

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS .....	V
-----------------------	---

## PART I. INTRODUCTION TO CONTRACT LAW

<b>Chapter 1. An Introduction to Contract Law: Sources of Contract Law, Definitions of Contract, Types of Contracts, and the Basic Components of Contracts.....</b>	<b>3</b>
A. Sources of Contract Law. [§ 1–1] .....	3
1. Common Law. [§ 1–2] .....	4
2. Treatises. [§ 1–3] .....	4
3. Restatement of Contracts. [§ 1–4].....	4
4. The Uniform Commercial Code. [§ 1–5] .....	5
5. The British Sales of Goods Act. [§ 1–6] .....	7
6. The American Uniform Sales Act. [§ 1–7] .....	7
7. The United Nations Convention on Contracts for the International Sales of Goods. [§ 1–8].....	7
B. Definition of a Contract. [§ 1–9].....	8
1. Restatement Definition. [§ 1–10] .....	8
2. UCC Definition. [§ 1–11] .....	9
3. Distinction Between Restatement and UCC Definitions. [§ 1–12] .....	9
C. Elements of a Contract. [§ 1–13].....	10
D. Types of Contracts. [§ 1–14].....	11
1. Unilateral Contracts. [§ 1–15].....	11
2. Bilateral Contracts. [§ 1–16] .....	11
3. Express Contracts. [§ 1–17].....	11
4. Implied-in-Fact Contracts. [§ 1–18].....	11
a. Example of <i>Wrench LLC v. Taco Bell Corp.</i> [§ 1–19] .....	12
5. Implied-in-Law Contracts or “Quasi-Contracts.” [§ 1–20] .....	12
a. Example. [§ 1–21].....	12

## PART II. MUTUAL ASSENT, OFFER, AND ACCEPTANCE

<b>Chapter 2. Mutual Assent.....</b>	<b>17</b>
A. Mutual Assent: Defined and Discussed. [§ 2–1] .....	17
1. Example of Mutuality of Obligation. [§ 2–2] .....	17
B. Mutual Assent Judged by Objective Theory of Contracts. [§ 2–3] .....	18
1. Elimination of “Meeting of the Minds.” [§ 2–4].....	19
a. Example of <i>Lucy v. Zehmer</i> . [§ 2–5] .....	19
2. Presumption Against Mutual Assent to Contract in Domestic or Social Situations. [§ 2–6] .....	20
a. Example of <i>Balfour v. Balfour</i> . [§ 2–7] .....	21

---

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

---

C.	Precise Time of Making of Contract Irrelevant for Determining Mutual Assent. [§ 2–8] .....	21
D.	Mutual Assent as to All Terms Not Required: Only as to Essential or “Material” Ones. [§ 2–9] .....	22
<b>Chapter 3. Offers</b> .....		<b>23</b>
A.	Offer: Defined and Discussed. [§ 3–1] .....	23
B.	Effect of Offer: Powers and Rights of Acceptance. [§ 3–2] .....	23
1.	The Right to Accept: Option Contracts. [§ 3–3] .....	24
a.	Example of <i>Beall v. Beall</i> . [§ 3–4] .....	24
2.	The Right to Accept: Merchants Firm Offer Under the UCC. [§ 3–5] .....	25
3.	Implied Unilateral Option Contract upon and Offeree’s Beginning of Performance in Response to an Unambiguous Offer to Enter into a Unilateral Contract. [§ 3–6] .....	25
4.	Implied Option Contract Based on Substantial Reliance. [§ 3–7] .....	25
C.	Test to Determine Whether an “Offer” Was Made: The Objective Theory of Contracts. [§ 3–8] .....	26
1.	Example of <i>Lonergan v. Scolnick</i> . [§ 3–9].....	26
2.	Distinguishing Offers from Other Types of Communications. [§ 3–10] .....	27
a.	Offer Must Contain Terms That Are Reasonably Certain. [§ 3–11].....	27
b.	Offer Distinguished from Statement of Future Intention. [§ 3–12].....	27
(1)	Example. [§ 3–13].....	27
c.	Offer Distinguished from Request for Price Quotation. [§ 3–14].....	28
(1)	Example. [§ 3–15].....	28
d.	Offer Distinguished from a Preliminary Negotiation or Invitation to Make an Offer. [§ 3–16] .....	28
(1)	Example. [§ 3–17].....	28
e.	Offer Distinguished from Advertisements/Catalogue Descriptions. [§ 3–18].....	29
(1)	Rationale for Rule. [§ 3–19] .....	29
(2)	Example. [§ 3–20].....	30
(3)	Example of <i>Lefkowitz v. Great Minneapolis Surplus Store</i> . [§ 3–21].....	30
(4)	Special Considerations for Some Kind of Catalogues. [§ 3–22] .....	31
f.	Offer Distinguished from Statements Made in Jest, in Anger, as an Opinion, in a Grumbling Manner, or While Intoxicated. [§ 3–23].....	31
(1)	Example. [§ 3–24].....	32
(2)	Example of <i>Hawkins v. McGee</i> . [§ 3–25] .....	32

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

---

D.	Types of Offers. [§ 3–26] .....	33
1.	Offer to Enter into a Unilateral Contract. [§ 3–27] .....	33
2.	Offer to Enter into a Bilateral Contract. [§ 3–28].....	33
3.	Ambiguous/Indifferent Offers. [§ 3–29] .....	33
a.	Significance of the Distinction Between Unilateral, Bilateral, and Ambiguous Contract Offers. [§ 3–30] .....	34
4.	Ambiguous Offers Under the UCC. [§ 3–31] .....	35
5.	“General” Contract or “Reward” Offers. [§ 3–32].....	35
E.	The Offeror Is the “Master of the Offer.” [§ 3–33] .....	35
F.	Special Rules Regarding Offers Made at Auctions. [§ 3–34] .....	36
1.	Auctions with Reserve. [§ 3–35].....	36
2.	Auctions Without Reserve. [§ 3–36].....	36
3.	Withdrawal of Bid. [§ 3–37].....	37
G.	Offers Under the CISG. [§ 3–38].....	37
H.	Exam Approach to Offer Issues. [§ 3–39] .....	37
<b>Chapter 4. Acceptance</b> .....		<b>39</b>
A.	Acceptance: Defined and Discussed. [§ 4–1] .....	39
B.	Effect of Acceptance. [§ 4–2].....	39
C.	Test to Determine Whether Acceptance Has Taken Place: The Objective Theory of Contracts. [§ 4–3] .....	39
1.	Example. [§ 4–4].....	40
2.	Cross-Offers. [§ 4–5] .....	40
D.	Who Is Entitled to Accept the Offer. [§ 4–6] .....	41
1.	Who Constitutes an “Offeree” Is Judged by the Objective Theory of Contracts. [§ 4–7] .....	41
2.	Power to Accept Is Not Generally Transferable. [§ 4–8] .....	41
a.	Example. [§ 4–9].....	41
b.	Exception: Right to Accept Under an Option Contract Is Generally Transferable. [§ 4–10] .....	42
c.	Example. [§ 4–11].....	42
3.	Special Problems Concerning Acceptance of “General” or “Reward” Offers. [§ 4–12] .....	42
a.	“General” or “Reward” Offers Can <i>Presumptively</i> Be Accepted by Only the First Person to Do the Required Acts. [§ 4–13] .....	43
(1)	Example. [§ 4–14] .....	43
(2)	Example. [§ 4–15] .....	43
(3)	Exception: General Offer Whose Conditions Make It Unlikely That an Unlimited Number of People Can Accept Is Effective as to All Who Meet Those Conditions. [§ 4–16].....	44
(a)	Example of <i>Carlill v. Carbolic Smoke Ball Co.</i> [§ 4–17] .....	44
b.	Offeree Must Know of General Offer in Order to Accept It. [§ 4–18].....	44
(1)	Example. [§ 4–19] .....	45

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

---

(2) Example. [§ 4-20].....	45
(3) Rationale for Rule That Offeree Must Know of Reward Offer to Accept It. [§ 4-21].....	45
(4) Police Officers and Other Governmental Workers. [§ 4-22].....	46
c. Revocation of General Offers: The “Equal Publicity Rule.” [4-23].....	46
(1) Example. [§ 4-24].....	47
d. Notice of Intent to Accept General Offer Not Required. [§ 4-25].....	47
(1) Example of <i>Carlill v. Carbolic Smoke Ball</i> . [4-26].....	47
E. When An Offer May Be Accepted: Duration of the Power of Acceptance. [§ 4-27].....	48
1. Acts and Events That Terminate an Offeree’s Power of Acceptance Under Revocable Offers. [§ 4-28].....	48
a. Termination of Revocable Offers Through Rejection and Counter-Offer by the Offeree. [§ 4-29].....	49
(1) Example. [§ 4-30].....	49
(2) Rejections and Counter-Offers Immediately Cut off Power of Acceptance Even if Offer Was to Be Held Open. [§ 4-31].....	50
(a) Example. [4-32].....	50
(b) Exception: When Offer Specifically States It Will Be Kept Open Despite Rejection or Counter-Offer. [§ 4-33].....	50
(3) Counter-Offer Need Not Explicitly Reject Offer. [§ 4-34].....	50
(4) Rejections and Counter-Offers Are Judged Under the Objective Theory of Contracts. [§ 4-35].....	51
(5) Common Law Rule: Counter-Offer Found if Purported Acceptance Differed from the Offer in the Slightest Respect. [§ 4-36].....	52
(6) Rejections and Counter-Offers Distinguished from Other Types of Communications. [§ 4-37].....	52
(a) “Neutral Comments.” [§ 4-38].....	52
(b) Mere “Inquiries” or Preliminary Negotiations. [§ 4-39].....	53
(c) Requests for Modification. [§ 4-40].....	53
(d) “Grumbling” Acceptances. [§ 4-41].....	53
(e) “Intention to Take the Offer Under Further Advisement.” [§ 4-42].....	53
(7) When a Rejection Is Effective. [§ 4-43].....	54
b. Termination of the Power of Acceptance in Revocable Offers Through Lapse of Time. [§ 4-44].....	54
(1) Determination of “Reasonable Time.” [§ 4-45].....	54

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

---

(2)	Common Presumptions About What Constitutes “Reasonable Time.” [§ 4-46] .....	55
(a)	Offers Made in Direct Negotiations: Reasonable Time Terminates at End of Negotiation. [§ 4-47] .....	55
(b)	Offers in Letters: Acceptance Timely if Made on the Day of Receipt, Otherwise Acceptance Transmitted in the Same Medium as the Offer Is Timely. [§ 4-48] .....	55
(c)	Offers in Telegram, Overnight Delivery, Facsimile, Text, or E-Mail: Indications That Acceptance Must Be Expedited to Be Timely. [§ 4-49] .....	56
(d)	Offers Dealing with Price-Volatile Subject Matter: Acceptances Usually Need to Be Expedited to Be Effective. [§ 4-50] .....	56
(i)	Example. [§ 4-51] .....	56
(3)	Interpretation of Ambiguous Time Provisions in an Offer. [§ 4-52] .....	57
(4)	Effect of Delayed Transmission of Offer. [§ 4-53] .....	57
(5)	When an Acceptance Is Effective. [§ 4-54] .....	57
c.	Termination of Offers by Revocation. [§ 4-55] .....	58
(1)	Revocation Defined. [§ 4-56] .....	58
(2)	Revocations Are Judged Under the Objective Theory of Contracts. [§ 4-57] .....	58
(3)	General Rule: To Be Effective, a Revocation Must Be Transmitted by the Offeror to the Offeree. [§ 4-58] .....	58
(a)	Exception: The Indirect Revocation Doctrine. [§ 4-59] .....	59
(i)	Example of <i>Dickinson v. Dodds</i> . [§ 4-60] .....	59
(ii)	Rationale for the Indirect Revocation Doctrine. [§ 4-61] .....	60
(b)	Exception: Revocation of General Offers via “The Equal Publicity Rule.” [§ 4-62] .....	60
(c)	Revocations Are Typically Effective Only upon Receipt. [§ 4-63] .....	60
d.	Traditional Rule: Termination of Offeree’s Power to Accept a Revocable Offer upon Death or Incapacity of Offeror. [§ 4-64] .....	61
(1)	Criticism of Traditional Rule. [§ 4-65] .....	61
(2)	Example. [§ 4-66] .....	61
e.	Termination of Offeree’s Power to Accept upon Death or Incapacity of the Offeree. [§ 4-67] .....	62

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

---

f.	Termination of Offeree’s Power to Accept by Non-Occurrence of an <i>Implied</i> Condition: Death or Destruction of a Person or Thing Essential for Performance (Other than the Offeror or Offeree). [§ 4–68].....	62
g.	Termination of Offeree’s Power of Acceptance by Non-Occurrence of an <i>Implied</i> Condition: Supervening Illegality. [§ 4–69] .....	62
	(1) Example. [§ 4–70].....	63
h.	Termination of Offeree’s Power of Acceptance of Revocable Offers by the Non-Occurrence of an <i>Express</i> Condition of Acceptance Specified in the Offer. [§ 4–71].....	63
2.	Acts and Events That Terminate an Offeree’s Power of Acceptance Under Irrevocable Offers. [§ 4–72].....	63
a.	The Four Situations in Which an Offer Will Be Deemed “Irrevocable.” [§ 4–73] .....	64
	(1) Option Contracts: Defined and Discussed. [§ 4–74].....	64
	(a) Example. [§ 4–75] .....	64
	(b) Effect of Option Contract: Elevating the Offeree’s <u>Power</u> to Accept to the Offeree’s <i>Right to Accept</i> . [§ 4–76] .....	65
	(c) Option Contracts and “Purported” Consideration. [§ 4–77] .....	65
	(2) Merchant’s Firm Offer. [§ 4–78] .....	66
	(a) Example. [§ 4–79] .....	66
	(3) Making Unambiguous Unilateral Contract Offers Temporarily Irrevocable Under Restatement 2d § 45. [§ 4–80].....	67
	(a) The “Brooklyn Bridge” Hypothetical. [§ 4–81].....	68
	(b) Problems Associated with Restatement § 45’s Approach in Making a Unilateral Contract Offer Temporarily Irrevocable. [§ 4–82].....	68
	(i) Problems Associated with “Beginning Performance,” “Tender Performance,” or “Tender the Beginning of Performance.” [§ 4–83] .....	69
	(ii) Fairness of Making the Option Contract Unilateral Only. [§ 4–84] .....	70
	(4) Making Certain Contract Offers Temporarily Irrevocable by Substantial Reliance Under Restatement 2d § 87(2). [§ 4–85].....	71
	(a) Example of <i>Drennan v. Star Paving Co.</i> [§ 4–86].....	72

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

---

b.	Acts and Events That Terminate the Power of Acceptance Even Under Irrevocable Offers. [§ 4-87] ....	73
(1)	Example. [§ 4-88].....	74
(2)	Example. [§ 4-89].....	74
(3)	Example. [§ 4-90].....	74
(4)	Example. [§ 4-91].....	74
F.	How an Offer May Be Accepted: Permissible Methods or Modes of Acceptance. [§ 4-92] .....	75
1.	General Rule Regarding Acceptance of an Offer Which Unambiguously Specifies Method of Acceptance: The Offeree Must Follow the Directions of the Offeror. [§ 4-93] .....	75
2.	General Rules Regarding Acceptance of an Offer Which Does Not Explicitly Specify the Method of Acceptance: Ambiguous or Indifferent Offers: It May Be Accepted in Any Reasonable Manner. [§ 4-94] .....	76
a.	Example of <i>Hamer v. Sidway</i> . [§ 4-95].....	76
b.	Review Problem. [§ 4-96] .....	76
3.	Special Rules for Offer to Purchase Goods for Prompt Shipment Under UCC: The Offeror May Promise Shipment, or Actually Ship. [§ 4-97].....	77
4.	Special Problems When Beginning Performance Is Intended to Act as an Acceptance. [§ 4-98].....	77
a.	Beginning Performance in Response to an Unambiguous Offer for Unilateral Contract. [§ 4-99].....	77
b.	Beginning Performance in Response to an Unambiguous Offer for Bilateral Contract. [§ 4-100] ...	77
c.	Beginning Performance in Response to an Ambiguous or Indifferent Offer. [§ 4-101] .....	78
(1)	Treatment of Beginning Performance in Response to an Ambiguous or Indifferent Offer. [§ 4-102] ....	78
(a)	Example. [§ 4-103] .....	78
(2)	Necessity of Giving Notice to Offeror When Beginning Performance Validly Acts as Acceptance. [§ 4-104] .....	79
(a)	Exceptions: When an Offeree Need Not Give Notice. [§ 4-105] .....	79
(3)	Effect of Failing to Give Notice. [§ 4-106] .....	80
5.	Ordering Goods for Prompt Shipment: The “Unilateral Contract Trick” Under the UCC. [§ 4-107] .....	81
a.	Accommodation Shipments. [§ 4-108] .....	82
6.	Notice Problems in Acceptance Under Unilateral Contracts. [§ 4-109] .....	82
a.	Example. [§ 4-110].....	82
b.	Example of <i>Carlill v. Carbolic Smoke Ball</i> . [§ 4-111] ...	83

