
TABLE OF CONTENTS

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS.....	III
TABLE OF CASES	XVII
Chapter 1. Introduction.....	1
A. The Framework of Legal Issues Raised by Basic Antitrust Economics	1
B. The Remedial Structure	11
1. An Overview of U.S. Antitrust Laws and Remedial Structure	11
2. An Overview of EU Competition Laws and Remedial Structure.....	52
3. A Brief Overview of Antitrust Laws and Remedies in Other Nations.....	79
Chapter 2. Which Horizontal Agreements Are Illegal?.....	83
A. Relevant Laws and Basic Legal Elements	83
1. Relevant U.S. Laws and General Legal Standards	84
2. Relevant EU Laws and General Legal Standards	88
3. Other Nations	96
B. Horizontal Price-Fixing	97
United States v. Trenton Potteries	97
Broadcast Music, Inc. (BMI) v. Columbia Broadcasting System	101
Arizona v. Maricopa County Medical Soc’y	115
Horizontal Price-Fixing Under EU Law.....	125
Polypropylene	126
Uniform Eurocheques	130
Agreements Fixing Other Trade Conditions	136
Other Nations’ Regulation of Horizontal Price-Fixing	136
C. Horizontal Output Restrictions.....	138
NCAA v. Board of Regents of Univ. of Oklahoma.....	139
Synthetic Fibres	150
Other Nations’ Regulation of Horizontal Output Restraints	159
D. Horizontal Market Divisions.....	161
Palmer v. BRG	161
EU Law on Horizontal Market Divisions	165
Soda-Ash-Solvay/ICI.....	166
Other Nations’ Regulation of Horizontal Market Divisions and Bid-Rigging.....	170
E. Horizontal Agreements Not to Deal with Particular Firms.....	170
1. Boycotts by Unrelated Rivals	171
Klor’s Inc. v. Broadway-Hale Stores, Inc.....	171
Fashion Originators’ Guild of Am. v. FTC.....	175
Pre-Insulated Pipe Cartel.....	181
2. Exclusions and Expulsions from a Productive Collaboration of Rivals	183
Associated Press v. United States.....	183
Northwest Wholesale Stationers v. Pacific Stationery	188

	NV IAZ International Belgium and others v. Commission (ANSEAU).....	194
	Other Nations' Regulation of Boycotts	197
F.	Are Social Welfare Justifications Admissible?.....	197
	National Society of Professional Engineers v. United States	198
	FTC v. Indiana Federation of Dentists.....	207
	FTC v. Superior Court Trial Lawyers Ass'n	213
	Countervailing Power and the Problem of the Second Best	218
	California Dental Ass'n v. FTC	222
	Burdens and Orders of Theory and Proof After <i>California Dental</i> ...	230
	Wouters.....	232
	Other Nations' Treatment of Social Welfare Justifications	238
	The Policy Relevance of Nonprofit Status.....	239
	The Legal Treatment of Nonprofits Under U.S. and EU Law	240
G.	Does Intellectual Property Law Justify an Anticompetitive Restraint?	242
	United States v. General Electric	243
	United States v. New Wrinkle, Inc.	248
	Sprl Louis Erauw-Jacquery v. La Hesbignonne Sc.	252
	FTC v. Actavis, Inc.....	254
	Summary of European Commission Decisions on Reverse-Payment	
	Patent Settlements	271
	Lundbeck v. Commission.....	274
	Other Nations' Treatment of the Antitrust-Intellectual Property Intersection.....	284
H.	Buyer Cartels	285
	Mandeville Island Farms v. American Crystal Sugar.....	285
	National Sulphuric Acid Association.....	290
	The EU Safe Harbor	297
	Other Nations' Regulation of Buyer Cartels	298
	Chapter 3. What Unilateral Conduct Is Illegal?	299
A.	Relevant Laws and Basic Legal Elements	299
	1. U.S. Laws and Legal Elements	299
	Robinson-Patman Act § 2, 15 U.S.C. § 13(a)	301
	2. EU Law and Legal Elements	304
	3. Other Nations.....	308
B.	The Power Element.....	311
	1. Economic and Legal Tests of Market Power Generally.....	312
	2. Legal Tests of Monopoly Power or a Dominant Position.....	320
	The Power Element in Other Nations	323
	3. Market Definition.....	324
	United States v. du Pont & Co. (The <i>Cellophane Case</i>)	325
	<i>du Pont</i> (The <i>Cellophane Case</i>) and Various Bases for Defining Markets	332
	U.S. DOJ/FTC, Horizontal Merger Guidelines	339
	Is Market Definition Necessary?.....	355
	United Brands v. Commission.....	357

