	Note on the Merger Challenges in Oracle and H & R Block	824
	Note on Other Unilateral Effects Theories	826
	Sidebar 5–9: Comparative Perspectives: Merger Enforcement in the U.S. and E.U.	828
3.	Supply Substitution and Entry	832
	United States v. Waste Management, Inc.	833
	Note on Entry Analysis in the Merger Guidelines	838
	Sidebar 5–10: Defining and Proving Entry Conditions	842
	Sidebar 5–11: The Ethics of Taking Discovery from One Client in Connection with Another Client’s Proposed Merger.....	847
4.	Efficiencies	850
	Federal Trade Commission v. Staples, Inc.	853
	Note on Efficiencies and Consumer Welfare	854
	Note on Crediting Efficiencies in the Face of Anticompetitive Harm: Verification, Relatedness, and Pass-Through.....	858
5.	Failing Firms	860
	Sidebar 5–12: Beyond Horizontal and Vertical: Conglomerate Mergers and Potential Competition	861
	Sidebar 5–13: Merger Remedies	867
D.	Vertical Mergers.....	870
1.	Vertical Merger Analysis Before 1980	871
	Brown Shoe Co. v. United States	871
	Note on Ford Motor Co. v. United States (Autolite).....	876
	Sidebar 5–14: Vertical Integration and Transaction Cost Economics.....	877
2.	Vertical Merger Analysis Since 1980	880
	O’Neill v. Coca-Cola Co.	882
	Note on Eliminating Double Marginalization	890
E.	Conclusion.....	892
F.	Problems and Exercises	892
	Problem 5–1: Spotless	892
	Problem 5–2: Chic Shampoo	894
	Problem 5–3: Super Propane	895

Chapter 6. Anticompetitive Distribution Practices	897
A. Single Brand Price and Non-Price Restraints	902
1. Historical Foundations and Development	902
2. Abandoning Per Se Analysis: <i>Sylvania</i> and <i>Leegin</i>	904
Continental T.V., Inc. v. GTE Sylvania Incorporated	905
Sidebar 6–1: Dealer Relations After <i>Sylvania</i>	917
Leegin Creative Leather Products, Inc. v. PSKS, Inc.	923
Sidebar 6–2: After <i>Leegin</i> : The Law and Economics of RPM	937
3. Exclusive Distributors and Suppliers	952
E & L Consulting, Ltd. v. Doman Industries Ltd.	953
Note on the “Single Monopoly Profit” Theory	959
B. Exclusive Dealing, Tying and Related Practices	965
1. Historical Foundations and Development	967
Sidebar 6–3: Section 3 of the Clayton Act and the Role of	
“Incipiency”	974
Jefferson Parish Hospital District. No. 2 v. Hyde	976
Sidebar 6–4: The Per Se Rule, the Rule of Reason, and Tying—	
A View from the Marshall Papers	994
2. The Modern Law and Economics of Tying and Exclusive	
Dealing	996
a. Tying	996
United States v. Microsoft Corp.	996
Sidebar 6–5: The Economics of Tying	1005
Note on Brantley and the Continued Viability of	
Exploitative Theories of Competitive Harm for	
Tying	1011
b. Exclusive Dealing	1014
McWane, Inc. v. Federal Trade Commission	1015
Note on the Treatment of Exclusive Dealing in Gilbarco	1034
Sidebar 6–6: The Contemporary Economics of Exclusive	
Dealing	1040
Note on the Economic Effects of “Most Favored Nation”	
Provisions	1046
c. Conditional Pricing Practices	1051
ZF Meritor, LLC v. Eaton Corporation	1053
C. Price Discrimination and the Robinson-Patman Act	1078
Volvo Trucks North America, Inc. v. Reeder-Simco GMC, Inc.	1084
D. Conclusion	1089
E. Problems and Exercises	1090
Problem 6–1: Pomegranate Apparel	1090
Problem 6–2: Printing Products Corporation	1092
Chapter 7. Innovation, Intellectual Property, and the “New	
Economy”	1095
Sidebar 7–1: Antitrust Principles and the “New Economy”	1096

A.	Antitrust and Innovation	1107
	Note on Innovation and the Scope of Intellectual Property	
	Protection	1109
1.	History	1111
	a. The Rise and Fall of the “Nine No-Nos”	1111
	In the Matter of Xerox Corporation	1113
	SCM Corporation v. Xerox Corp.	1117
	b. Changing Times, Changing Attitudes: The Intellectual	
	Property Guidelines (1995)	1122
2.	Changing Technologies and Antitrust Institutions	1126
	Standard Oil Company (Indiana) v. United States	1128
	United States v. Singer Manufacturing Co.	1132
	Sidebar 7–2: Innovation, Technology, and Future Product	
	Markets	1139
B.	Collusive Agreements	1143
1.	Manipulation of Product Standards and Certifications	1144
	American Society of Mechanical Engineers, Inc. v. Hydrolevel	
	Corp.	1145
2.	Patent Pools and Cross Licensing	1148
	Patents for MPEG-2 Technology	1149
	Note on the Role of the PTO in Defining the Scope of Patent	
	Protection	1160
3.	Patent Settlements in the Pharmaceutical Industry	1161
	Note on the Hatch-Waxman Act and Early Pay-for-Delay	
	Cases.....	1162
	Federal Trade Commission v. Actavis, Inc.	1163
	Note on Rule of Reason Analysis of Reverse Payment	
	Settlements After Actavis	1175
	Sidebar 7–3: Product Hopping and Other Conduct That May	
	Delay Generic Entry	1179
4.	Mergers and Joint Ventures	1184
	a. Acquisition of Intellectual Property Rights (“IPRs”) and	
	the Role of “Blocking Patents”	1184
	Note on Acquisitions of IPRs by Patent Assertion	
	Entities	1186
	b. Suppression of Rival Innovation Through Acquisition	1187
C.	Exclusionary Conduct	1190
1.	Suppression of Rival Innovation by a Dominant Firm or	
	Firms	1190
	United States v. Microsoft Corp.	1193
	Allied Orthopedic Appliances, Inc. v. Tyco Healthcare Group	
	LP.....	1195
2.	Single Firm Licensing Practices	1208
	Note on Kodak and Refusals to License Intellectual Property	
	Rights	1211
	CSU, L.L.C. v. Xerox Corp.	1212