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

7.	Acceptance by Silence or Inaction. [§ 4-112]	83
a.	Silent Acceptance of Services. [§ 4-113]	83
(1)	Example. [§ 4-114]	84
b.	Silent Acceptance at Direction of Offeror. [§ 4-115]	84
c.	Silence Can Act as a Valid Acceptance Because of Previous Conduct. [§ 4-116]	84
d.	Silent Acceptance of Property by Acting Inconsistently with Owner's Interest. [§ 4-117]	84
G.	Posting Problems: The Mailbox Rule. [§ 4-118]	85
1.	Offers Effective upon Receipt. [§ 4-119]	85
2.	Acceptances Effective on Dispatch. [§ 4-120]	86
a.	Communication Must Be Properly Addressed and Deliverable. [§ 4-121]	86
b.	Acceptance by the Mode of Communication Used Must Be an Authorized Mode of Acceptance. [§ 4-122]	86
c.	Offeror Loses Power to Revoke After a Properly Dispatched Acceptance. [§ 4-123]	87
d.	Special Mailbox Rules Regarding Acceptances Under Option Contracts. [§ 4-124]	87
(1)	Example. [§ 4-125]	87
3.	Rejections Effective upon Receipt. [§ 4-126]	88
4.	Revocations Effective upon Receipt Under Majority Rule. [§ 4-127]	88
5.	Effect of First Sending a Rejection, Followed by an Acceptance. [§ 4-128]	88
6.	Effect of First Sending an Acceptance, Followed by a Rejection. [§ 4-129]	88
7.	Graphic Summary of Mailbox Rule. [§ 4-130]	88
H.	Exam Approach to Acceptance Issues. [§ 4-131]	89
<b>Chapter 5. When the "Acceptance" Varies from the Offer: The "Mirror Image" and "Last Shot" Rules and UCC § 2-207</b>		
<b>§ 2-207</b> ..... 91		
A.	Common Law View: The "Mirror Image" Rule. [§ 5-1]	92
B.	Unfairness of the Mirror Image Rule. [§ 5-2]	92
1.	Example of Unfairness Where One Party Doesn't Perform. [§ 5-3]	92
2.	Example of Unfairness Where the Parties Perform: The "Last Shot" Doctrine. [§ 5-4]	93
C.	UCC § 2-207: An Overview. [§ 5-5]	94
D.	Analysis of § 2-207 Problems. [§ 5-6]	94
1.	First Step: Do the Parties Have a Contract Based on Their Writings Under § 2-207(1)? [§ 5-7]	95
a.	First Sub-Step: Does the Offeree's Document Act as a "Definite and Seasonable Expression of Acceptance?" [§ 5-8]	95
(1)	Example. [§ 5-9]	96



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

---

b.	Second Sub-Step: Does the Offeree’s Document “Expressly Make [Acceptance] Conditional on [the Offeror’s] Assent to the Additional or Different Terms”? Herein the “Special Language.” [§ 5–10] .....	96
(1)	Example. [§ 5–11] .....	97
(2)	Example of the Incorrect Approach Taken by a Court Under § 2–207(1): <i>Roto-Lith v. F.P. Bartlett &amp; Co.</i> [§ 5–12] .....	98
(3)	Examples of the Correct Approach Under § 2–207(1): <i>Dorton v. Collins &amp; Aikman Corp</i> and <i>C. Itoh &amp; Co. v. Jordan International.</i> [§ 5–13] .....	99
2.	Second Step: If the Parties Have a Contract Based on Their Exchanged Writings Under § 2–207(1), Then § 2–207(2) Governs What Terms Make up the Contract. [§ 5–14] .....	99
a.	If Either Party Is a Non-Merchant, the Offeror’s Terms Control. [§ 5–15] .....	100
b.	If Both Parties Are Merchants, the Offeree’s Terms Control <i>Unless</i> One of the Three § 2–207(2) Exceptions Apply. [§ 5–16] .....	100
(1)	Example. [§ 5–17] .....	100
(2)	Example. [§ 5–18] .....	101
(3)	Example. [§ 5–19] .....	101
(4)	Example. [§ 5–20] .....	101
c.	The Curious Absence of “Different” Terms Under § 2–207(2). [§ 5–21] .....	102
(1)	Example. [§ 5–22] .....	103
3.	Third Step: If No Contract Is Formed Under § 2–207(1) Based on the Exchanged Writings, an Implied-in-Fact Contract May Be Formed by the Parties’ Conduct Under § 2–207(3); if So, the “Knockout” Rule of § 2–207(3) Will Determine Which Terms Become Part of the Contract. [§ 5–23] .....	105
a.	The “Knockout” Rule. [§ 5–24] .....	105
4.	When the Additional or Different Terms Are Found in a “Confirmation” Instead of an Acceptance. [§ 5–25] .....	106
a.	The Effect of a “Confirmation” Containing Additional or Different Terms. [§ 5–26] .....	106
(1)	Review Problem. [§ 5–27] .....	107
E.	Practical Differences Between the Common Law and UCC § 2–207. [§ 5–28] .....	108
F.	Exam Approach to § 2–207 Issues. [§ 5–29] .....	108
1.	Example. [§ 5–30] .....	109
2.	Example. [§ 5–31] .....	111
G.	Analysis Under Revised UCC. [§ 5–32] .....	112
H.	The Restatement View. [§ 5–33] .....	112
I.	“Battle of the Forms” Under the CISG. [§ 5–34] .....	113

---

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

---

<b>Chapter 6. The Indefiniteness Doctrine</b> .....	<b>115</b>
A. The Indefiniteness Doctrine: Defined and Discussed. [§ 6-1] ....	115
1. Rationales for the Indefiniteness Doctrine. [§ 6-2] .....	115
a. Example. [§ 6-3].....	116
2. Types of Indefiniteness Cases. [§ 6-4].....	116
a. Example Where Parties Have Not Agreed to a Term. [§ 6-5].....	117
b. Example Where an Agreed upon Term Is Too Ambiguous to Be Enforced: <i>Varney v. Ditmars</i> . [§ 6-6].....	117
c. Example When There Is an “Agreement to Agree.” [§ 6-7].....	117
3. Indefiniteness Distinguished from Failure to Make a Contract. [§ 6-8].....	117
4. Indefiniteness Doctrine Only Applies to Essential Terms. [§ 6-9] .....	118
B. Common Law Rule on Indefiniteness. [§ 6-10] .....	118
C. Modern Rule on Indefiniteness. [§ 6-11].....	118
1. The UCC Approach to Indefiniteness: The Gap Fillers. [§ 6-12] .....	119
a. Gap Fillers Are NOT Effective Unless an Otherwise Enforceable Contract Has Been Formed. [§ 6-13] .....	119
b. Gap Fillers ARE Effective When the Parties Make Agreements to Agree. [§ 6-14] .....	120
c. Gap Fillers Are NOT Effective if the Parties Make a Specific Agreement to the Contrary. [§ 6-15] .....	120
2. Specific Gap Fillers Under the UCC. [§ 6-16] .....	120
a. Gap Filler as to Price: Reasonable Price. [§ 6-17] .....	120
b. Gap Filler Regarding Mode of Delivery: Delivery in a Single Lot. [§ 6-18] .....	120
c. Gap Filler Regarding Place of Delivery: The Seller’s Place of Business. [§ 6-19] .....	121
d. Gap Filler Regarding Time of Delivery: Reasonable Time. [§ 6-20] .....	121
e. Gap Filler Regarding Time of Payment: Payment Due at Time and Place of Delivery. [§ 6-21] .....	121
f. No Gap Fillers Regarding Subject Matter of the Contract or Quantity. [§ 6-22] .....	121
3. The Restatement Approach to the Indefiniteness Doctrine. [§ 6-23] .....	122
a. Agreements to Agree in Non-UCC Transactions. [§ 6-24].....	122
D. Part Performance as a Cure for Indefiniteness. [§ 6-25] .....	123
E. Usage of Trade, Course of Dealing, and Course of Performance as Cures for Indefiniteness. [§ 6-26] .....	124
F. Indefiniteness Under the CISG. [§ 6-27] .....	124
G. Exam Approach to Indefiniteness Issues. [§ 6-28].....	125

---

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

---

### PART III. CONSIDERATION AND ITS “SUBSTITUTES” (WITH A SPECIAL TREATMENT OF MODIFICATIONS)

<b>Chapter 7. Consideration</b> .....	<b>129</b>
A. The Consideration Doctrine. [§ 7–1].....	129
B. Definitions of Consideration. [§ 7–2].....	130
1. The “Will” Theory. [§ 7–3].....	130
2. The “Benefit/Detriment” Theory. [§ 7–4].....	130
a. Example: Analysis of a Unilateral Contract Using the “Benefit/Detriment” Theory. [§ 7–5].....	131
b. Example: Analysis of a Bilateral Contract Using the “Benefit/Detriment” Theory. [§ 7–6].....	131
3. The Restatement’s “Bargain” Theory. [§ 7–7].....	131
a. Example: Analysis of a Unilateral Contract Using the “Bargain” Theory. [§ 7–8].....	132
b. Example: Analysis of a Bilateral Contract Using the “Bargain” Theory. [§ 7–9].....	132
4. Modern Contract Law’s Acceptance of the Benefit/ Detriment and Bargain Theories of Consideration. [§ 7–10].....	132
5. Criticism of Consideration Definitions. [§ 7–11].....	133
C. Types of Consideration in Unilateral Contracts Under the Restatement’s Bargain Theory. [§ 7–12].....	133
1. Example of an “Act” Serving as Consideration. [§ 7–13].....	134
2. Example of “Forbearance” to Serve as Consideration: <i>Hamer v. Sidway</i> . [§ 7–14].....	134
3. Example of “Destruction of a Legal Relationship” as Consideration. [§ 7–15].....	134
4. Example of “Modification of a Legal Relationship” as Consideration. [§ 7–16].....	134
5. Example of No Consideration Making Agreement Unenforceable. [§ 7–17].....	135
D. Types of Consideration in Bilateral Contracts Under the Restatement’s Bargain Theory. [§ 7–18].....	135
1. Example. [§ 7–19].....	135
E. The Return Promises or Performances by the Promisee Can Be Valid Consideration Even if Given to a Third Party. [§ 7–20].....	136
1. Example. [§ 7–21].....	136
F. Transactions Without Consideration Due to the Lack of a Bargained for Exchange. [§ 7–22].....	136
1. Gratuitous or Gift Promises. [§ 7–23].....	137
a. Traditional Rule: Gift Promises Are Unenforceable Because They Are Not Supported by Consideration. [§ 7–24].....	137
(1) Example. [§ 7–25].....	137

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

---

(2) Completed Gift Not Affected by Lack of Consideration. [§ 7-26] .....	137
(3) Acts “Incidental” to a True Gift Promise Are Insufficient Consideration to Enforce the Promise. [§ 7-27] .....	137
(a) Example. [§ 7-28] .....	138
(b) Example of <i>Kirksey v. Kirksey</i> . [§ 7-29] .....	138
2. “Past” Consideration (or “Moral Obligation”). [§ 7-30] .....	139
a. Traditional Rule: “Past” Consideration and Moral Obligation Have the Same Effect as “Gift Promises”— They Are Insufficient to Make the Promise Enforceable. [§ 7-31] .....	139
(1) Example of <i>Mills v. Wyman</i> . [§ 7-32] .....	140
b. Modern Rule: “Past” Consideration and Moral Obligation Can Make <i>Some</i> Promises Enforceable. [§ 7-33] .....	140
(1) Gift Promises Made Enforceable by Past Consideration Under Restatement 2d § 86. [§ 7-34] .....	140
(a) Example of <i>Webb v. McGowin</i> . [§ 7-35] .....	141
(b) Example of <i>Mills v. Wyman</i> . [§ 7-36] .....	141
(c) Review Problem. [§ 7-37] .....	142
(2) Promises to Pay Debts Made Unenforceable Under the Statute of Limitations or by Bankruptcy Under Restatement §§ 82 and 83. [§ 7-38] .....	142
(a) Example. [§ 7-39] .....	143
3. Unsolicited Actions Are Insufficient to Act as Consideration. [§ 7-40] .....	143
a. Example. [§ 7-41] .....	143
G. Specific Types of Transactions Raising Consideration Issues. [§ 7-42] .....	144
1. Transaction in Which the Consideration of One Party Is Worth Substantially Less than the Other: The “Peppercorn” Theory of Consideration. [§ 7-43] .....	144
a. Inadequate Consideration May Be Evidence of Fraud, Duress, or Undue Influence. [§ 7-44] .....	144
b. Inadequate Consideration May Be Evidence of “Sham” Consideration. [§ 7-45] .....	145
(1) Example. [§ 7-46] .....	145
2. Illusory Promises. [§ 7-47] .....	145
a. Traditional Rule: Contracts with Personal Satisfaction Clauses Were Not Enforceable. [§ 7-48] .....	146
b. Modern Rule: The Implied Duty of Good Faith and Fair Dealing Renders Contracts with Personal Satisfaction Clauses Enforceable. [§ 7-49] .....	146

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

---

	(1) Example. [§ 7-50].....	146
	(2) Exception: “Sale on Approval” and “Sale or Return” Contracts. [§ 7-51].....	147
c.	“Exclusive Dealing” Contracts. [§ 7-52].....	147
	(1) Example. [§ 7-53].....	148
	(2) Example of Exclusive Dealing Contract: <i>Wood v. Lucy, Lady Duff Gordon</i> . [§ 7-54].....	148
	(3) Example Based on <i>Kubik v. J &amp; R Foods of Oregon</i> . [§ 7-55].....	149
d.	“Requirements” and “Output” Contracts. [§ 7-56].....	149
	(1) Example. [§ 7-57].....	150
	(2) Requirements and Output Contracts Probably Have No Implied Floor, but Do Have an Implied Ceiling. [§ 7-58].....	151
	(3) If a Target Quantity Is Specified in an Output or Requirements Contract. [§ 7-59].....	152
e.	Contracts with Expressly Conditional Promises: Such Contracts Are Enforceable Unless the Condition Is Within the Unfettered Discretion of the Promisor. [§ 7-60].....	152
	(1) Example. [§ 7-61].....	153
	(2) Example. [§ 7-62].....	153
	(3) Example: Aleatory Promises. [§ 7-63].....	153
	(4) Even if the Occurrence of a Condition Is to <i>Some</i> Extent Within the Discretion of the Promisor, the Promise Is Nonetheless Enforceable if Any Restriction on the Promisor’s Discretion Can Be Implied. [§ 7-64].....	153
	(a) Example. [§ 7-65].....	154
f.	Special Illusory Promise Problems Regarding Termination-at-Will Clauses. [§ 7-66].....	154
	(1) Traditional Rule: Contracts with Termination-at-Will Clauses Were Deemed Illusory and Hence Unenforceable. [§ 7-67].....	154
	(a) Example: <i>Miami Coca-Cola Bottling Co. v. Orange Crush Co.</i> [§ 7-68].....	154
	(2) Modern Rule: Contracts with Termination-at-Will Clauses Are Probably Enforceable. [§ 7-69].....	155
	(3) The UCC Approach to Terminable-at-Will Contracts: The Reasonable Notification Requirement Avoids Most Illusory Promise Issues. [§ 7-70].....	156
3.	Modification of Existing Agreements: The Pre-Existing Duty Rule. [§ 7-71].....	156