Commission Notice on the Definition of the Relevant Market for the Purposes of Community Competition Law	360
Market Definition in Other Nations	370
4. Aftermarkets	371
Eastman Kodak v. Image Technical Servs.	371
C. Second Element: Anticompetitive Conduct	382
1. General Standards	383
a. The Conduct Element for Proving Monopolization Under U.S. Antitrust Law	383
b. The Conduct Element for Proving Abuse of Dominance Under EU Competition Law	385
Guidance on the Commission’s Enforcement Priorities in Applying [102 TFEU]	385
The Conduct Element in Other Nations	390
2. Predatory Pricing	391
a. Below-Cost Predatory Pricing	392
Brooke Group Ltd. (Liggett) v. Brown & Williamson Tobacco Corp.	392
The U.S. Conflict on the Proper Cost Measure	405
Elhauge, <i>Why Above-Cost Price Cuts to Drive out Entrants Do Not Signal Predation or Even Market Power—and the Implications for Defining Costs</i>	406
AKZO Chemie BV v. Commission	409
Post Danmark A/S v Konkurrencerådet	411
Guidance on the Commission’s Enforcement Priorities in Applying [102 TFEU]	416
Below-Cost Predatory Pricing in Other Nations	420
b. Above-Cost Predatory Pricing	421
Compagnie Maritime Belge Transps. SA v. Commission	422
Compagnie Maritime Belge Transps. SA v. Commission	424
United States v. AMR Corp.	428
Other Nations’ Treatment of Above-Cost Predatory Pricing Claims	432
3. Predatory Overpaying by a Monopsonist	433
Weyerhaeuser Co. v. Ross-Simmons Hardwood Lumber	433
Predatory Over-Paying by a Dominant Firm in Other Nations	438
4. Excessive Pricing	438
Verizon Comm. v. Law Offices of Curtis V. Trinko	438
United Brands v. Commission	439
The Economics of Price Discrimination	443
Excessive Pricing in Other Nations	448
5. Exclusions from Owned Property—Unilateral Refusals to Deal	449
Otter Tail Power Company v. United States	449
Should Natural Monopolies Be Immune from Monopolization Liability?	460
Aspen Skiing Co. v. Aspen Highlands Skiing Corp.	461

	Verizon Comm. v. Law Offices of Curtis V. Trinko.....	473
	Pacific Bell Telephone v. Linkline Communications	481
	Summary of U.S. Antitrust Refusal-to-Deal Doctrine.....	489
	The Application of U.S. Antitrust Duties to Deal to	
	Intellectual Property	491
	Radio Telefis Eireann (RTE) v. Commission of the European	
	Communities (Magill)	492
	Oscar Bronner GmbH & Co. KG v. Mediaprint Zeitungs und	
	Zeitschriftenverlag GmbH & Co., KG	496
	Microsoft v. Commission.....	501
	Guidance on the Commission's Enforcement Priorities in	
	Applying [102 TFEU]	508
	Deutsche Telekom v. Commission	513
	TeliaSonera.....	517
	Unilateral Refusals to Deal in Other Nations.....	521
D.	Causal Connection Between First and Second Elements	
	Required?.....	524
	Einer Elhauge, <i>Defining Better Monopolization Standards</i>	524
	Tetra Pak v. Commission	526
E.	Attempted Monopolization	532
	Lorain Journal v. United States	532
	United States v. American Airlines.....	535
	Spectrum Sports v. McQuillan.....	539
	Attempted Monopolization in Other Nations.....	543
Chapter 4. Vertical Agreements That Restrict Dealing with		
	Rivals.....	545
A.	Introduction.....	545
	EU Commission Regulation No 330/2010 on Vertical Agreements....	547
B.	Exclusive Dealing	549
	United States v. Griffith.....	555
	Standard Oil & Standard Stations v. United States	559
	FTC v. Motion Picture Advertising Service	568
	Cumulative Foreclosure	570
	Tampa Electric v. Nashville Coal	573
	United States v. Microsoft.....	579
	EU Guidelines on Vertical Restraints	588
	Guidance on the Commission's Enforcement Priorities in Applying	
	[102 TFEU]	599
	Exclusive Dealing in Other Nations	600
C.	Tying.....	602
	Jefferson Parish Hospital v. Hyde	617
	Eastman Kodak v. Image Technical Servs.....	633
	Illinois Tool Works Inc. v. Independent Ink, Inc.	642
	United States v. Microsoft.....	648
	EU Guidelines on Vertical Restraints	657
	Introduction to EU Cases on Tying as an Abuse of Dominance	659
	Eurofix-Bauco v. Hilti.....	659
	Tetra Pak II.....	663

