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The Twelfth Edition retains the basic structure of the earlier edition. However, it has been revised to reflect the impact of Supreme Court decisions pertinent to the first-year course, statutory amendments, changes to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, and trends in the lower courts that affect practice and professional norms, all since publication of the Eleventh Edition. We have prepared a Teacher’s Manual to accompany each version of the new edition. Those of you who previously taught using either version of the Eleventh Edition may wish to consult the charts we have prepared comparing the earlier pagination to that of the Twelfth and Compact Twelfth Editions.

Finally, we have prepared an errata sheet that may be given to your students. We regret these mistakes and ask you to alert us if you detect others.

As always, we welcome your comments and suggestions.

Attachments:

Errata Sheets

Charts Comparing Pagination of Twelfth and Eleventh Editions, and Pagination of Compact Twelfth and Compact Eleventh Editions
ERRATA

Page 140: The statement “Justice Kennedy delivered the opinion of the Court” should read “Justice Kennedy announced the judgment and delivered an opinion.”

Page 1034: The case name “Ranking v. Fidelity Ins., Trust & Safe Deposit Co.” in Note 1(b) should read “Rankin v. Fidelity Ins., Trust & Safe Deposit Co.”

Page 1051: The statement “Review Chapter 13, pp. 961–961, supra” should read “Review Chapter 13, p. 961, supra.”
ERRATUM

Page 95: The statement “Justice Kennedy delivered the opinion of the Court” should read “Justice Kennedy announced the judgment and delivered an opinion.”
Appendix: Comparison Chart—
Pagination of Twelfth and Eleventh Editions
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