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

---

a.	The General Common Law Rule: “New” Consideration Is Required for an Enforceable Modification. [§ 7-72].....	156
	(1) Example of the Extortionist. [§ 7-73] .....	157
	(2) Example of the Profiteer. [§ 7-74].....	158
	(3) Example of the “Dishonest Compromiser.” [§ 7-75].....	158
b.	Restatement 2d § 73: The Pre-Existing Duty Rule. [§ 7-76].....	158
	(1) “Getting Around” the Pre-Existing Duty Rule. [§ 7-77].....	159
	(2) Example. [§ 7-78].....	159
	(3) Example. [§ 7-79].....	160
	(4) Example of <i>Foakes v. Beer</i> . [§ 7-80].....	160
c.	Exceptions to the Pre-Existing Duty Rule. [§ 7-81] ....	161
	(1) Modifications Enforceable Without Consideration Under the UCC. [§ 7-82].....	162
	(2) Modifications Enforceable Without Consideration in Light of Unanticipated Circumstances. [§ 7-83].....	162
	(3) Modifications Enforceable Without Consideration if Statutorily Permitted. [§ 7-84].....	163
	(4) Modifications Enforceable Without Consideration in Light of Material Reliance. [§ 7-85].....	163
d.	The Pre-Existing Duty Rule and Public Officials and Other Governmental Workers. [§ 7-86] .....	163
	(1) Example. [§ 7-87].....	164
4.	Settlement of Claims Based on Incorrect Information. [§ 7-88] .....	164
a.	Example Based on <i>Fiege v. Boehm</i> . [§ 7-89] .....	165
5.	Purported, but Unperformed, Consideration Is Not Effective Consideration. [§ 7-90] .....	165
a.	Exception: Purported Consideration Sufficient for Option Contracts. [§ 7-91].....	166
6.	Voidable Promises Can Serve as Valid Consideration. [§ 7-92] .....	166
a.	Example. [§ 7-93].....	166
H.	Exam Approach to Consideration Issues. [§ 7-94] .....	166
<b>Chapter 8. Promissory Estoppel and the Seal .....</b>		<b>169</b>
A.	The Promissory Estoppel Doctrine. [§ 8-1].....	169
B.	Promissory Estoppel Is Not a “Substitute” for Consideration. [§ 8-2] .....	169
C.	Elements of Promissory Estoppel Under the Second Restatement. [§ 8-3].....	170

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

---

1.	Example of <i>Ricketts v. Scothorn</i> . [§ 8–4] .....	170
2.	Difference Between Promissory Estoppel Under the First and Second Restatement of Contracts. [§ 8–5] .....	171
D.	Types of Promises Made Enforceable Under Promissory Estoppel. [§ 8–6].....	171
1.	Gift Promises. [§ 8–7] .....	171
2.	Oral Promises to Convey Land. [§ 8–8] .....	172
3.	Charitable Subscriptions. [§ 8–9] .....	172
a.	Example. [§ 8–10].....	172
4.	Offers That Induce Foreseeable Reliance of a Substantial Nature Become Irrevocable. [§ 8–11].....	172
a.	Example. [§ 8–12].....	173
b.	Offers by Sub-Contractors. [§ 8–13].....	173
5.	Actions Taken in Reliance on Promises Made in Preliminary Negotiations. [§ 8–14].....	174
a.	Example of <i>Hoffman v. Red Owl Stores</i> . [§ 8–15] .....	174
E.	Remedies When a Promise Is Enforced Under Promissory Estoppel. [§ 8–16].....	175
1.	Example. [§ 8–17].....	175
2.	Example of <i>Hoffman v. Red Owl Stores</i> . [§ 8–18] .....	175
F.	Exam Approach to Promissory Estoppel Issues. [§ 8–19] .....	176
G.	The Common Law Seal. [§ 8–20] .....	176
 <b>Chapter 9. Modifications (with an Emphasis on UCC § 2–209) .....</b>		
<b>177</b>		
A.	Modification, Generally. [§ 9–1].....	177
1.	The Concern Regarding Modifications. [§ 9–2] .....	177
B.	Modification Under the UCC. [§ 9–3] .....	178
1.	Modifications Under the UCC Are Enforceable Even Without Consideration. [§ 9–4] .....	179
a.	Example. [§ 9–5].....	179
2.	An Oral Modification Is Unenforceable Under the UCC if the Parties Have Agreed to a “No Modification Except by Signed Writing” Clause. [§ 9–6] .....	179
3.	The Contract, as Modified, Must Satisfy the Statute of Frauds to Be Enforceable Under the UCC. [§ 9–7].....	180
a.	Example. [§ 9–8].....	180
b.	Example. [§ 9–9].....	181
4.	A Modification That Is Unenforceable Because It Is Not in Writing Can Still Operate as an Enforceable Waiver Under the UCC. [§ 9–10] .....	181
a.	The First Interpretation of How § 2–209(4) Operates: The Only Way a No Modification Except in Writing Clause, or the Statute of Frauds, May Be “Waived” Is by Written Agreement of the Parties Specifically Waiving the Clause or Applicability of the Statute. [§ 9–11].....	182

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

---

b.	The Second Interpretation of How § 2–209(4) Operates: An Attempted Oral Modification Acts as an Implied Waiver of Both a No Modification Except in Writing Clause and the Statute of Frauds. [§ 9–12].....	182
c.	The Third Interpretation of How § 2–209(4) Operates: The Terms of a Bilateral Oral Modification Are Inadmissible, but Evidence of One Party’s Unilateral Waiver Is Admissible upon a Showing of Good Faith. [§ 9–13].....	183
d.	The Fourth Interpretation of How § 2–209(4) Operates: Evidence of the Modification Is Freely Admissible if the Party Seeking to Establish the Modification Can Show Reliance on the Modified Agreement. [§ 9–14].....	184
e.	Example of <i>Wisconsin Knife Works v. National Metal Crafters</i> . [§ 9–15].....	185
5.	Retraction of Waivers in Modifications Controlled by the UCC. [§ 9–16].....	186
a.	Example. [§ 9–17].....	187
6.	Party Proposing the Modification Must Act in Good Faith in Order for a Modification Under a UCC Agreement to Be Enforceable. [§ 9–18].....	187
a.	Example. [§ 9–19].....	187
C.	Modifications at Common Law and Under the Restatement. [§ 9–20].....	188
1.	“No Modification Except in Writing” Clauses and Statute of Frauds Concerns Are Not Applicable to Oral Modifications Governed by Common Law Rules. [§ 9–21].....	188
2.	Restatement Rules. [§ 9–22].....	188
a.	Instances Where Restatement Allows <i>Some</i> Modifications to Be Enforceable Without Consideration. [§ 9–23].....	188
b.	Restatement Rule Requires Statute of Frauds to Be Satisfied for the Contract, as Modified. [§ 9–24].....	189
c.	Difference Between Modification and Rescission of Prior Agreement Followed by Entry into New Contract. [§ 9–25].....	189
D.	Graphic Summary of UCC and Common Law Rules Regarding Modification. [§ 9–26].....	190
E.	Modification Under the CISG. [§ 9–27].....	190
F.	Distinguishing Modification from the Parol Evidence Rule. [§ 9–28].....	190
1.	Example. [§ 9–29].....	191
G.	Exam Approach to Modification Issues. [§ 9–30].....	191



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

---

### PART IV. “VOIDABILITY” AND DEFENSES TO CONTRACT FORMATION

<b>Chapter 10. The Statute of Frauds</b> .....	<b>195</b>
A. The Statute of Frauds Doctrine. [§ 10–1] .....	195
1. Purposes of the Statute. [§ 10–2] .....	195
2. Effect of the Statute Applying. [§ 10–3] .....	196
B. Major Types of Cases Covered by the Statute. [§ 10–4] .....	196
1. Nomenclature Under the Statute of Frauds Doctrine. [§ 10–5] .....	197
C. Type One: Contracts for the Transfer of an Interest in Land. [§ 10–6] .....	197
1. The Transfer of Virtually All Interests in Land, Except Licenses, Are Covered by the Statute. [§ 10–7] .....	198
a. “Transfer of Interest in Land” Includes Option Contracts. [§ 10–8] .....	198
b. Promises to Pay in Return for a Present Conveyance Are Outside the Statute. [§ 10–9] .....	198
2. Satisfying the Statute. [§ 10–10] .....	198
a. The “Merger” Doctrine. [§ 10–11] .....	199
3. Reasonable Reliance by the Purchaser Also Makes a Promise to Transfer an Interest in Land Enforceable: The Part Performance Exception. [§ 10–12] .....	199
a. Example. [§ 10–13] .....	199
D. Type Two: Contracts Which, by Their Terms, Cannot Be Performed Within a Year of Their Making. [§ 10–14] .....	200
1. It Is Only When, by Its Own Terms, Completed Performance of a Promise Is <i>Impossible</i> Within a Year of Its Making That the Statute Applies. [§ 10–15] .....	200
a. Example. [§ 10–16] .....	200
b. Example. [§ 10–17] .....	200
c. Example. [§ 10–18] .....	201
2. Split of Opinion as to Whether Contracts Terminable Within a Year Are Within the Statute. [§ 10–19] .....	201
3. The Full Performance Exception: Complete Performance by One Party Takes the Contract Outside the Statute. [§ 10–20] .....	201
a. Part Performance Does Not Take the Contract Outside the Statute. [§ 10–21] .....	202
4. Satisfying the Statute in a Contract Which, by Its Terms, Cannot Be Performed Within a Year. [§ 10–22] .....	202
a. Merger Doctrine Applies. [§ 10–23] .....	203
E. Type Three: Contracts Made in Consideration of Marriage. [§ 10–24] .....	203
1. Pre-Nuptial Agreements. [§ 10–25] .....	203

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

---

F.	Type Four: Contracts Where One Party Acts as Surety for Another. [§ 10–26].....	203
1.	Definition of Surety. [§ 10–27] .....	204
a.	Example. [§ 10–28].....	204
2.	Where the Supposed Surety Is Primarily, Not Secondarily, Liable, No Suretyship Exists and the Contract Is Outside the Statute. [§ 10–29] .....	204
a.	Example. [§ 10–30].....	204
3.	The “Main Purpose” or “Leading Object” Exception. [§ 10–31] .....	205
a.	Example. [§ 10–32].....	205
b.	Example. [§ 10–33].....	205
4.	Satisfying the Statute in Suretyship Contracts. [§ 10–34] .....	205
G.	Type Five: Contracts for the Sale of Goods for \$500 or More Under UCC § 2–201. [§ 10–35] .....	206
1.	Structure of UCC § 2–201. [§ 10–36] .....	206
2.	Satisfying the Statute Under § 2–201(1). [§ 10–37] .....	207
a.	Example. [§ 10–38].....	207
b.	Typical Problems Under § 2–201(1). [§ 10–39] .....	208
(1)	Divisible Contracts. [§ 10–40] .....	208
(2)	Decision to Limit Enforcement of Agreement to Less than \$500. [§ 10–41] .....	208
(3)	A Contract Cannot Be Enforced Beyond the Quantity Shown in the Writing. [§ 10–42] .....	208
(4)	A Contract Containing No Formal “Signature” Can Nonetheless Fulfill the “Signed by” Requirement. [§ 10–43].....	209
3.	Satisfying the Statute Under § 2–201(2): The Merchant’s Confirmatory Memorandum. [§ 10–44] .....	209
a.	Example. [§ 10–45].....	209
b.	Effect on the Recipient of a Valid Merchant’s Confirmatory Memorandum. [§ 10–46] .....	210
(1)	Example. [§ 10–47].....	210
c.	Effect of Objecting Within Ten Days. [§ 10–48] .....	211
d.	Effect of Either Party Not Being a Merchant. [§ 10–49].....	211
e.	Effect of the Confirming Memorandum Not Satisfying § 2–201(1) Against the Sender. [§ 10–50].....	211
4.	Satisfying the Statute Under § 2–201(3). [§ 10–51] .....	212
a.	Specially Manufactured Goods. [§ 10–52] .....	212
b.	Admission. [§ 10–53].....	212
c.	Performance. [§ 10–54] .....	213
(1)	Part Performance. [§ 10–55].....	213
(a)	Example Based on <i>Allied Grape Growers v.</i> <i>Bronco Wine Co.</i> [§ 10–56] .....	213

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

---

d. Review Problem. [§ 10–57] .....	214
H. Enforcement of Oral Promises in Contracts Within the Statute, Other than Transfer of Land Interests, by Reliance and Estoppel. [§ 10–58] .....	214
1. Example Based on <i>Allied Grape Growers v. Bronco Wine Co.</i> [§ 10–59] .....	215
I. The Statute as Applied to Modifications of Existing Contracts. [§ 10–60] .....	215
J. Consequence of Not Satisfying the Statute of Frauds. [§ 10–61] .....	216
1. Example. [§ 10–62] .....	216
2. Example. [§ 10–63] .....	216
3. Restitution Required upon Disaffirmance. [§ 10–64] .....	217
K. Mnemonic Summary. [§ 10–65] .....	217
L. Exam Approaches to Statute of Frauds Issues. [§ 10–66] .....	217
<b>Chapter 11. Capacity</b> .....	<b>219</b>
A. Capacity, Generally. [§ 11–1] .....	219
B. Incapacity Due to Infancy/Minority. [§ 11–2] .....	219
1. Example. [§ 11–3] .....	219
2. Ratification of a Contract Entered into by a Minor. [§ 11–4] .....	220
a. Express Ratification. [§ 11–5] .....	220
b. Implied-in-Fact Ratification. [§ 11–6] .....	220
c. Ratification by Silence: Implied-in-Law Ratification. [§ 11–7] .....	220
3. Restitution upon Disaffirmance of Contracts Entered into by Minors. [§ 11–8] .....	221
a. Majority Rule Regarding Treatment of the Economic Benefit Received by the Minor While in Possession of the Goods: No Restitutionary Recovery Permitted in Credit Sales. [§ 11–9] .....	221
(1) Minority View: The “New Hampshire” Rule Allows for Restitutionary Recovery in Some Situations Even in Credit Sales. [§ 11–10] .....	222
b. Treatment of the Economic Benefit Received by the Minor While in Possession of the Goods or Services: Restitutionary Recovery Permitted in Cash Sales. [§ 11–11] .....	222
c. Treatment of the Economic Benefit Received by the Minor While in Possession of the Goods or Services: Restitutionary Recovery Permitted in Contracts for “Necessities,” Even in Credit Sales. [§ 11–12] .....	223
d. Treatment of the Economic Benefit Received Procured by the Minor via Misrepresentation of Age by Minor. [§ 11–13] .....	223
(1) Example. [§ 11–14] .....	223

---

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

---

C.	Incapacity Due to Mental Infirmity. [§ 11–15] .....	224
1.	Rules When a Guardian Has Been Appointed Due to “Mental Illness or Defect.” [§ 11–16] .....	224
2.	Rules When a Party Lacks the Mental Capacity to Contract Under Either the “Cognition” or “Acts” Tests. [§ 11–17] .....	225
a.	Avoidability Under the “Cognition” Test. [§ 11–18].....	225
b.	Avoidability Under the “Volition” or “Acts” Test. [§ 11–19].....	225
(1)	Example of <i>Ortelere v. Teacher’s Retirement Board</i> . [§ 11–20] .....	225
c.	Ratification of Contracts Entered into by Individuals with Mental Incapacity Under the “Cognition” or “Acts” Tests. [§ 11–21] .....	226
d.	Limitation on Avoidability of Contracts Entered into by Individuals with Mental Incapacity Under the “Cognition” or “Acts” Tests. [§ 11–22].....	226
(1)	Example. [§ 11–23].....	227
3.	Rules When an Individual Temporarily Lacks Mental Capacity Due to Intoxication from Alcohol or Other Drug Use. [§ 11–24].....	227
a.	Restatement Rule. [§ 11–25] .....	227
(1)	Example. [§ 11–26].....	227
b.	Majority Rule. [§ 11–27] .....	228
D.	Exam Approach to Incapacity Issues. [§ 11–28] .....	228
	<b>Chapter 12. Mistake and Misunderstanding.....</b>	<b>229</b>
A.	The Mistake Doctrine. [§ 12–1].....	229
B.	Definition of “Mistake.” [§ 12–2].....	229
1.	Erroneous Belief as to the Future Is Not a “Mistake.” [§ 12–3] .....	229
2.	Mistake of Law Can Be a Mistake of Fact. [§ 12–4] .....	229
3.	“Mistake” Distinguished from “Misunderstanding.” [§ 12–5] .....	230
C.	Unilateral and Mutual Mistake. [§ 12–6] .....	230
D.	Mutual Mistake Defined. [§ 12–7] .....	230
1.	Elements Necessary to Establish Mutual Mistake. [§ 12–8] .....	230
a.	The Mistake Must Be as to a “Basic Assumption” on Which the Contract Was Made. [§ 12–9] .....	231
b.	The Mistake Must Have a “Material Effect” on the Agreed Transaction. [§ 12–10].....	231
c.	The Party Seeking to Avoid the Contract Must Not Bear the Risk of Making the Mistake. [§ 12–11] .....	231
2.	Examples Applying Mutual Mistake Principles. [§ 12–12] .....	232
a.	Example of <i>Sherwood v. Walker</i> . [§ 12–13] .....	232