Microsoft v. Commission.....	667
Guidance on the Commission’s Enforcement Priorities in Applying [102 TFEU]	676
Tying Doctrine in Other Nations	679
D. Loyalty and Bundled Discounts	680
The U.S. Lower Court Splits on Loyalty Discounts and Bundled Discounts	693
LePage’s Inc. v. 3M	694
Cascade Health Solutions v. PeaceHealth	702
Eisai, Inc. v. Sanofi Aventis U.S., LLC.....	715
Hoffmann-La Roche v. Commission.....	725
Damien Geradin, Loyalty Rebates after Intel: Time for the European Court of Justice to Overrule <i>Hoffman-La Roche</i>	729
Intel v. Commission	736
Post Danmark II, nry	746
Loyalty and Bundled Discounts in Other Nations.....	757

Chapter 5. Distorting Downstream Distribution of a Supplier’s

Products.....	759
A. Introduction.....	759
B. Intrabrand Distributional Restraints on Resale.....	763
1. Vertical Nonprice Restraints on Distribution	764
Continental T.V. v. GTE Sylvania	766
EU Law on Vertical Territorial Restraints	774
Consten and Grundig v. Commission	774
EU Commission Regulation No 330/2010 on Vertical Agreements	777
EU Guidelines on Vertical Restraints	778
Direct v. Indirect Market Partitioning	782
VW.....	783
GlaxoSmithKline v. Commission	784
Other Nations’ Treatment of Vertical Non-Price Restraints on Distribution	788
2. Vertical Maximum Price-Fixing.....	789
State Oil Co. v. Khan	791
EU Commission Regulation No 330/2010 on Vertical Agreements	798
EU Guidelines on Vertical Restraints	798
Other Nations’ Treatment of Vertical Maximum Price- Fixing	799
3. Vertical Agreements Fixing Minimum Resale Prices.....	799
Leegin Creative Leather Products v. PSKS, Inc.	800
EU Commission Regulation No 330/2010 on Vertical Agreements	821
EU Guidelines on Vertical Restraints	821
SA Binon & Cie v. SA Agence et Messageries de la Presse.....	825
Other Nations’ Treatment of Vertical Minimum Price-Fixing....	827

4.	Are Dual Distribution Agreements Vertical or Horizontal?.....	828
	EU Commission Regulation No 330/2010 on Vertical Agreements	831
	EU Guidelines on Vertical Restraints	832
C.	Price Discrimination That Arguably Distorts Downstream Competition	833
	Robinson-Patman Act § 2, 15 U.S.C. § 13.....	834
	FTC v. Morton Salt Co.....	835
	Other Robinson-Patman Act Provisions.....	842
	Damien Geradin and Nicolas Petit, <i>Price Discrimination under EC Law: The Need for a Case-by-Case Approach</i>	842
	British Airways PLC v. Commission	845
	Other Nations' Treatment of Price Discrimination	847
Chapter 6. Proving an Agreement or Concerted Action		851
A.	Are the Defendants Separate Entities?.....	851
	Copperweld Corp. v. Independence Tube Corp.	851
	Viho Europe BV v. Commission	860
	The Relevance of Agency Relations	862
	American Needle v. National Football League	864
	Single Entity Theory in Other Nations	877
B.	Standards for Finding a Vertical Agreement.....	878
	Monsanto Co. v. Spray-Rite Service Corp.	878
	Bundesverband der Arzneimittel-Importeure EV & Commission v. Bayer AG	886
	Finding a Vertical Distributional Agreement in Other Nations	891
C.	Standards for Finding a Horizontal Agreement or Concerted Action.....	892
1.	Parallel Conduct Equally Consistent with an Independent Motive	894
	Theatre Enterprises v. Paramount Film Distributing	894
	Matsushita Electric v. Zenith Radio	896
	Cement Manufacturers Protective Ass'n v. United States.....	903
	Compagnie Royale Asturienne Des Mines SA and Rheinzink GmbH v. Commission	906
2.	Parallel Conduct That Would Be Unprofitable if Not Engaged in by Other Firms.....	909
a.	When the Parallel Conduct Is Unlikely Without a Hidden Express Agreement	909
	Eastern States Retail Lumber Dealers' Ass'n v. United States.....	909
	American Tobacco v. United States	912
	Imperial Chemical Indus. Ltd. v. Commission (Dyestuffs).....	916
b.	When the Parallel Conduct Follows Common Invitations or Secret Meetings.....	923
	Interstate Circuit v. United States	923