	Sidebar 7–4: Antitrust Liability for Unilateral Refusals to License Intellectual Property Rights.....	1218
3.	Concerns About Patent Holdup and Holdout	1223
	Broadcom Corp. v. Qualcomm Inc.	1226
	Note on the Treatment of FRAND-SEP Issues in Other Jurisdictions.....	1236
	Rambus Inc. v. Federal Trade Commission	1239
	Note on N-Data, Google, and Patent Holdup as an Unfair Method of Competition	1251
D.	Conclusion.....	1253
E.	Problems and Exercises	1253
	Problem 7–1: <i>In re Union Oil Co. of Cal. (“Unocal”)</i>	1253
	Problem 7–2: U.S. IP Guidelines Problem 9 (“Horizontal Restraints”).....	1254
	Problem 7–3: U.S. IP Guidelines Problem 11 (“Acquisitions of Intellectual Property Rights”).....	1255
 Chapter 8. Implementing Competition Policy Rules:		
	The Structure of Antitrust Enforcement	1257
A.	Defining the Boundaries of National Jurisdiction	1257
1.	Interstate Commerce Requirement.....	1258
	Summit Health, Ltd. v. Pinhas.....	1258
2.	Foreign Jurisdictional Barriers	1262
a.	Comity	1263
	Hartford Fire Insurance Co. v. California.....	1264
b.	The Substantial Effects Test: Sorting out the Relationship Between <i>Hartford Fire</i> and the FTAIA.....	1270
	F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd. v. Empagran S.A.	1271
c.	Act of State Doctrine	1282
d.	Foreign Sovereign Immunity	1282
	Sidebar 8–1: Suing OPEC	1283
	Sidebar 8–2: Paths to International Convergence.....	1288
B.	An Overview of the American System for Prosecuting and Adjudicating Antitrust Violations.....	1291
1.	National Prosecutors: The Department of Justice and the Federal Trade Commission.....	1291
	Sidebar 8–3: Detecting Antitrust Violations: The Role of Information Gathering	1294
2.	State Prosecutors: State Attorneys General and the Multistate Antitrust Task Force of the National Association of Attorneys General.....	1296
3.	Adjudication: The Role of the Courts	1299
	Sidebar 8–4: Antitrust and Arbitration	1300
C.	Antitrust Enforcement Under the U.S. Constitutional Scheme: Antitrust Federalism and Antitrust’s Relationship with the First Amendment	1303
1.	Federal Regulation	1304

2.	State Regulation	1306
	Federal Trade Commission v. Phoebe Putney Health System, Inc.	1310
	North Carolina State Board of Dental Examiners v. FTC.....	1319
	Note on Seminole Tribe, Sovereign Immunity and the Continued Vitality of the Parker Framework.....	1329
3.	Intervention by Municipal and Other Local Authorities	1332
4.	Preemption.....	1334
	Sidebar 8–5: Revisiting Immunities Based on Government Intervention—U.S. and Comparative Perspectives	1335
5.	Petitioning.....	1338
	Professional Real Estate Investors, Inc. v. Columbia Pictures Industries, Inc.	1341
D.	The Limited Role of Statutory Exemptions	1345
E.	Private Rights of Action	1347
1.	Injury to Business or Property	1350
2.	Antitrust Injury	1350
3.	Direct Purchasers	1351
	Illinois Brick Co. v. Illinois	1351
	Sidebar 8–6: The Aftermath of <i>Illinois Brick</i> : <i>ARC America</i> and the Emergence of Multi-Jurisdictional Antitrust Litigation.....	1358
4.	Standing	1362
	Associated General Contractors of California Inc. v. California State Council of Carpenters	1362
5.	Defenses Based on <i>in Pari Delicto</i> and Unclean Hands	1370
F.	Remedies for Antitrust Violations.....	1370
1.	Criminal Sanctions.....	1371
	United States v. F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd.	1372
2.	Civil Remedies	1376
	a. Lawsuits as Remedies	1377
	b. Judicially-Imposed Remedies	1378
	National Society of Professional Engineers v. United States	1380
	Sidebar 8–7: Federal Settlements, the Tunney Act and Statutory Preclusion Under Section 5 of the Clayton Act	1382
3.	The Private Treble Damages Remedy.....	1387
	a. Why “Treble” Damages?.....	1387
	Sidebar 8–8: The Economics of Penalties.....	1389
	Note on Disgorgement	1397
	b. Calculating Antitrust Damages.....	1400
	J. Truett Payne Co. v. Chrysler Motors Corp.	1401
	Conwood Co. v. United States Tobacco Co.	1405
	Sidebar 8–9: Legal Procedure and Settlement	1410
G.	Conclusion.....	1414

H. Problems and Exercises	1414
Problem 8–1: Sweet Co.	1414
Problem 8–2: Maverick Motors.....	1416
Appendix A. Selected Antitrust Statutes	1419
Appendix B. Selected Antitrust Resources on the Internet.....	1437
INDEX.....	1443