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

---

b.	Example of <i>Wood v. Boynton</i> . [§ 12–14] .....	233
(1)	Conscious Ignorance. [§ 12–15] .....	233
c.	Example of Land Sales. [§ 12–16] .....	234
3.	Limitation on When a Party May Void a Contract Based on Mutual Mistake: Reasonable Time After Discovery. [§ 12–17] .....	234
4.	Effect of Mutual Mistake. [§ 12–18] .....	234
a.	Restitution Required if Contract Avoided on the Basis of Mutual Mistake. [§ 12–19] .....	234
5.	Review Problem. [§ 12–20] .....	234
E.	Unilateral Mistake Defined. [§ 12–21] .....	235
1.	Elements Necessary to Establish Unilateral Mistake. [§ 12–22] .....	235
a.	Example of Mistaken Bid Cases. [§ 12–23] .....	236
b.	Example of Mistaken Payment Cases. [§ 12–24] .....	237
2.	Limitation on When a Party May Void a Contract Based on Unilateral Mistake: A Reasonable Time After Discovery. [§ 12–25] .....	237
3.	Effect of Unilateral Mistake. [§ 12–26] .....	237
a.	Restitution Required upon Avoidance of Contract Entered into Under Unilateral Mistake. [§ 12–27] .....	237
F.	The “Misunderstanding” Doctrine. [§ 12–28] .....	238
1.	Distinguishing “Misunderstanding” from “Mistake.” [§ 12–29] .....	238
2.	Effect of Misunderstanding. [§ 12–30] .....	238
a.	Example of <i>Raffles v. Wichelhaus</i> . [§ 12–31] .....	238
b.	Example. [§ 12–32] .....	239
c.	Example. [§ 12–33] .....	239
G.	Exam Approach to Mistake and Misunderstanding Issues. [§ 12–34] .....	239
<b>Chapter 13. Duress</b> .....		<b>241</b>
A.	The Problem of Duress. [§ 13–1] .....	241
B.	Duress by Physical Compulsion. [§ 13–2] .....	241
C.	Duress by “Improper” Threat. [§ 13–3] .....	242
1.	What Constitutes an “Improper” Threat? [§ 13–4] .....	242
2.	Improper Threat When Terms of the Exchange Appear Fair. [§ 13–5] .....	242
a.	Example. [§ 13–6] .....	243
b.	Example. [§ 13–7] .....	243
c.	Example. [§ 13–8] .....	243
d.	Example. [§ 13–9] .....	244
3.	Improper Threat Where Terms of the Exchange Appear Unfair. [§ 13–10] .....	244
a.	Example. [§ 13–11] .....	244
b.	Example. [§ 13–12] .....	244
c.	Example. [§ 13–13] .....	245

---

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

---

d. Review Problem: Economic Duress. [§ 13–14] .....	245
D. Restitution Recoverable upon Avoidance of Contract for Duress. [§ 13–15] .....	245
E. Exam Approach to Duress Issues. [§ 13–16].....	246
<b>Chapter 14. Undue Influence .....</b>	<b>247</b>
A. The Undue Influence Doctrine. [§ 14–1] .....	247
B. Elements Necessary to Establish Undue Influence. [§ 14–2] .....	247
1. The First Element: A “Special Relationship.” [§ 14–3].....	247
2. The Second Element: Improper Persuasion. [§ 14–4].....	248
C. Restitution Recoverable upon Avoidance of the Contract for Undue Influence. [§ 14–5] .....	248
D. Exam Approach to Undue Influence Issues. [§ 14–6].....	249
<b>Chapter 15. Misrepresentation .....</b>	<b>251</b>
A. The Misrepresentation Doctrine. [§ 15–1] .....	251
B. Types of Misrepresentation. [§ 15–2] .....	251
C. Effect of Misrepresentation. [§ 15–3] .....	252
D. “Void” Contracts Resulting from Fraud in the <i>Factum</i> . [§ 15–4] .....	252
1. Example. [§ 15–5].....	252
E. Elements Necessary to Establish Fraud in the Inducement. [§ 15–6] .....	253
1. Misrepresentation of “Fact.” [§ 15–7] .....	253
a. Distinguishing “Fact” from “Opinion.” [§ 15–8] .....	253
(1) Exceptions When Even a Statement of “Opinion” Can Serve as the Basis for a Misrepresentation Claim. [§ 15–9].....	254
(a) Example. [§ 15–10] .....	254
b. Distinguishing “Existing Fact” from “Prediction of Future Events.” [§ 15–11].....	255
c. Distinguishing “Fact” from “Statement of Intention”: The Difference Between Ordinary Breach and Misrepresentation. [§ 15–12].....	255
(1) Example. [§ 15–13].....	255
d. Distinguishing “Fact” from “Puffing” or “Trade Talk.” [§ 15–14].....	256
e. When a Party’s Silence Can Act as a Factual Misrepresentation: The Duty to Disclose. [§ 15–15]....	257
(1) Example. [§ 15–16].....	258
(2) Example. [§ 15–17].....	258
(3) Example. [§ 15–18].....	258
(4) Example. [§ 15–19].....	259
(5) Example. [§ 15–20].....	259

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

---

f.	Silence Does Not Act as an Actionable Misrepresentation When the Parties Are Dealing at “Arm’s Length” and None of the Exceptions Apply. [§ 15–21].....	260
2.	The Misrepresentation Must Be Either <i>Fraudulent</i> or <i>Material</i> . [§ 15–22].....	260
a.	Definition of “Fraudulent.” [§ 15–23].....	260
(1)	Example. [§ 15–24].....	261
(2)	Example. [§ 15–25].....	261
(3)	Example. [§ 15–26].....	261
b.	Definition of “Material.” [§ 15–27].....	262
(1)	Example. [§ 15–28].....	262
3.	The Misrepresentation Must Induce Actual Reliance. [§ 15–29].....	262
4.	The Reliance Must Be Reasonable. [§ 15–30].....	262
a.	Example. [§ 15–31].....	263
b.	Example. [§ 15–32].....	263
F.	Limitation on Voidability of Contract Based on Misrepresentation: The Claim Must Be Asserted Within a Reasonable Time After Discovery. [§ 15–33].....	263
G.	Restitution Required upon Avoidance of Contract Based on Misrepresentation. [§ 15–34].....	263
H.	Exam Approach to Misrepresentation Issues. [§ 15–35].....	264
	<b>Chapter 16. Unconscionability</b> .....	<b>265</b>
A.	The Unconscionability Doctrine. [§ 16–1].....	265
B.	Unconscionability Applies to UCC and Non-UCC Transactions. [§ 16–2].....	265
C.	Effect of Unconscionability Under Modern Contract Law. [§ 16–3].....	266
1.	Effect of Unconscionability Under Common Law. [§ 16–4].....	266
D.	Elements Necessary to Establish Unconscionability Under Modern Contract Law. [§ 16–5].....	267
1.	Definition of “Procedural” Unconscionability. [§ 16–6].....	267
2.	Definition of “Substantive” Unconscionability. [§ 16–7].....	267
3.	Some Combination of Both Procedural and Substantive Unconscionability Is Necessary for Application of the Doctrine: The “Sliding Scale” Test. [§ 16–8].....	267
a.	<i>Williams v. Walker-Thomas Furniture Co.</i> [§ 16–9].....	268
(1)	Criticism of, and Additional Facts Relevant to, <i>Williams v. Walker-Thomas Furniture Co.</i> [§ 16–10].....	269
4.	Unconscionability Applies to Conditions Present at the Time of Making the Contract. [§ 16–11].....	271
5.	Note on Adhesion Contracts. [§ 16–12].....	271

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

---

E.	Unconscionability Not Limited to Consumer Transactions. [§ 16–13] .....	271
F.	Unconscionability Applied to Clauses Which Limit Remedies or Disclaim Warranties. [§ 16–14] .....	271
G.	Exam Approach to Unconscionability Issues. [§ 16–15].....	272
<b>Chapter 17. “Illegality” or Contracts “Against Public Policy” .....</b>		
<b>273</b>		
A.	Illegality Discussed. [§ 17–1] .....	273
B.	Definition of “Illegal” Contracts. [§ 17–2] .....	273
C.	Common Types of “Illegal” Contracts. [§ 17–3] .....	273
1.	Agreements for the Performance of Criminal Acts. [§ 17–4] .....	274
2.	Gambling Contracts. [§ 17–5] .....	274
3.	Contracts Obtained by Bribery. [§ 17–6].....	274
a.	Example. [§ 17–7].....	274
4.	Contracts in Which a Party Releases Another from Tort Liability. [§ 17–8] .....	274
a.	Example. [§ 17–9].....	274
5.	Agreements for Services Provided by Parties Who Should Be, but Are Not, Licensed. [§ 17–10] .....	275
a.	Example. [§ 17–11].....	275
b.	Example. [§ 17–12].....	275
6.	Agreements in Which the Seller Knows of Buyer’s Illegal Purpose. [§ 17–13].....	275
a.	Example. [§ 17–14].....	275
b.	Example. [§ 17–15].....	276
c.	Example. [§ 17–16].....	276
D.	General Rule: If an “Illegal” Agreement Is Wholly Executory, Neither Party Can Enforce It. [§ 17–17] .....	276
1.	Exception: Ignorance of Facts at Time Contract Made. [§ 17–18] .....	276
a.	Example. [§ 17–19].....	276
2.	Exception: Statutes Designed to Protect a Particular Class. [§ 17–20] .....	277
a.	Example. [§ 17–21].....	277
E.	When “Illegal” Agreements Are Performed: Parties Are Generally Left as the Court Finds Them. [§ 17–22].....	277
1.	Exception: The <i>In Pari Delicto</i> Doctrine. [§ 17–23] .....	277
a.	Example of “ <i>in pari delicto</i> ” in action: <i>Bateman Eichler v. Berner</i> . [§ 17–24] .....	277
2.	Exception: The <i>Locus Poenitentiae</i> Doctrine. [§ 17–25].....	278
F.	Divisibility and Severability. [§ 17–26] .....	278
G.	Exam Approach to Illegality Issues. [§ 17–27] .....	279



---

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

---

**PART V. THE PAROL EVIDENCE  
RULE AND INTERPRETATION**

<b>Chapter 18. The Parol Evidence Rule</b> .....	<b>283</b>
A. The Parol Evidence Rule Generally. [§ 18-1] .....	283
1. The Real Problem Giving Rise to the Parol Evidence Rule. [§ 18-2].....	283
2. Definition of “Parol Evidence.” [§ 18-3] .....	284
B. Statement of, and Steps to Analyze, the Parol Evidence Rule. [§ 18-4] .....	284
C. Integration of a Document Under the Parol Evidence Rule. [§ 18-5] .....	285
1. “Partial” and “Total” Integration: Defined. [§ 18-6].....	285
2. Effect of Classification as a “Partially” or “Totally” Integrated Contract. [§ 18-7].....	286
3. How to Determine Whether an Agreement Is Partially or Totally Integrated. [§ 18-8].....	286
a. Williston’s “Four Corner” Test to Determine Integration. [§ 18-9] .....	286
(1) The Williston View Today. [§ 18-10] .....	287
b. Corbin/Restatement View: Extrinsic Evidence Should Be Examined to Determine Integration. [§ 18-11].....	287
c. Example Illustrating the Difference Between Williston and Corbin/Restatement Views. [§ 18-12].....	288
D. Determination of Whether a Term Is “Contradictory” or “Consistent”: The Restatement’s “Might Naturally” Test. [§ 18-13] .....	288
1. Example of <i>Mitchell v. Lath</i> . [§ 18-14].....	289
2. Example. [§ 18-15].....	289
3. Effect of “Merger” or “Integration” Clauses. [§ 18-16] .....	290
4. Effect of the Determination to Admit Parol Terms. [§ 18-17] .....	290
E. Operation of Parol Evidence Rule Under Restatement. [§ 18-18] .....	291
F. Situations When the Parol Evidence Rule Does Not Apply. [§ 18-19] .....	291
1. Agreements Made After the Contract Has Been Formed. [§ 18-20] .....	291
2. Where a Party Introduces Evidence to Show There Was No Valid Agreement. [§ 18-21] .....	291
3. Evidence of a Condition Precedent. [§ 18-22].....	292
4. Evidence of a Failure to Pay Consideration. [§ 18-23].....	292
a. Exception: Option Contracts. [§ 18-24] .....	292
5. Evidence of Facts Establishing That the Contract Is Voidable. [§ 18-25].....	292

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

---

6.	Evidence That Contradicts “Precatory” Language or “Recitals of Fact.” [§ 18–26].....	292
7.	Evidence as to a Meaning of a Term Found in the Written Contract. [§ 18–27].....	293
a.	Example. [§ 18–28].....	293
G.	Relationship of the Parol Evidence Rule to the Statute of Frauds. [§ 18–29] .....	293
1.	Example. [§ 18–30].....	294
H.	Review Problem. [§ 18–31].....	294
I.	The Parol Evidence Rule Under the CISG. [§ 18–32] .....	295
J.	Exam Approach to Parol Evidence Rule Issues. [§ 18–33].....	295
K.	Graphic Summary of Parol Evidence Rule. [§ 18–34] .....	296
<b>Chapter 19. Interpretation .....</b>		<b>297</b>
A.	Interpretation Generally. [§ 19–1].....	297
B.	Rules of Construction. [§ 19–2] .....	297
1.	An Interpretation That Gives Meaning to All Terms Is Preferable to an Interpretation Making a Part of the Agreement Surplusage. [§ 19–3].....	297
2.	If Two Clauses Conflict, the More Specific Acts as an Exception to the General. [§ 19–4].....	298
3.	Separately Negotiated Terms Are Given Greater Weight than Standardized Terms. [§ 19–5] .....	298
4.	Handwritten Terms Generally Control over Typed or Printed Ones; Typewritten Terms Generally Control over Printed Ones. [§ 19–6] .....	298
5.	If a Term Is Ambiguous, It Should Be Resolved Against the Drafting Party. [§ 19–7] .....	298
6.	The Expression of One Thing Is the Exclusion of Others. [§ 19–8] .....	298
C.	Rules of Interpretation. [§ 19–9].....	299
1.	General Rules. [§ 19–10] .....	299
a.	Language Is Given Its Generally Prevailing Meaning in Society. [§ 19–11] .....	299
b.	Technical Terms to Be Given Their Technical Meaning in a Transaction Within That Field. [§ 19–12].....	300
c.	Terms to Be Interpreted in Light of Their Meaning Within the Usage of Trade, Course of Dealing, or Course of Performance. [§ 19–13] .....	300
(1)	Hierarchy of Terms: Express, Course of Performance, Course of Dealing, Usage of Trade. [§ 19–14].....	300
(2)	The “Reasonable Reconciliation” Doctrine. [§ 19–15].....	301
(a)	Example of <i>Nanakuli Paving &amp; Rock Co. v. Shell Oil Co., Inc.</i> [§ 19–16] .....	301

---

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

---

2.	Admissibility of Extrinsic Evidence to Prove the Parties Had Their Own Special Meaning for a Term. [§ 19–17].....	303
a.	Traditional Williston/Holmes View: The Plain Meaning Rule. [§ 19–18].....	303
b.	More Contemporary Corbin/Restatement View: The “Reasonably Susceptible” Test. [§ 19–19].....	304
(1)	Example of <i>Trident Center v. Connecticut General Life Ins. Co.</i> [§ 19–20] .....	305
3.	Review Problem. [§ 19–21] .....	306
D.	Exam Approach to Interpretation Issues. [§ 19–22].....	307
<b>Chapter 20. UCC § 2–202</b> .....		<b>309</b>
A.	UCC § 2–202: Combining the Parol Evidence Rule with Interpretation Rules. [§ 20–1].....	309
B.	How to Approach a § 2–202 Parol Evidence Rule Problem. [§ 20–2] .....	309
1.	Integration: Partially or Totally Integrated Contracts. [§ 20–3] .....	310
2.	When the Agreement Is Totally Integrated: No Parol Evidence Is Admissible. [§ 20–4] .....	310
3.	When the Writing Is Partially Integrated: “Contradictory” Parol Terms Are Inadmissible; “Consistent” Parol Terms Are Admissible. [§ 20–5].....	310
a.	Determining Whether a Term Is “Contradictory”: The “Would Certainly” Test. [§ 20–6] .....	310
(1)	Example. [§ 20–7] .....	311
(2)	Potential Limitation on the “Would Certainly” Test. [§ 20–8] .....	311
4.	Evidence of Course of Performance, Course of Dealing, and Usage of Trade Can Be Introduced to <i>Explain</i> a Term Found in the Contract, Regardless Whether the Agreement Is Partially or Totally Integrated. [§ 20–9].....	312
a.	Course of Performance. [§ 20–10] .....	313
(1)	Example. [§ 20–11] .....	313
(2)	“Waiver” and Course of Performance. [§ 20–12].....	313
b.	Course of Dealing. [§ 20–13].....	314
(1)	Example. [§ 20–14].....	314
c.	Usage of Trade. [§ 20–15] .....	314
(1)	Example. [§ 20–16].....	314
(2)	Example of <i>Frigalment Importing Co. v. B.N.S. International Sales Corp.</i> [§ 20–17] .....	315
d.	Hierarchy of Interpretation: Express Terms; Course of Performance, Course of Dealing, and Usage of Trade. [§ 20–18].....	315
C.	Situations Where UCC § 2–202 Does Not Apply. [§ 20–19].....	316
D.	Exam Approach to § 2–202 Issues. [§ 20–20].....	316