3.	Parallel Conduct Consistent with Independent Incentives or Unavoidable Interdependence.....	929
	Bell Atlantic v. Twombly	929
	A. Ahlström Osakeyhtiö v. Commission (Woodpulp II).....	936
	Standards for Proving a Horizontal Agreement in Other Nations.....	942
4.	Agreements or Practices That Facilitate Cartels or Oligopolistic Coordination	943
	American Column & Lumber v. United States	945
	Maple Flooring Manufacturers Ass’n. v. United States	952
	United States v. Container Corp.....	959
	FTC v. Cement Institute.....	967
	Summary of U.S. Law on Horizontal Agreements and Facilitating Practices	976
	UK Agricultural Tractor Registration Exchange (UK Tractors).....	979
Chapter 7. Mergers and Acquisitions.....		985
A.	Introduction.....	985
B.	Horizontal Mergers	997
1.	Unilateral Effects	1002
	U.S. DOJ/FTC, Horizontal Merger Guidelines	1002
	Note on Diversion Ratios and Unilateral Effects.....	1015
	EU Guidelines on Horizontal Mergers	1016
	FTC v. Staples, Inc.....	1023
	U.S. Agency Enforcement Activity.....	1031
2.	Oligopoly Effects and Collective Dominance.....	1031
	U.S. DOJ/FTC, Horizontal Merger Guidelines	1031
	Qualitative v. Empirical Assessments	1036
	FTC v. H.J. Heinz Co.	1036
	Early EU Caselaw on Oligopolistic Coordination and Collective Dominance	1045
	Gencor Limited v. Commission	1046
	Airtours v. Commission	1047
	Proving That a Merger Would Worsen Oligopolistic Coordination	1060
	EU Guidelines on Horizontal Mergers	1061
	Merger Assessments in Other Nations.....	1066
3.	Post-Merger Entry	1069
	U.S. DOJ/FTC, Horizontal Merger Guidelines	1069
	FTC v. Staples, Inc.....	1072
	EU Guidelines on Horizontal Mergers	1075
	Airtours v. Commission	1077
	Post-Merger Entry Analysis in Other Nations	1078
4.	Efficiencies and Weighing the Equities	1079
	U.S. DOJ/FTC, Horizontal Merger Guidelines	1079
	EU Guidelines on Horizontal Mergers	1081
	Merger Efficiencies and Total v. Consumer Welfare	1085