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

---

E. Graphic Summary of § 2–202. [§ 20–21] .....	317
--	-----

### PART VI. CONDITIONS, PERFORMANCE, AND BREACH

<b>Chapter 21. Conditions, Performance and Breach (with an Emphasis on Common Law Rules)</b> .....	<b>321</b>
A. Introduction and Overview. [§ 21–1] .....	321
B. Types of Conditions. [§ 21–2] .....	322
1. Express, Implied-in-Fact, and Constructive Conditions. [§ 21–3] .....	322
a. Express Conditions: Defined and Discussed. [§ 21–4].....	322
(1) Example of Express Condition. [§ 21–5] .....	322
b. Implied-in-Fact Conditions: Defined and Discussed. [§ 21–6].....	322
(1) Example of Implied-in-Fact Condition. [§ 21–7].....	323
c. Constructive or Implied Conditions: Defined and Discussed. [§ 21–8].....	323
(1) Example of Constructive Condition. [§ 21–9].....	324
2. Conditions Precedent and Conditions Subsequent. [§ 21–10] .....	324
a. Conditions Precedent: Defined and Discussed. [§ 21–11].....	324
(1) Example of Express Condition Precedent. [§ 21–12].....	324
(2) Effect of Classification as Express Condition Precedent. [§ 21–13] .....	325
(a) Example of Effects of Contract with Express Condition Precedent. [§ 21–14].....	325
b. Conditions Subsequent: Defined and Discussed. [§ 21–15].....	325
(1) Example of Express Condition Subsequent. [§ 21–16].....	326
(2) Effect of Classification as Express Condition Subsequent. [§ 21–17] .....	326
(a) Example of Effects of a Contract with Express Condition Subsequent. [§ 21–18] ....	326
c. How to Tell Whether a Condition Is “Precedent” or “Subsequent.” [§ 21–19] .....	327
d. Restatement 2d Rejects Terms “Condition Precedent” and “Condition Subsequent.” [§ 21–20] .....	328
3. Concurrent Conditions. [§ 21–21] .....	328
C. Issues Involving Express Conditions. [§ 21–22] .....	328
1. Express Conditions Are “Strictly Construed.” [§ 21–23] .....	328
a. Example of “Strict Construction” in an Express Condition Precedent. [§ 21–24] .....	329

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

---

b.	Example of “Strict Construction” in Express Condition Subsequent. [§ 21–25] .....	329
2.	Why a Party Would Make a Duty Expressly Conditional on the Happening of an Event. [§ 21–26] .....	329
3.	Issues Involved in Determining Whether a Contractual Obligation Is an Expressly Conditional Promise, an Unconditional Duty, or Both. [§ 21–27] .....	330
a.	Example. [§ 21–28] .....	330
b.	How a Court Determines Whether an Obligation Is a Conditional Promise, an Unconditional Duty, or Both. [§ 21–29] .....	331
(1)	Interpretation That a Promise Is an Unconditional Duty, Rather than a Conditional Obligation, Is Favored When the Event Necessary to Fulfill the Condition Is Within the Obligee’s Control. [§ 21–30] .....	332
(a)	Example. [§ 21–31] .....	332
(2)	Interpretation That Reduces Promisor’s Risk of Forfeiture Is Preferred. [§ 21–32] .....	332
(a)	Example. [§ 21–33] .....	332
(3)	When in Doubt, a Promise Should Be Interpreted as an Unconditional Duty Rather than a Conditional Promise. [§ 21–34] .....	333
(4)	Interpretation of a Term as Both a Duty and a Condition Is Very Unusual. [§ 21–35] .....	333
(5)	Interpreting an “Ordinary” Promise as an Express Condition. [§ 21–36] .....	334
4.	Issues Involved with Specific Types of Express Conditions. [§ 21–37] .....	334
a.	Express Conditions of Satisfaction. [§ 21–38] .....	334
(1)	Where Satisfaction Is Dependent upon the Subjective “Taste, Fancy, or Personal Judgment” of a Party to the Contract. [§ 21–39] .....	334
(2)	Where Satisfaction Is Dependent upon Objective “Market” Factors. [§ 21–40] .....	335
(3)	Where Satisfaction Is Dependent upon Satisfaction of a Third Party. [§ 21–41] .....	335
b.	“Pay-if-Paid” Clauses Interpreted as “Time of Payment” Clauses. [§ 21–42] .....	336
D.	Issues Associated with Constructive Conditions: Performance and Breach. [§ 21–43] .....	337
1.	Role of Constructive Conditions. [§ 21–44] .....	337
a.	Constructive Conditions Necessary for Reasonable Operation Under a Contract. [§ 21–45] .....	337
(1)	Example. [§ 21–46] .....	337

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

---

b.	Concurrent Conditions of Exchange and Tender of Performance as a Constructive Condition. [§ 21-47].....	338
	(1) Tender: Defined and Discussed. [§ 21-48].....	339
	(2) Resolution of Scenarios. [§ 21-49].....	339
c.	Constructive Conditions Regulating the Order of Performance. [§ 21-50] .....	340
	(1) Order of Performance Where Simultaneous Exchange of Performance Is Possible. [§ 21-51].....	340
	(2) Order of Performance Where One Party's Performance Will Take Time and the Other Does Not. [§ 21-52] .....	341
	(a) Example. [§ 21-53] .....	341
	(b) Issues in the Order of Performance Rules Where a Simultaneous Exchange of Performance Is Not Possible. [§ 21-54].....	342
d.	Performance and Constructive Conditions' Role in Immaterial, Material and Total Breach. [§ 21-55].....	342
	(1) Definitions of Immaterial, Material, and Total Breach. [§ 21-56].....	343
	(a) Immaterial Breach. [§ 21-57] .....	343
	(b) Material Breach. [§ 21-58] .....	344
	(c) Total Breach. [§ 21-59] .....	344
	(2) Consequences of Deciding That a Breach Is Immaterial, Material, or Total. [§ 21-60].....	345
	(3) Role of Constructive Conditions in Determining the Consequences of Material and Immaterial Breach. [§ 21-61].....	347
	(4) The Only Situation in Which the Materiality of the Breach Matters: Bilateral Contracts in Which There Are Executory Duties Remaining on Both Sides at the Time of the Breach. [§ 21-62].....	348
	(a) The Doctrine of Material/Immaterial Breach Does Not Apply to Unilateral Contracts. [§ 21-63].....	348
	(b) The Doctrine of Material/Immaterial Breach Does Not Apply to Bilateral Contracts When All the Duties of One Party Have Been Completely Performed. [§ 21-64].....	349
	(5) How to Determine Whether a Breach Is Material or Immaterial. [§ 21-65].....	350
	(6) The "First" Material Breach Doctrine. [§ 21-66].....	351
	(a) Example. [§ 21-67] .....	352

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

---

(7) The Substantial Performance Doctrine: Classic Application of the Immaterial Breach Doctrine. [§ 21-68].....	352
(a) How to Determine Whether a Promise Has Been Substantially Performed. [§ 21-69] .....	353
(b) <i>Jacob &amp; Youngs v. Kent</i> . [§ 21-70] .....	353
(c) Example. [§ 21-71] .....	356
(8) Doctrines That “Transform” Material Breaches into Immaterial Breaches. [§ 21-72].....	357
(a) The Divisibility (or Part Performance) Doctrine. [§ 21-73] .....	357
(i) Requirements for Applying the Divisibility Doctrine. [§ 21-74] .....	358
(b) Example. [§ 21-75] .....	358
(c) Example. [§ 21-76] .....	358
(d) Cure. [§ 21-77].....	359
(i) Breaching Party Has a <i>Right</i> to Cure Until the Breach Becomes Total. [§ 21-78] .....	359
(e) Waiver. [§ 21-79].....	359
(i) Example. [§ 21-80] .....	360
(9) Total Breach. [§ 21-81] .....	360
(a) How a Material Breach Becomes a Total Breach. [§ 21-82] .....	360
(i) How to Determine What Is “a Reasonable Time” for Purposes of Transforming a Material Breach into a Total Breach. [§ 21-83] .....	361
(b) Example. [§ 21-84] .....	362
(c) Example. [§ 21-85] .....	362
(d) Effect of “Time Is of the Essence” Clauses. [§ 21-86].....	363
(e) Total Breach Found upon Immaterial Breach Accompanied or Followed by a Repudiation. [§ 21-87] .....	363
(i) Example. [§ 21-88] .....	364
(ii) Exception: Failure to Make Installment Payments, Even When Accompanied by a Repudiation, Does Not Become a Total Breach. [§ 21-89] .....	364
(f) Example. [§ 21-90] .....	365
(10) Restitution Available to Both Parties upon a Material Breach. [§ 21-91] .....	365
E. Excuse of Conditions. [§ 21-92] .....	365
1. Excuse of a Condition by Waiver, Estoppel and Election. [§ 21-93] .....	366
a. When a Waiver Can Take Place. [§ 21-94] .....	367

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

---

(1) Waivers Before, or Contemporaneous with, Contract Formation. [§ 21–95] .....	367
(2) Waivers Made After Contract Formation, but Before Performance Is Due. [§ 21–96] .....	368
(3) Waivers Made After Performance Was Due. [§ 21–97].....	368
b. Implied Waivers: Waiver by Conduct. [§ 21–98].....	369
(1) Example. [§ 21–99].....	370
c. Retraction of Waivers. [§ 21–100] .....	370
(1) Example. [§ 21–101].....	370
2. Excuse of a Condition by Wrongful Prevention, Hindrance, and Noncooperation by the Party Benefitted by the Condition. [§ 21–102] .....	371
a. Example of Express Condition Precedent Being Excused by Affirmative Wrongful Prevention Based on <i>Barron v. Cain</i> . [§ 21–103].....	372
b. Example of Express Condition Precedent Being Excused by Inaction Leading to Wrongful Prevention or Hindrance. [§ 21–104] .....	373
c. Example of Breach of Duty of Cooperation Stemming from the Duty of Good Faith and Fair Dealing Becoming a Material Breach Based on <i>Swartz v. War Memorial Comm’n</i> . [§ 21–105].....	373
d. Review Problem. [§ 21–106] .....	374
3. Excuse of Condition to Avoid Disproportionate Forfeiture. [§ 21–107] .....	374
a. Example. [§ 21–108].....	375
b. Example Based on <i>Jacob &amp; Youngs v. Kent</i> . [§ 21–109].....	375
F. Exam Approach to Condition, Performance and Breach Issues. [§ 21–110] .....	376
1. Approach to Express Conditions. [§ 21–111].....	376
2. Approach to Breach Issues. [§ 21–112].....	377
<b>Chapter 22. Conditions, Performance and Breach (with an Emphasis on Contracts Governed by the UCC).....</b>	<b>379</b>
A. Performance and Breach in Contracts Governed by the UCC, Generally. [§ 22–1].....	379
B. Tender and Implied Conditions of Exchange Under the Code. [§ 22–2] .....	380
1. The Acts Necessary to Fulfill a Seller’s Obligation to Tender Delivery. [§ 22–3] .....	380
a. Example. [§ 22–4].....	381
2. The Acts Necessary to Fulfill a Buyer’s Obligation to Tender Payment. [§ 22–5] .....	381
3. Order of Performance. [§ 22–6] .....	381



---

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

---

C.	The Perfect Tender Rule Under the UCC. [§ 22–7].....	382
1.	Example. [§ 22–8].....	383
2.	Example. [§ 22–9].....	383
3.	Example. [§ 22–10].....	384
4.	Limitations on the Perfect Tender Rule. [§ 22–11].....	384
a.	The Seller’s Right to Cure Under § 2–508. [§ 22–12].....	385
(1)	The Seller Has a Right to Cure When the “Time for Performance” Under the Contract Has Not Passed. [§ 22–13].....	386
(a)	Example. [§ 22–14].....	386
(2)	A Seller’s Ability to Cure After the “Time for Performance” Under the Contract Has Expired. [§ 22–15].....	387
(a)	Example. [§ 22–16].....	388
(b)	Example. [§ 22–17].....	388
(3)	The Manner in Which a Seller May Cure: Cure by Replacement, Cure by Repair, or Cure by Cash Discount. [§ 22–18].....	389
(a)	Exception to the Rule That Cure by Repair Is Acceptable: The “Shaken Faith” Doctrine. [§ 22–19].....	390
(i)	Example Based on <i>Zabriske Chevrolet v. Smith</i> . [§ 22–20].....	390
(b)	Quality of Cure by Replacement: Majority View Does Not Require Repaired Good Sufficient to Satisfy Perfect Tender Rule. [§ 22–21].....	391
(4)	Buyer’s Rights and Duties When Seller Attempts to Cure. [§ 22–22].....	391
b.	Installment Contracts. [§ 22–23].....	392
(1)	A Buyer May Reject a <i>Particular Shipment</i> Due to a Non-Conforming Tender of That Shipment <i>Only</i> if the Non-Conformity Both “Substantially Impairs” the Value of the Shipment and Is Not Cured. [§ 22–24].....	392
(a)	Example. [§ 22–25].....	393
(b)	Example. [§ 22–26].....	393
(2)	A Buyer May Terminate <i>the Entire Installment Contract</i> Due to a Non-Conforming Tender in a Particular Shipment or Shipments <i>Only</i> When the Non-Conformity in the Particular Shipment(s) Substantially Impairs the Value of the <i>Whole Contract</i> . [§ 22–27].....	394
(a)	Example. [§ 22–28].....	394

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

---

(3) Rationale for Different Treatment of Buyer’s Right to Reject Goods in Installment and Single Lot Contracts. [§ 22–29].....	395
c. <i>De Minimis Non Curat Lex</i> . [§ 22–30] .....	396
d. The “Complex Machine” Exception. [§ 22–31].....	396
(1) Example. [§ 22–32].....	396
e. Usage of Trade; Course of Dealing; and Course of Performance. [§ 22–33] .....	396
(1) Example. [§ 22–34].....	397
f. Revocation of Acceptance. [§ 22–35] .....	397
D. Performance in Contracts Governed by the UCC: Acceptance, Rejection, and Revocation of Acceptance. [§ 22–36] .....	397
1. “Acceptance” of Goods Under the UCC. [§ 22–37] .....	397
a. Effect of Acceptance. [§ 22–38].....	398
2. Rejection of Goods Under the UCC. [§ 22–39] .....	399
a. Actions a Buyer <i>Must</i> Take in Order to Reject Effectively. [§ 22–40].....	399
b. Action a Buyer <i>Cannot</i> Take in Order to Reject Effectively. [§ 22–41].....	400
(1) Example. [§ 22–42].....	400
c. Actions Which a Rejecting Buyer Is <i>Permitted to</i> Take Which Do Not Render Acceptance Ineffective. [§ 22–43].....	401
d. Grounds for Rejection. [§ 22–44].....	401
3. Revocation of Acceptance. [§ 22–45] .....	402
a. Elements of an Effective Revocation of Acceptance. [§ 22–46].....	402
(1) Example. [§ 22–47].....	403
(2) Example. [§ 22–48].....	403
(3) Example. [§ 22–49].....	403
b. Rationale for Having Different Standards for Rejection than for Revocation of Acceptance. [§ 22–50].....	404
c. The Relationship Between Cure and Revocation of Acceptance. [§ 22–51].....	404
d. Typically No Restitution Is Recoverable upon Revocation. [§ 22–52] .....	405
e. A Buyer of an Imperfect Good Who Neither Rejects nor Revokes: Breach of Warranty. [§ 22–53].....	405
E. Exam Approaches to Performance and Breach Issues Under the UCC. [§ 22–54].....	405
<b>Chapter 23. Prospective Nonperformance or Anticipatory Repudiation</b> .....	<b>407</b>
A. The Anticipatory Repudiation (Also, Incorrectly, Called the “Anticipatory Breach”) Doctrine. [§ 23–1].....	407

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

---

B.	<i>Hochster v. De La Tour</i> : The Beginning of the Modern Anticipatory Repudiation Doctrine. [§ 23–2] .....	407
1.	Effect of Anticipatory Repudiation Under Modern Contract Law. [§ 23–3] .....	409
C.	Elements of Anticipatory Repudiation in Non-UCC Transactions. [§ 23–4] .....	410
1.	There Must Be a Repudiation of a Bilateral Contract with Executory Duties by Both Parties. [§ 23–5] .....	410
a.	Example. [§ 23–6].....	411
b.	Example. [§ 23–7].....	411
c.	Exception: Where Performance by the Innocent Party Is a Condition of the Repudiated Duty. [§ 23–8].....	411
(1)	Example. [§ 23–9].....	412
2.	The Repudiated Duty Must Be Important Enough That Its Non-Performance Would Be Deemed a Total Breach. [§ 23–10] .....	412
a.	Example. [§ 23–11].....	412
3.	The Repudiation Must Be <i>Definite</i> and <i>Unequivocal</i> . [§ 23–12] .....	413
a.	Repudiation by Words. [§ 23–13] .....	413
(1)	Distinguishing Repudiation from a Request for Modification. [§ 23–14].....	413
(2)	Distinguishing Repudiation from a Good Faith Difference of Opinion as to the Meaning of the Contract. [§ 23–15] .....	414
b.	Repudiation by Conduct. [§ 23–16] .....	414
(1)	Example. [§ 23–17].....	415
(2)	Example. [§ 23–18].....	415
4.	An Effective Repudiation May Only Repudiate Some Duties. [§ 23–19] .....	415
D.	Effect of Anticipatory Repudiation in Contracts Governed by the UCC. [§ 23–20].....	415
1.	Anticipatory Repudiation Under UCC § 2–610. [§ 23–21] .....	416
2.	Anticipatory Repudiation by Failing to Provide Reasonable Assurances Under UCC § 2–609. [§ 23–22] .....	416
a.	What Constitutes “Reasonable Grounds for Insecurity” Under § 2–609(1) and (2)? [§ 23–23].....	418
(1)	The Grounds for Demanding Assurances Need Not Be True; They Need Only Reasonably Appear to Be True to the Insecure Party. [§ 23–24].....	419
(2)	Grounds for Insecurity Must Become Known to the Insecure Party <i>After</i> the Contract Is Formed, Not Before. [§ 23–25].....	419

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

---

(3) A Demand for Assurances Cannot Effectively Demand Only a Particular Kind of Assurance. [§ 23–26].....	420
b. What Constitutes “Adequate Assurances” of Performance? [§ 23–27].....	420
c. What an Insecure Party May Do While Waiting for a Response to a Justified Demand for Assurances. [§ 23–28].....	421
d. Consequence of Failing to Respond Adequately to a Justified Demand for Assurances. [§ 23–29].....	421
e. Right to Demand Adequate Assurances in Contracts Not Governed by the UCC. [§ 23–30].....	422
E. Mechanics of Anticipatory Repudiation. [§ 23–31].....	422
F. The Repudiating Party’s Right to Retract the Repudiation. [§ 23–32] .....	423
1. Example. [§ 23–33].....	424
G. Exam Approaches to Anticipatory Repudiation Issues. [§ 23–34] .....	424
 <b>Chapter 24. Discharge of Duties by Subsequent Agreement— Substituted Performances, Substituted Contracts (Including Novations), Accords, Mutual Rescission, and Releases .....</b>	
<b>427</b>	
A. Discharge of Duty by Subsequent Agreements, Generally. [§ 24–1] .....	427
B. Substituted Performance. [§ 24–2] .....	428
1. Example. [§ 24–3].....	428
2. Example. [§ 24–4].....	429
C. Substituted Contracts, Including Novations. [§ 24–5] .....	429
1. Effect of Substituted Contract or Novation. [§ 24–6] .....	429
a. Example. [§ 24–7].....	430
b. Example. [§ 24–8].....	430
D. Accords. [§ 24–9] .....	430
1. Example. [§ 24–10].....	431
2. Example. [§ 24–11].....	431
3. Recurring Problems Under the Most Frequent Use of Accords: Offers to Compromise a Disputed Debt. [§ 24–12] .....	431
a. Consideration Issues When a Debtor Offers to Pay the Creditor Less than the Full Amount the Creditor Is Seeking. [§ 24–13] .....	432
b. The Effect of Attempted Settlement by Tender of a Check in “Full Satisfaction” of a Debt. [§ 24–14] .....	433
(1) A Creditor Who Cashes a Check Tendered “in Full Satisfaction” of a Debt Is Typically Held to Have Accepted the Offer of an Accord. [§ 24–15].....	433

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

---

(2) The Effect of a Creditor Who Crosses out the “in Full Satisfaction” Language and Replaces It with “Without Prejudice” Language Instead: The Effect of UCC § 3–311. [§ 24–16] .....	433
4. How to Determine if a Subsequent Contract Is a Substituted Contract or an Accord. [§ 24–17] .....	434
E. Mutual Rescission and Unilateral Renunciation. [§ 24–18] .....	434
1. Unilateral Renunciation. [§ 24–19] .....	435
2. Example. [§ 24–20] .....	435
3. Example. [§ 24–21] .....	435
4. General Rule: Mutual Rescissions Can Be Oral. [§ 24–22] .....	435
a. Exception: Mutual Rescission of a Duty to Transfer an Interest in Land Must Be in Writing. [§ 24–23] .....	436
5. Distinguishing Mutual Rescission from Substituted Performance, Substituted Contracts, and Accords. [§ 24–24] .....	436
F. Releases. [§ 24–25] .....	436
1. Distinguishing Releases from Substituted Performance, Substituted Contracts, and Accords. [§ 24–26] .....	436
G. Exam Approach to Substituted Performance, Substituted Contract, Novation, Accord, Mutual Rescission, and Release Issues. [§ 24–27] .....	437
<b>Chapter 25. Discharge of Duties by Impossibility, Impracticability, or Frustration of Purpose .....</b>	<b>439</b>
A. Impossibility, Impracticability and Frustration, Generally. [§ 25–1] .....	439
1. Effect of Establishing a Defense Based on Impossibility, Impracticability, and Frustration: Discharge of Remaining Duties. [§ 25–2] .....	440
B. Impossibility: Defined and Discussed. [§ 25–3] .....	441
1. Elements Necessary to Establish Impossibility. [§ 25–4] .....	441
a. An Event Must Occur That Makes Performance Objectively Impossible. [§ 25–5] .....	442
(1) Example. [§ 25–6] .....	442
(2) Example. [§ 25–7] .....	443
b. The Non-Occurrence of the Event Making Performance Impossible Must Have Been a “Basic Assumption” of Both Parties When They Entered into Their Agreement. [§ 25–8] .....	443
(1) <i>Taylor v. Caldwell</i> . [§ 25–9] .....	443
(2) Example. [§ 25–10] .....	443
(3) Example. [§ 25–11] .....	444

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

---

c.	The Event Making Performance Impossible Must Have Occurred Without the Fault of the Party Asserting the Defense. [§ 25–12].....	444
(1)	Example. [§ 25–13].....	445
d.	The Party Asserting the Impossibility Defense Must Not Have Assumed the Risk of the Occurrence of the Event Making Performance Impossible. [§ 25–14] .....	445
(1)	Example. [§ 25–15].....	445
2.	Common Fact Situations Presenting Impossibility Issues. [§ 25–16] .....	446
a.	Death or Incapacity of a Particular Person Necessary for Performance (“Personal Service” Contracts). [§ 25–17].....	446
(1)	Example. [§ 25–18].....	447
(2)	Example. [§ 25–19].....	447
b.	Death, Destruction, Deterioration, or the Failure to Come into Existence, of a Thing Necessary for Performance. [§ 25–20] .....	447
(1)	Example. [§ 25–21].....	447
(2)	Example. [§ 25–22].....	448
c.	Impossibility Due to Government Regulation or Order Making Performance Illegal. [§ 25–23].....	448
(1)	Example. [§ 25–24].....	449
d.	Contracts to Repair Existing Building. [§ 25–25] .....	449
e.	Contracts to Erect New Buildings. [§ 25–26] .....	449
f.	Labor Strikes. [§ 25–27].....	449
g.	Land Sale Contracts. [§ 25–28] .....	450
3.	Partial Impossibility. [§ 25–29].....	450
a.	Example. [§ 25–30].....	450
C.	Commercial Impracticability: Defined and Discussed. [§ 25–31] .....	451
1.	Elements Necessary to Establish an Impracticability Defense. [§ 25–32] .....	452
a.	An Event Must Occur That Makes Performance Commercially Impracticable. [§ 25–33] .....	452
(1)	Example. [§ 25–34].....	453
(2)	Example. [§ 25–35].....	453
b.	The Non-Occurrence of the Event Making Performance Impractical Must Have Been a Basic Assumption of Both Parties When They Entered into Their Agreement. [§ 25–36].....	453
(1)	Example. [§ 25–37].....	454
c.	The Event Making Performance Impractical Must Occur Without the Fault of the Party Asserting the Defense. [§ 25–38] .....	454
(1)	Example. [§ 25–39].....	454

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

---

d.	The Party Asserting the Defense Must Not Have Assumed the Risk of the Occurrence of the Event Making Performance Impractical. [§ 25–40] .....	454
(1)	Example. [§ 25–41] .....	455
(2)	Example of Construction of a New Structure. [§ 25–42] .....	456
(3)	Exception: Example of <i>Alcoa Co. v. Essex</i> . [§ 25–43] .....	457
2.	Contrasting Impossibility and Impracticability. [§ 25–44] .....	458
D.	Frustration of Purpose: Defined and Discussed. [§ 25–45] .....	458
1.	Elements Necessary to Establish the Frustration of Purpose Defense. [§ 25–46] .....	459
a.	An Event Must Occur That Frustrates the Principal Purpose for Entering into a Contract. [§ 25–47] .....	459
(1)	Example. [§ 25–48] .....	460
b.	The Non-Occurrence of the Event Frustrating Performance Must Have Been a Basic Assumption of Both Parties on Which the Contract Was Made. [§ 25–49] .....	461
(1)	Example of Leased Premises Destroyed During Term of Lease. [§ 25–50] .....	461
c.	The Event Causing Frustration of Purpose Must Have Occurred Without the Fault of the Party Asserting the Defense. [§ 25–51] .....	461
(1)	Example. [§ 25–52] .....	461
d.	The Party Asserting the Frustration Defense Must Not Have Assumed the Risk of the Occurrence of the Event Frustrating Performance of the Duty. [§ 25–53] .....	462
(1)	Example. [§ 25–54] .....	462
2.	Examples of Frustration Cases. [§ 25–55] .....	462
a.	Example of <i>Krell v. Henry (The Coronation Cases)</i> . [§ 25–56] .....	462
b.	Example of <i>Lloyd v. Murphy</i> . [§ 25–57] .....	463
c.	Example of <i>LaCumbre Golf &amp; Country Club v. Santa Barbara Hotel</i> . [§ 25–58] .....	464
E.	Timing of Events Giving Rise to Impossibility, Impracticability, and Frustration: Relation to the “Mistake” Doctrine. [§ 25–59] .....	465
F.	Temporary Impossibility, Impracticability, or Frustration. [§ 25–60] .....	465
1.	Example. [§ 25–61] .....	466
2.	Example. [§ 25–62] .....	466
3.	Example of <i>Autry v. Republic Prod’ns</i> . [§ 25–63] .....	466
G.	The Effect of a “Force Majeure” Clause on Impossibility, Impracticability, and Frustration. [§ 25–64] .....	467

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

---

- H. Restitution Available in Contracts Discharged Due to Impossibility, Impracticability, and Frustration. [§ 25–65] .....467
- I. Exam Approach to Impossibility, Impracticability, and Frustration of Purpose Issues. [§ 25–66] .....467

### PART VII. CONTRACTS INVOLVING THIRD PARTIES

<b>Chapter 26. Third Party Beneficiary Contracts</b> .....	471
A. Third Party Beneficiary Contracts Generally. [§ 26–1] .....	471
B. Terminology of Third Party Beneficiary Contracts. [§ 26–2].....	471
1. Example. [§ 26–3].....	472
C. Issues Arising Under Third Party Beneficiary Contracts. [§ 26–4] .....	472
D. The Rights of the Beneficiary to Sue the Promisor. [§ 26–5].....	473
1. Early American Law: “Creditor” and “Donee” Beneficiaries Could Enforce the Promisor’s Promise; “Incidental” Beneficiaries Could Not. [§ 26–6].....	473
a. “Donee” Beneficiaries: Defined and Discussed. [§ 26–7].....	474
(1) <i>Seaver v. Ransom</i> . [§ 26–8] .....	474
b. “Creditor” Beneficiaries: Defined and Discussed. [§ 26–9].....	475
(1) <i>Lawrence v. Fox</i> . [§ 26–10].....	476
c. “Incidental” Beneficiary: Defined and Discussed. [§ 26–11].....	477
(1) Example. [§ 26–12].....	477
2. Modern Contract Law: “Intended” Beneficiaries Can Enforce the Promisor’s Promise; “Incidental” Beneficiaries Cannot. [§ 26–13] .....	478
a. “Intended” Beneficiaries: Defined and Discussed. [§ 26–14].....	478
(1) Example of <i>Seaver v. Ransom</i> . [§ 26–15] .....	479
(2) Example of <i>Lawrence v. Fox</i> . [§ 26–16] .....	479
b. “Incidental” Beneficiary: Defined and Discussed. [§ 26–17].....	480
(1) Example. [§ 26–18].....	480
c. Situations in Which Analysis Under the First and Second Restatements Would Yield Different Results. [§ 26–19].....	481
(1) Example. [§ 26–20].....	482
(2) Example. [§ 26–21].....	482
d. Important Principles in Analyzing Third Party Beneficiary Contracts Under Modern Contract Law. [§ 26–22].....	483



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

---

(1) Performance of the Promisor's Promise Need Not Be Made Directly to the Third Party in Order for the Third Party to Be an Intended Beneficiary. [§ 26–23].....	483
(2) The Identity of the Intended Beneficiary Need Not Be Known at the Time the Promisor/Promisee Contract Is Made. [§ 26–24] .....	484
(3) An Intended Beneficiary Need Not Manifest Any Agreement to the Promisor/Promisee Contract in Order to Gain Enforceable Rights Against the Promisee. [§ 26–25] .....	484
(4) A Beneficiary May Disclaim His or Her Rights to Enforce the Promisor's Promise. [§ 26–26].....	485
(a) Example. [§ 26–27] .....	485
3. Recurring Fact Situations Concerning Whether a Beneficiary Is Intended or Incidental. [§ 26–28] .....	486
a. A Citizen's Right to Enforce a Government's Contract with a Private Party to Perform a Municipal Service. [§ 26–29].....	486
b. Construction Contracts. [§ 26–30] .....	487
E. Rights of the Promisor in a Suit Brought by the Beneficiary. [§ 26–31] .....	488
1. An Intended Beneficiary Is Subject to Any Defense the Promisor Has Against the Promisee. [§ 26–32] .....	488
a. Example. [§ 26–33].....	488
b. <i>Rouse v. United States</i> . [§ 26–34] .....	489
2. An Intended Beneficiary's Right to Sue the Promisor Is Subject to Any Limiting or Conditional Terms of the Promisor/Promisee Contract. [§ 26–35].....	491
a. Example. [§ 26–36].....	491
3. An Intended Third Party Beneficiary's Recovery Against the Promisor Is Subject to Offset by the Amount of Any Damages the Promisor Suffers as a Result of an Immaterial Breach by the Promisee. [§ 26–37] .....	491
a. Example. [§ 26–38].....	492
F. Rights of an Intended Beneficiary to Sue the Promisee. [§ 26–39] .....	492
1. Example. [§ 26–40].....	493
2. Example. [§ 26–41].....	493
G. Rights of the Promisee Against the Promisor. [§ 26–42] .....	494
1. Example. [§ 26–43].....	494
H. Rights of the Promisee and Promisor to Modify Their Contract to the Disadvantage of an Intended Beneficiary. [§ 26–44].....	494
1. View of the Second Restatement: An Intended Beneficiary's Rights Vest upon His or Her Reliance on the Promisor's Promise. [§ 26–45].....	495
a. Example. [§ 26–46].....	495