	FTC v. H.J. Heinz Co.	1090
	How to Balance the Equities in Merger Cases.....	1098
	Other Nations' Treatment of Efficiencies.....	1099
	Commissioner of Competition v. Superior Propane Inc.	1099
5.	The Failing Firm Defense.....	1111
	International Shoe v. FTC.....	1111
	Citizen Publishing v. United States	1114
	U.S. DOJ/FTC, Horizontal Merger Guidelines	1118
	French Republic and Société commerciale des potasses et de l'azote (SCPA) and Entreprise minière et chimique (EMC) v. Commission (Commission v. France).....	1119
	BASF/Eurodiol/Pantochim	1122
	EU Guidelines on Horizontal Mergers	1123
	Treatment of Failing Firms in Other Nations	1124
6.	The Relevance of Buyer Power or Views	1125
	a. Mergers Between Buyers That Create Buyer Power.....	1125
	U.S. DOJ/FTC, Horizontal Merger Guidelines	1126
	EU Notice on the Definition of the Relevant Market for the Purposes of Community Competition Law.....	1127
	EU Guidelines on Horizontal Mergers.....	1128
	Kesko/Tuko	1129
	b. Should Mergers Between Sellers Be Deemed Constrained by Buyer Power?	1131
	U.S. DOJ/FTC, Horizontal Merger Guidelines	1131
	EU Guidelines on Horizontal Mergers.....	1132
	The Extent to Which Buyer Power Should Alter Assessments of Mergers That Otherwise Create Seller Market Power	1133
	Enso/Stora.....	1134
	Commissioner of Competition v. Superior Propane Inc.....	1138
	c. Should Buyer Views Alter Assessments of Mergers Between Sellers?	1139
	Enso/Stora.....	1139
	U.S. DOJ/FTC, Horizontal Merger Guidelines	1140
	Buyer Noncomplaints.....	1143
C.	Vertical Mergers	1145
	U.S. DOJ, Merger Guidelines	1148
	In the Matter of Cadence Design Systems, Inc.....	1152
	General Electric v. Commission.....	1162
	EU Guidelines on Non-Horizontal Mergers	1167
	Vertical Mergers in Other Nations	1181
D.	Conglomerate Mergers	1181
	U.S. DOJ, Merger Guidelines	1185
	U.S. DOJ/FTC, Horizontal Merger Guidelines	1187
	United States v. Marine Bancorporation	1190
	EU Guidelines on Horizontal Mergers	1197
	Guinness/Grand Metropolitan	1198
	Commission v. Tetra Laval BV	1204

When to Block a Merger Based on a Risk of Post-Merger Misconduct.....	1210
Damien Geradin and Nicolas Petit, Article 230 EC Annulment Proceedings Against Competition Law Decisions in the Light of the “Modernisation” Process.....	1212
General Electric v. Commission.....	1214
EU Guidelines on Non-Horizontal Mergers	1230
Conglomerate Mergers in Other Nations	1235
Chapter 8. Markets That Span Multiple Antitrust Regimes.....	1237
A. Introduction.....	1237
B. Extraterritorial Conduct Affecting Domestic Commerce	1241
Background on the Extraterritorial Application of U.S. Antitrust Statutes.....	1241
Hartford Fire Insur. v. California	1246
F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd. v. Empagran S.A.	1256
Background on the Extraterritorial Application of EU Competition Law	1270
Imperial Chemical Indus. Ltd. v. Commission (Dyestuffs).....	1273
A. Ahlström Osakeyhtiö v. Commission (Wood Pulp)	1275
The Application of EU Merger Law to Foreign Firms.....	1278
Gencor Ltd v. Commission	1279
The Treatment of Extraterritorial Conduct in Other Nations.....	1285
C. Special Treatment of Conduct Affecting Exports	1286
U.S. DOJ-FTC, Antitrust Guidelines for International Enforcement and Cooperation.....	1286
EU Law Regarding Exports	1289
Javico International and Javico Ag v. Yves Saint Laurent Parfums SA (YSLP).....	1290
Other Nations’ Antitrust Treatment of Exports	1293
D. The Trade-Antitrust Intersection	1293
Commissioner of Competition v. Superior Propane Inc.	1293
Introduction to the Tension Between Antitrust and Antidumping Law.....	1297
U.S. DOJ-FTC, Antitrust Enforcement Guidelines for International Operations.....	1298
Background on EU Antidumping Legislation.....	1302
Extramet Industrie SA v. Council	1303
Trade-Antitrust Intersection in Other Nations	1306
E. Anticompetitive Conduct Involving Foreign Sovereigns	1306
U.S. DOJ-FTC, Antitrust Guidelines for International Enforcement and Cooperation.....	1307
W.S. Kirkpatrick & Co. v. Environmental Tectonics.....	1312
Compagnie Maritime Belge NV v. Commission.....	1317
Compagnie Maritime Belge Transports SA v. Commission.....	1321
INDEX	1325