---

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

---

2.	Minority View: An Intended Beneficiary’s Rights Vest Immediately upon Execution of the Promisor/Promisee Contract. [§ 26–47].....	496
3.	Second Minority View: The Beneficiary’s Rights Vest upon Knowledge of the Promisor’s Promise. [§ 26–48].....	496
I.	Distinction Between Creditor-Like Intended Third Party Beneficiary Contracts and Accords or Novations. [§ 26–49] .....	497
1.	Example. [§ 26–50].....	497
2.	Example. [§ 26–51].....	497
J.	Distinction Between a Third Party Beneficiary Contract Situation and an Assignment Situation. [§ 26–52] .....	498
K.	Exam Approach to Third Party Beneficiary Issues. [§ 26–53] .....	498
	<b>Chapter 27. Assignments</b> .....	<b>499</b>
A.	Assignments Generally. [§ 27–1] .....	499
B.	Definition, Terminology, and Major Effects of Assignments. [§ 27–2] .....	499
1.	Example. [§ 27–3].....	500
C.	Types of Assignments. [§ 27–4].....	501
1.	“Gratuitous Assignments”: Defined and Discussed. [§ 27–5] .....	501
a.	Example. [§ 27–6].....	501
b.	Example. [§ 27–7].....	501
2.	“Assignments for Value”: Defined and Discussed. [§ 27–8] .....	502
a.	Example. [§ 27–9].....	502
D.	Elements Necessary to Make an Effective Assignment. [§ 27–10] .....	503
1.	The Assignor Must Manifest a Present Intention to Transfer an <i>Existing</i> Contractual Right. [§ 27–11] .....	503
a.	Example. [§ 27–12].....	503
b.	Example. [§ 27–13].....	504
c.	Special Rule Under Article 9 of the UCC: After-Acquired Property Clauses. [§ 27–14].....	504
2.	There Must Be No Prohibition Against Assignment of the Particular Right. [§ 27–15].....	505
a.	A Purported Assignment That Violates Public Policy Is Ineffective. [§ 27–16].....	505
b.	A Purported Assignment That Materially and Adversely Affects the Obligor’s Rights, Duties, and Justified Expectations as to Return Performance Is Ineffective. [§ 27–17].....	506
(1)	Example. [§ 27–18].....	507
(2)	Example. [§ 27–19].....	507
(3)	Example. [§ 27–20].....	508

---

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

---

c.	A Purported Assignment That Violates a Valid “No Assignment” Provision in the Assignor/Obligor Contract Is Ineffective. [§ 27–21] .....	508
3.	General Rule: The Assignee Must Agree to the Assignment to Make It Effective. [§ 27–22] .....	510
a.	The Two Exceptions to the General Rule That the Assignee Must Agree Before the Assignment Is Effective. [§ 27–23] .....	510
(1)	Example. [§ 27–24] .....	510
(2)	Example. [§ 27–25] .....	511
(3)	Review Problem. [§ 27–26] .....	511
E.	Recurring Issues That Arise in Determining the Effectiveness of Assignments. [§ 27–27] .....	512
1.	Notification to the Obligor of the Assignment Is Not Necessary for an Assignment to Be Effective. [§ 27–28] .....	512
2.	An Effective Assignment Can Be Partial. [§ 27–29] .....	512
a.	Example. [§ 27–30] .....	512
3.	An Effective Assignment Can Be Conditional or Otherwise Limited. [§ 27–31] .....	513
a.	Example. [§ 27–32] .....	513
4.	Distinction Between an Assignment and a Third Party Beneficiary Contract. [§ 27–33] .....	513
a.	Example. [§ 27–34] .....	514
b.	Example. [§ 27–35] .....	514
5.	Interpretation of the Phrase “Assignments of the Contract” or “. . . of All My Rights Under the Contract.” [§ 27–36] .....	515
a.	Example. [§ 27–37] .....	515
6.	Oral Assignments Are Effective Unless the Subject Matter of the Assignment Is Within the Statute of Frauds. [§ 27–38] .....	515
a.	Example. [§ 27–39] .....	515
F.	Rights of the Assignee, and Duties of the Obligor, After Valid Assignment. [§ 27–40] .....	516
1.	Example. [§ 27–41] .....	516
G.	Claims and Defenses the Obligor Can Assert Against Assignee. [§ 27–42] .....	517
1.	Example. [§ 27–43] .....	517
2.	“Holder in Due Course” Exception. [§ 27–44] .....	518
a.	Definition of “Holder in Due Course.” [§ 27–45] .....	519
b.	Effect of “Holder in Due Course” Status. [§ 27–46] .....	519
(1)	Example. [§ 27–47] .....	520
c.	Limitation on Holder in Due Course Doctrine. [§ 27–48] .....	520
H.	Rights of the Assignee to Sue the Assignor: The Implied Warranties Inherent in Assignments for Value. [§ 27–49] .....	521
1.	Example. [§ 27–50] .....	521

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

---

2. Example. [§ 27–51].....	522
I. Assignee’s Rights upon Attempted Modification of Assignment by the Assignor and the Obligor. [§ 27–52].....	522
1. Modification Rules Regarding Assignments for Value. [§ 27–53] .....	522
2. Modification Rules Regarding Gratuitous Assignments. [§ 27–54] .....	523
J. Rights of the Assignee Among Competing Claims of Ownership. [§ 27–55].....	523
1. The “New York” Rule: The First Assignee Always Has Priority. [§ 27–56] .....	523
a. Example. [§ 27–57].....	524
2. The “English Rule”: The First Assignee to Notify the Obligor Has Priority. [§ 27–58] .....	524
a. Example. [§ 27–59].....	524
3. The Massachusetts/Restatement 2d Rule: The First Assignee Generally Prevails, Subject to Four Exceptions. [§ 27–60] .....	524
a. Example. [§ 27–61].....	525
4. Article 9 of the UCC Rule: First to File or Perfect Gets Priority. [§ 27–62] .....	525
K. Exam Approaches to Assignment Issues. [§ 27–63] .....	526
<b>Chapter 28. Delegation .....</b>	<b>527</b>
A. Delegation Generally. [§ 28–1].....	527
B. Terminology of Delegation. [§ 28–2] .....	527
1. Example. [§ 28–3].....	528
C. Elements of an Effective Delegation. [§ 28–4] .....	528
1. The Delegating Party Must Manifest an Intention to Delegate a Duty. [§ 28–5] .....	529
a. Construction of a Clause “Assigning the Contract.” [§ 28–6].....	529
(1) Example. [§ 28–7] .....	529
2. There Must Be No Prohibition Against Delegation of This Particular Duty. [§ 28–8].....	529
a. A Purported Delegation That Violates Public Policy Is Ineffective. [§ 28–9].....	530
b. A Purported Delegation of a Duty in Which the Obligee Has a “Substantial Interest” in Having the Delegating Party Perform the Duty Is Ineffective. [§ 28–10].....	530
(1) Example. [§ 28–11] .....	531
(2) Example. [§ 28–12] .....	531
(3) Example. [§ 28–13] .....	531
(4) Example of <i>Sally Beauty Co. v. Nexxus Products Co., Inc.</i> [§ 28–14] .....	531

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

---

(5) Example of <i>Macke Co. v. Pizza of Gaithersburg, Inc.</i> [§ 28–15] .....	532
c. A Purported Delegation That Violates a Specific “No Delegation” Clause in a Contract Is Ineffective. [§ 28–16].....	533
3. The Obligee Need Not Assent to, or Even Be Aware of, the Delegation for It to Be Effective. [§ 28–17] .....	533
a. Example. [§ 28–18].....	533
D. Principal Consequences of an Effective Delegation. [§ 28–19] .....	533
1. Upon a Valid Delegation, the Delegate Acquires a <i>Right</i> to Perform the Delegated Duty. [§ 28–20].....	534
a. Example. [§ 28–21].....	534
2. Delegation Does Not Discharge the Duty of the Delegating Party to Render Performance to the Obligee. [§ 28–22] .....	535
3. Full Performance by the Delegate Discharges the Duty Owed by the Delegating Party. [§ 28–23].....	535
a. Example. [§ 28–24].....	535
4. A Delegate Has an Enforceable Obligation, as Opposed to a Right, to Perform the Transferred Duty Only When He or She Specifically Assumes Such a Duty. [§ 28–25] .....	536
a. Example. [§ 28–26].....	536
b. Example. [§ 28–27].....	537
5. Relationship Between Delegations and Third Party Beneficiary Contracts. [§ 28–28].....	537
a. Example. [§ 28–29].....	538
b. Example. [§ 28–30].....	539
E. Distinction Between Novation and Delegation. [§ 28–31] .....	539
1. Example. [§ 28–32].....	539
F. Exam Approach to Delegation Issues. [§ 28–33] .....	540

## PART VIII. REMEDIES

<b>Chapter 29. Equitable Remedies</b> .....	<b>543</b>
A. Equitable Relief Generally. [§ 29–1].....	543
B. Types of Equitable Remedies. [§ 29–2].....	543
1. Specific Performance. [§ 29–3] .....	544
2. Prohibitory Injunctions. [§ 29–4] .....	544
a. Example of <i>Lumley v. Wagner</i> . [§ 29–5] .....	544
3. Reformation. [§ 29–6] .....	545
C. Requirements for Obtaining Equitable Relief for Breach of Contract. [§ 29–7].....	545
1. Equitable Relief Will Not Be Awarded Unless an Award of Damages Would Be “Inadequate” to Put the Non-Breaching Party in the Position He or She Would Have Been in Had the Contract Been Performed. [§ 29–8] .....	546

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

---

a.	The Difficulty of Proving Damages with Reasonable Certainty. [§ 29–9] .....	547
(1)	Example of <i>Laclede Gas Co. v. Amoco Oil Co.</i> [§ 29–10].....	547
(2)	Example. [§ 29–11].....	548
(3)	Example. [§ 29–12].....	548
b.	The Difficulty of Procuring Suitable Substitute Performance. [§ 29–13] .....	549
(1)	Example. [§ 29–14].....	550
(2)	Example. [§ 29–15].....	550
(3)	Example. [§ 29–16].....	550
(4)	Review Problem. [§ 29–17].....	550
c.	The Likelihood That an Award of Damages Could Not Be Collected. [§ 29–18] .....	551
(1)	Example. [29–19].....	551
2.	Equitable Relief Will Not Be Awarded if There Are Undue Practical Limitations on a Court’s Ability to Grant Such Relief. [§ 29–20].....	551
a.	Whether the Terms of the Contract Are Too Uncertain to Provide a Basis for a Specific Performance Order. [§ 29–21] .....	552
(1)	Example. [§ 29–22].....	553
b.	Whether the Supervisory Burden on the Court Outweighs the Advantage to Be Gained by an Order for an Equitable Remedy. [§ 29–23].....	553
(1)	Example. [§ 29–24].....	554
(2)	Example. [§ 29–25].....	554
(3)	Example of <i>Walgreen Co. v. Sara Creek Property Co.</i> [29–26] .....	554
c.	Contracts Calling for the Performance of “Personal Services” Will Not Be Specifically Enforced. [§ 29–27].....	555
(1)	Example. [§ 29–28].....	556
(2)	Example. [§ 29–29].....	557
(3)	Example. [§ 29–30].....	557
(4)	“Personal Services” Contract with Covenant Not to Compete. [§ 29–31].....	558
3.	Equitable Relief Will Not Be Granted if Certain “Equitable Principles” Are Violated. [§ 29–32] .....	559
a.	Whether Such an Order Would Violate Public Policy. [§ 29–33].....	560
(1)	Example. [§ 29–34].....	560
b.	Whether Such an Order Would Be Unjust Because the Breaching Party’s Assent to the Contract Was Induced by Unfair Practices. [§ 29–35].....	560
(1)	Example. [§ 29–36].....	561

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

---

c.	Whether Such an Order Would Be Sufficiently “Unjust” as to Cause Unreasonable Hardship or Loss to the Breaching Party. [§ 29–37] .....	561
(1)	Example Based on <i>Peevyhouse v. Garland Coal &amp; Mining Co.</i> [§ 29–38] .....	562
d.	Whether There Is Sufficient Security to Believe the Non-Breaching Party Will Perform. [§ 29–39] .....	563
(1)	Example. [§ 29–40] .....	563
D.	Exam Approach to Equitable Relief Issues. [§ 29–41] .....	564
	<b>Chapter 30. Money Damages</b> .....	<b>565</b>
A.	The “Interest” Analysis of Contract Law and the Concept of Economic Breach. [§ 30–1] .....	565
1.	The “Efficient Breach” Doctrine Defined and Explained. [§ 30–2] .....	565
B.	Defining, Identifying, and Valuing the Economic Interests Resulting from Contract Formation. [§ 30–3] .....	567
1.	The Expectation (or “Benefit of the Bargain”) Interest. [§ 30–4] .....	568
a.	Example. [§ 30–5] .....	568
2.	The Reliance (or “Out-of-Pocket”) Interest. [§ 30–6] .....	569
a.	Example. [§ 30–7] .....	569
3.	The Restitutory Interest. [§ 30–8] .....	570
4.	Types of Damages Recoverable by the Non-Breaching Party. [§ 30–9] .....	570
C.	A Glossary of Damages Terminology. [§ 30–10] .....	570
1.	Benefit of the Bargain Damages. [§ 30–11] .....	570
2.	Consequential Damages. [§ 30–12] .....	571
a.	Example. [§ 30–13] .....	571
3.	Direct Damages. [§ 30–14] .....	572
a.	Example. [§ 30–15] .....	572
4.	Exemplary Damages. [§ 30–16] .....	573
5.	Expectation Damages. [§ 30–17] .....	573
6.	General Damages. [§ 30–18] .....	573
7.	Incidental Damages. [§ 30–19] .....	573
a.	Example. [§ 30–20] .....	574
8.	Liquidated Damages. [§ 30–21] .....	574
9.	Nominal Damages. [§ 30–22] .....	574
10.	Out-of-Pocket Damages. [§ 30–23] .....	575
11.	Punitive Damages. [§ 30–24] .....	575
12.	Reliance Damages. [§ 30–25] .....	575
13.	Special Damages. [§ 30–26] .....	575
14.	Stipulated Damages. [§ 30–27] .....	575
D.	Expectation Damages: The General Measure of Contract Damages. [§ 30–28] .....	576
1.	Calculating Expectation Damages. [§ 30–29] .....	576
a.	Lost Value .....	576

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

---

b.	Incidental Loss. ....	577
c.	Consequential Loss. ....	577
d.	Cost Avoided. ....	577
e.	Loss Avoided. ....	578
2.	Employment Contracts: Breach by Employer. [§ 30–30] ....	579
3.	Employment Contracts: Breach by Employee. [§ 30–31] ....	581
4.	Construction Contracts: Breach by Builder. [§ 30–32].....	582
a.	Example. [§ 30–33].....	584
b.	Example. [§ 30–34].....	584
c.	Example of <i>Jacob &amp; Youngs, Inc. v. Kent</i> . [§ 30–35].....	584
5.	Construction Contracts: Breach by Landowner. [§ 30–36] .....	585
6.	Real Estate Contracts: Breach by Buyer. [§ 30–37] .....	586
7.	Real Estate Contracts: Breach by Seller. [§ 30–38].....	587
a.	Example. [§ 30–39].....	587
8.	Expectation Damage Recovery Under Contracts Governed by the UCC. [§ 30–40].....	588
a.	Buyer’s Damages. [§ 30–41] .....	588
(1)	Example. [§ 30–42].....	589
(2)	Different Formulation for Buyer’s Warranty Damages. [§ 30–43] .....	590
b.	Seller’s Damages. [§ 30–44].....	590
(1)	Example. [§ 30–45].....	591
(2)	Different Formulation for Lost Volume Sellers. [§ 30–46].....	592
9.	Expectation Damages in “Losing” Contracts. [§ 30–47].....	592
E.	Three Limitations on the Recoverability of Expectation Damages: Certainty, Foreseeability, and Avoidability. [§ 30–48] .....	593
1.	The “Certainty” Limitation: Damages Cannot Be Recovered Unless Proven with “Reasonable Certainty.” [§ 30–49] .....	593
a.	Modern Rules as to How “Certain” a Damage Must Be Proven to Be Recoverable. [§ 30–50] .....	594
(1)	The Reasonable Certainty Test Typically Limits Recovery of Damages Only Where a Non- Breaching Buyer Is Suing for Consequential Lost Profits Resulting from a “Collateral” Transaction. [§ 30–51].....	595
(2)	Example. [§ 30–52].....	597
(3)	Example. [§ 30–53].....	598
2.	The “Foreseeability” Limitation: Damages Unrecoverable Unless the Breaching Party Either Foresaw, or Should Have Foreseen the Type of Damage at the Time of Contracting. [§ 30–54] .....	598
a.	<i>Hadley v. Baxendale</i> . [§ 30–55] .....	599



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

---

b.	Analysis of <i>Hadley v. Baxendale</i> and the “Foreseeability” Limitation of Contract Damages. [§ 30–56].....	601
c.	The Modern Test for Whether a Loss Is a “Direct” or an “Indirect” Consequential Damage. [§ 30–57] .....	602
d.	Example. [§ 30–58].....	604
3.	The Avoidability Limitation. [§ 30–59].....	605
a.	Example of <i>Rockingham County v. Luten Bridge Co.</i> [§ 30–60].....	606
b.	Limits on the Avoidability Principle: An Injured Party Need Not Take Steps to Avoid Damages if Doing So Would Cause the Injured Party Undue Risk, Burden or Humiliation. [§ 30–61] .....	606
(1)	Example. [§ 30–62].....	606
(2)	Example. [§ 30–63].....	607
(3)	Example of <i>Parker v. Twentieth Century-Fox Film Corp.</i> [§ 30–64].....	608
F.	Reliance Damages. [§ 30–65] .....	609
1.	The Availability of Reliance Damages. [§ 30–66] .....	609
a.	Example. [§ 30–67].....	610
2.	Limitations on Reliance Damage Recovery. [§ 30–68] .....	610
a.	Reliance Damages Must Be Proven with Reasonable Certainty. [§ 30–69] .....	611
b.	Limitation on the Recovery of Reliance Damages in “Losing Contract” Situations. [§ 30–70] .....	611
(1)	Example. [§ 30–71].....	611
c.	The Value of Any Salvageable Materials Must Be Subtracted from a Recovery of Reliance Damages as a Cost Avoided. [§ 30–72] .....	612
d.	Damages Must Not Be Avoidable Without Undue Risk, Burden, or Humiliation. [§ 30–73] .....	612
3.	Recovery Based on the Reliance Interest in Other Situations. [§ 30–74].....	612
G.	Emotional Distress Damages Are Generally Not Available in Breach of Contract Suits. [§ 30–75].....	613
1.	Exception: Emotional Distress Damages Are Available When the Breach of Contract Also Results in Tangible Personal Injury. [§ 30–76] .....	614
a.	Example. [§ 30–77].....	614
b.	Example of <i>Sullivan v. O’Connor</i> . [§ 30–78] .....	614
2.	Exception: Recovery for Emotional Distress Is Permitted When Such Harm Is “Particularly Likely” to Result from a Breach. [§ 30–79] .....	615
H.	Liquidated Damages. [§ 30–80] .....	615
1.	Requirements for an Enforceable Liquidated Damage Provision. [§ 30–81].....	617

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

---

a.	The Amount of the Liquidated Damage Must Be Reasonable in Light of the Anticipated or Actual Loss. [§ 30–82] .....	617
(1)	Example. [§ 30–83] .....	617
b.	Actual Damages Must Be Somewhat Difficult to Prove in Order for a Liquidated Damage Provision to Be Enforceable. [§ 30–84] .....	618
(1)	Example. [§ 30–85] .....	618
2.	Alternative Performance Clauses Distinguished from Liquidated Damage Clauses. [§ 30–86] .....	619
I.	Punitive Damages Are Generally Not Recoverable in Breach of Contract Actions. [§ 30–87] .....	620
1.	Exception to General Rule: Punitive Damages Can Be Recovered for “Bad Faith” Breaches of Insurance Contracts. [§ 30–88] .....	621
J.	The Injured Party’s Right to Recover Pre-Judgment and Post-Judgment Interest on Any Award. [§ 30–89] .....	622
K.	Reduction of “Future” Awards to Present Value. [§ 30–90] .....	623
L.	Exam Approach to Damage Issues. [§ 30–91] .....	623
	<b>Chapter 31. Restitution</b> .....	<b>625</b>
A.	Restitution Overview. [§ 31–1] .....	625
1.	Some General Principles of Restitution. [§ 31–2] .....	625
2.	When Restitution Is Available. [§ 31–3] .....	627
B.	Rules Common to All Restitution Actions. [§ 31–4] .....	628
1.	Two Common Methods of Valuing the Restitution Interest: The “Cost Avoided” and the “Net Benefit” Methods. [§ 31–5] .....	628
a.	The “Cost Avoided” Method: Defined. [§ 31–6] .....	628
b.	The “Net Benefit” Method: Defined. [§ 31–7] .....	629
c.	Example Applying “Cost Avoided” and “Net Benefit” Methods. [§ 31–8] .....	629
d.	Additional Example Applying “Cost Avoided” and “Net Benefit” Methods. [§ 31–9] .....	629
e.	Determining Which Method of Valuing the Restitutionary Interest Should Be Used. [§ 31–10] .....	630
2.	The “Mutual Restitution” Requirement. [§ 31–11] .....	630
a.	Example. [§ 31–12] .....	631
b.	Example. [§ 31–13] .....	631
C.	Limitations of Restitutionary Recovery for Breach of Contract. [§ 31–14] .....	631
1.	Restitution Is Available Only if the Breach Would Give Rise to Damages for Total, and Not Just Immaterial, Breach. [§ 31–15] .....	632
a.	Example. [§ 31–16] .....	632

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

---

2.	Restitution Is Not Available if the Non-Breaching Party Has Performed All of His or Her Duties Under the Contract, and No Performance by the Breaching Party Remains Due Other than the Payment of a Definite Sum of Money. [§ 31-17] .....	632
a.	Example. [§ 31-18].....	633
b.	Example. [§ 31-19].....	633
3.	Majority Rule: Restitution Unavailable to a <i>Willful</i> Breacher. [§ 31-20] .....	634
D.	Common Fact Situations Involving the Non-Breaching Party Seeking Restitution. [§ 31-21] .....	634
1.	Restitution Is Almost Always Used in “Losing Contract” Situations. [§ 31-22] .....	634
a.	Example. [§ 31-23].....	634
2.	Restitution Is Almost Always Used When the Value of the Benefits Provided Exceeds the Reasonable Value of Those Services. [§ 31-24].....	635
a.	Example. [§ 31-25].....	635
3.	Restitution Is Never Used When the Value of the Benefits Is Less than the Contract Price. [§ 31-26] .....	636
a.	Example. [§ 31-27].....	636
E.	Issues When Restitutory Recovery Is Sought by the Party in Breach. [§ 31-28] .....	636
1.	Calculating the Value of the Restitutory Award in Cases Brought by the Breaching Party. [§ 31-29].....	637
a.	Example. [§ 31-30].....	637
F.	Recovery in Restitution for Quasi-Contract. [§ 31-31] .....	638
1.	Example. [§ 31-32].....	639
G.	Restitutory Recovery in Voidable Contracts. [§ 31-33] .....	639
1.	Example. [§ 31-34].....	640
H.	Exam Approach to Restitution Issues. [§ 31-35].....	640
	<b>Chapter 32. Remedies for Breach Under the UCC.....</b>	<b>641</b>
A.	UCC Remedies Generally. [§ 32-1] .....	641
B.	Equitable Remedies Available to Buyers Under the UCC. [§ 32-2] .....	641
1.	Specific Performance. [§ 32-3] .....	641
a.	Example. [§ 32-4].....	642
b.	<i>Sedmak v. Charlie’s Chevrolet, Inc.</i> [§ 32-5] .....	642
c.	Review Problem. [§ 32-6] .....	643
2.	Replevin. [§ 32-7].....	643
C.	Comparison of UCC and Common Law Money Damage Provisions. [§ 32-8].....	644
1.	Consequential Damages Under the UCC: § 2-715(2). [§ 32-9] .....	644
2.	Incidental Damages Under the UCC. [§ 32-10] .....	646

---

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

---

D.	Buyer’s Right to Sue for Damages in Cases Where He or She Does Not Have the Goods at the Time of Suit. [§ 32–11].....	646
1.	UCC § 2–712: Cover Damages. [§ 32–12].....	647
a.	The Formula for Recovery of Cover Damages. [§ 32–13].....	648
(1)	Example. [§ 32–14].....	648
2.	UCC § 2–713: Market Differential Damages. [§ 32–15].....	650
a.	The Formula for Market Differential Damages. [§ 32–16].....	650
(1)	Issues in Determining the “Market Price.” [§ 32–17].....	650
(a)	Determining the “Temporal” Market. [§ 32–18].....	650
(i)	Exception Based on <i>Oloffson v. Coomer</i> . [§ 32–19].....	651
(b)	Determining the Geographic Market. [§ 32–20].....	651
(2)	Example. [§ 32–21].....	652
3.	Buyer’s Right to Market Differential Damages Even if Buyer Has Covered. [§ 32–22].....	653
E.	Buyer’s Right to Damages for Breach of Warranty and Other Non-Conforming Tender When the Buyer Has Possession of the Goods at the Time of Filing of the Breach of Contract Suit. [§ 32–23].....	653
1.	The Formula for Breach of Warranty Recovery Under UCC § 2–714. [§ 32–24].....	654
a.	The Difference Between the Value of the Goods as Promised and the Value of Goods Received. [§ 32–25].....	654
2.	The Formula for Other Non-Conforming Tenders. [§ 32–26].....	655
3.	Buyer’s Right to Offset Damages Under § 2–717. [§ 32–27].....	655
4.	Buyer’s Right to Recover Deposits, Down Payments and the Like. [§ 32–28].....	656
F.	Seller’s Right to Sue for the Full Contract Price upon Buyer’s Breach: Sellers “Specific Performance.” [§ 32–29].....	656
1.	When Buyer Has Accepted the Goods. [§ 32–30].....	657
2.	When the Goods Are Lost or Destroyed After the Risk of Loss Passed to the Buyer. [§ 32–31].....	657
3.	When Seller Attempts to Re-Sell Goods Wrongfully Rejected by Buyer, but Cannot Obtain a Reasonable Price upon Re-Sale. [§ 32–32].....	658
4.	When Seller Establishes That Resale of the Wrongfully Rejected Goods Would Be Unavailing. [§ 32–33].....	658

---

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

---

G.	Seller’s Right to Sue for Damages Other than the Full Contract Price upon Buyer’s Breach. [§ 32–34]	659
1.	Recovery Under § 2–706: Seller’s “Cover” Damages. [§ 32–35]	659
a.	The Formula for Calculating a Seller’s “Cover” Damages. [§ 32–36]	659
(1)	Example. [§ 32–37]	660
2.	Recovery Under § 2–708(1): Seller’s Market Differential Damages. [§ 32–38]	660
a.	The Formula for Calculating a Seller’s Market Differential Damages. [§ 32–39]	661
(1)	Problems in Determining the “Market Price.” [§ 32–40]	661
(a)	Determining the Temporal Market. [§ 32–41]	661
(b)	Determining the Geographic Market. [§ 32–42]	661
(2)	Example. [§ 32–43]	662
3.	Seller’s Right to Market Differential Damages Even if Buyer Has Covered. [§ 32–44]	662
4.	Recovery Under § 2–708(2): Seller’s Lost Profit Recovery. [§ 32–45]	662
a.	“Lost Volume” Sellers. [§ 32–46]	663
(1)	The Formula for Lost Volume Seller’s Recovery of Lost Profits Under UCC § 2–708(2). [§ 32–47]	664
b.	Recovery upon Buyer’s Breach When the Manufacturer/Seller Has Only Partially Completed the Good. [§ 32–48]	664
H.	Liquidated Damages Under Article 2. [§ 32–49]	665
I.	Emotional Distress Damages, Punitive Damages, and Recovery of Pre-Judgment Interest Under the UCC. [§ 32–50]	666
J.	Limitation on, or Modification of, Contract Remedies. [§ 32–51]	666
1.	When a Limited Remedy “Fails of Its Essential Purpose” Under UCC § 2–719(2). [§ 32–52]	666
a.	When a “No Consequential Damages” Clause Is Linked with a “Repair or Replacement” or “Warranty Disclaimer” Clause. [§ 32–53]	667
2.	Distinction Between Limitation of Remedy Under UCC § 2–719 and Disclaimer of Warranty Under § 2–316. [§ 32–54]	669
K.	Exam Approach to UCC Remedies Issues. [§ 32–55]	669

---

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

---

### PART IX. MISCELLANEOUS UCC AND CISG DOCTRINES

<b>Chapter 33. Applicability of Article Two of the UCC and the CISG</b> .....	<b>673</b>
A. Applicability of Article Two of the UCC Generally. [§ 33-1] .....	673
B. Article Two Is Not Limited to Merchants. [§ 33-2] .....	673
C. UCC § 2-102: Article Two Applies to “Transactions in Goods.” [§ 33-3] .....	674
1. The Meaning of “Transaction.” [§ 33-4] .....	674
a. Sales. [§ 33-5].....	674
b. Leases. [§ 33-6] .....	674
2. The Meaning of “Goods.” [§ 33-7] .....	676
a. Example. [§ 33-8].....	676
b. Example. [§ 33-9].....	677
D. Article Two Applicability to Sales/Services “Hybrid” Transactions. [§ 33-10] .....	677
1. The “Predominant Purpose” Test. [§ 33-11] .....	677
2. The “Gravamen” Test. [§ 33-12] .....	678
E. Article Two Applicability When There Is a Sale of Something Attached to Realty. [§ 33-13].....	678
F. Applicability of the CISG. [§ 33-14] .....	679
G. Exam Approach to Article Two/CISG Applicability Issues. [§ 33-15] .....	680
<b>Chapter 34. Risk of Loss and Mercantile Terms</b> .....	<b>681</b>
A. Risk of Loss, Generally. [§ 34-1] .....	681
1. Consequences of Risk of Loss Allocation. [§ 34-2].....	681
2. Risk of Loss Rules in the Absence of Breach. [§ 34-3] .....	682
a. Shipment Contract. [§ 34-4] .....	682
b. Destination Contract. [§ 34-5] .....	682
3. Effect of Breach on Risk of Loss. [§ 34-6] .....	683
a. When a Seller Breaches in Such a Way to Give the Buyer a Right of Rejection. [§ 34-7] .....	683
b. UCC § 2-510(2): When a Seller Breaches and Buyer Revokes Acceptance. [§ 34-8] .....	684
c. UCC § 2-510(3): When a Buyer Repudiates or Otherwise Breaches Before the Risk Has Shifted to Him or Her. [§ 34-9] .....	684
B. Risk of Loss Under the CISG. [§ 34-10].....	684
C. Mercantile Terms. [§ 34-11] .....	685
1. F.O.B. [§ 34-12] .....	685
2. F.A.S. [§ 34-13] .....	686
a. “F.O.B.” When Used with a Vessel. [§ 34-14] .....	686
3. C.I.F. [§ 34-15].....	686
4. C. & F. [§ 34-16] .....	687

---

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

---

<b>Chapter 35. Warranties</b> .....	<b>689</b>
A. Warranties Under the UCC Generally. [§ 35-1] .....	689
B. Express Warranties. [§ 35-2].....	689
1. An Express Warranty Is Created by an Affirmation, Promise, Description, or Sample. [§ 35-3] .....	689
2. The Affirmations Made by the Seller Must Become Part of “the Basis of the Bargain.” [§ 35-4].....	691
a. Theory One: “Basis of the Bargain” Means “Reliance.” [§ 35-5] .....	691
b. Theory Two: “Basis of the Bargain” Means the Affirmation Was Made Before the Sale Took Place. [§ 35-6].....	692
3. Disclaiming an Express Warranty. [§ 35-7] .....	693
C. Implied Warranty of Merchantability. [§ 35-8].....	696
1. The Buyer Must Establish That the Seller Was a “Merchant.” [§ 35-9] .....	696
2. The Buyer Must Establish That the Goods Were Not “Merchantable.” [§ 35-10] .....	696
3. Disclaimer of Implied Warranty of Merchantability. [§ 35-11] .....	697
D. The Implied Warranty of Fitness for a Particular Purpose. [§ 35-12] .....	698
1. Example. [§ 35-13].....	698
2. Example. [§ 35-14].....	699
3. Disclaiming the Implied Warranty of Fitness for a Particular Purpose. [§ 35-15].....	699
E. Doctrines Applicable to All Warranty Claims: Notice and Privity. [§ 35-16].....	700
1. The Notice Requirement Under UCC § 2-607(3). [§ 35-17] .....	700
2. Who Is a Proper Defendant: Vertical Privity. [§ 35-18].....	700
3. Who Is Entitled to Sue: Horizontal Privity. [§ 35-19].....	702
F. Warranty Defenses. [§ 35-20].....	703
1. Assumption of the Risk. [§ 35-21] .....	703
a. Comparative Negligence. [§ 35-22] .....	703
2. Unforeseeable Misuse of the Product. [§ 35-23] .....	704
G. Damages for Breach of Warranty. [§ 35-24].....	704
H. Warranties Under CISG. [§ 35-25] .....	704
I. Exam Approach to Warranty Issues. [§ 35-26] .....	704
<b>Sample Multiple Choice Questions</b> .....	<b>707</b>
<b>Answers to Sample Multiple Choice Questions</b> .....	<b>729</b>
<b>Sample Essay Questions</b> .....	<b>747</b>
<b>Suggested Analysis of Sample Essay Questions</b> .....	<b>761</b>

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

---

<b>Case Squibs</b> .....	<b>815</b>
TABLE OF CASES .....	863
TABLE OF RESTATEMENT OF CONTRACTS .....	867
TABLE OF UCC SECTIONS.....	873
TABLE OF CISG SECTIONS.....	877
INDEX .....	879