

DETAILED TABLE OF CONTENTS

Acknowledgments	v
Preface	vii
Study Guide: How to Use This Book	xxix
Table of Cases	xxxv
Ch. 1. Introduction to Criminal Procedure	1
⇨ “Investigation” vs. “Adjudication”	
⇨ Stages of a Criminal Case	
⇨ Overview of Policing	
Ch. 2. Common Themes	19
⇨ Liberty vs. Security	
⇨ Rules vs. Discretion	
⇨ Cost vs. Accuracy	
Quick Summary	29
Ch. 3. Sources of the Law	31
⇨ Fourth, Fifth, Sixth, and Eighth Amendments	
⇨ Increased Significance of Statutes	
⇨ Influence of Politics on the Law of Criminal Procedure	
Quick Summary	39
Ch. 4. When Does the Fourth Amendment Apply?	41
⇨ Limitations on the Fourth Amendment	
⇨ Which “People” Are Protected	
⇨ State Action	
What Is a “Government Agent”?	46
When Does a Private Individual Become a State Actor?	47
Quick Summary	50
Ch. 5. Overview of Limitations on Searches	55
⇨ The “Reasonableness” Clause and the “Warrant” Clause	
⇨ The Warrant Presumption	
⇨ Different Legal Standards for Different Types of Surveillance	
⇨ More Intrusive Surveillance Usually Requires a Higher Legal Standard	
Quick Summary	59

Ch. 6.	When Is a Search a “Fourth Amendment Search”?	61
	↪ <i>Katz</i> Test	
	↪ “Reasonable Expectation of Privacy”	
	↪ No Protection in What Is Held Out to the Public	
	↪ “Open Fields” vs. “Curtilage”	
	Public Areas	64
	“Open Fields” vs. “Curtilage”	66
	“Flyover” Surveillance	69
	Containers in Public	70
	Garbage	72
	The Rebirth of the Trespass Doctrine as “Physical Intrusion.”	73
	Quick Summary	78
	<i>Katz v. United States</i>	81
	<i>United States v. Jones</i>	86
Ch. 7.	The Fourth Amendment and New Technologies	99
	↪ Use of a New Surveillance Technology Constitutes a Search If the Use Reveals Information about a Constitutionally Protected Area	
	↪ Defendant’s “Reasonable Expectation of Privacy” Must Be Legitimate	
	Monitoring Public Areas with Surveillance Technology, Part I	102
	Monitoring Public Areas with Surveillance Technology, Part II	103
	Encryption	106
	“Sense-Enhancing” Devices	108
	“Legitimate” Expectation of Privacy and Binary Surveillance	109
	Wiretapping, Computer Surveillance, and Statutory Restrictions on Technological Surveillance	113
	Quick Summary	114
	<i>Kyllo v. United States</i>	117
	<i>Illinois v. Caballes</i>	126
Ch. 8.	The Fourth Amendment and Third Parties	133
	↪ Information Shared with Third Parties Is Generally Not Protected by the Fourth Amendment	
	↪ Address Information Shared with Third Parties Is Always Unprotected; Content Information May Be Protected	
	↪ Certain Private Information That Is Only Passively Shared with Third Parties Is Still Protected	
	Police Informants	137

	Hotel Maids, Landlords, and House Guests— Third Party	
	Access to Protected Areas	139
	Companies as Third Parties	140
	The Exception: Passive Sharing of Private Information	144
	Quick Summary	151
	<i>Smith v. Maryland</i>	154
	<i>Carpenter v. United States</i>	163
Ch. 9.	What Is a “Seizure”?	175
	↪ Seizure of Objects: “Meaningful Interference”	
	↪ Seizure of Individuals: “Reasonably Believe Not Free to Leave”	
	↪ Seizure Must Be “Reasonable” in Scope and Duration	
	Has an Item Been Seized?	178
	Seizing Items: When Is It Permissible?	183
	Seizing Items: How Intrusive Can the Seizure Be?	185
	Seizing a Person	187
	Police Discretion in Seizing a Person	193
	Pretextual Seizures	196
	Use of Deadly Force While Seizing an Individual	198
	Quick Summary	203
	<i>United States v. Place</i>	206
	<i>Scott v. Harris</i>	214
Ch. 10.	Probable Cause	223
	↪ Basis of Knowledge	
	↪ Reliability of Informant	
	↪ Totality of the Circumstances	
	↪ Practical Considerations of Everyday Life	
	↪ Reasonable Grounds for Belief in Guilt	
	Basis of Knowledge	234
	Veracity	237
	Totality of the Circumstances	239
	The “Mere Presence” Factor	241
	Building Inspections	246
	Quick Summary	247
	<i>Illinois v. Gates</i>	251
Ch. 11.	Issuing and Executing a Warrant	267
	↪ Warrant “Preference”	
	↪ Oath or Affirmation Must Support Warrant	

↪ Must Establish Probable Cause That Crime Has Occurred and That Fruits/Evidence/Instrumentalities Will Be Found	
↪ Particularity Needed for Places Searched/Item or Person Seized	
↪ Neutral and Detached Magistrate Must Approve Warrant	
↪ Arrest Inside the Home Generally Requires a Warrant	
How to Obtain a Warrant: Statements Made Under “Oath or Affirmation.”	270
The Subpoena Power	272
Neutral and Detached Magistrate.”	273
Sufficient “Particularity.”	275
Executing a Warrant	277
Challenging a Warrant	280
Arrest Warrants	283
When and Why Do Police Use Arrest Warrants?	284
Quick Summary	289
Sample Affidavit for a Warrant	290
<i>Lo-Ji Sales v. New York</i>	296
<i>Minnesota v. Olson</i>	302
Ch. 12. Introduction to the Exceptions to the Warrant Requirement	309
↪ “Specifically Established” and “Well-Delineated”	
↪ S-P-A-C-E-S	
Ch. 13. Exceptions to the Warrant Requirement: Search	
Incident to a Lawful Arrest	313
↪ Officer Safety and Preservation of Evidence	
↪ “Wingspan” Rule	
↪ Special Rules for Arrests of Car Occupants	
Right to Search Incident to Lawful Arrest Is Automatic	317
Limits on Geographic Range of Search—Generally	318
Limits on Geographic Range of Search—Cars	320
Limits on Timing of Search	326
Must Be a “Lawful Arrest.”	327
Searching Cell Phones and Other Digital Evidence	329
Quick Summary	336
<i>Arizona v. Gant</i>	339
<i>Riley v. California</i>	350
<i>United States v. Wurie</i>	350

Ch. 14. Exceptions to the Warrant Requirement: Plain View	365
↪ Lawful Vantage Point	
↪ Lawful Access	
↪ Readily Apparent Incriminating Nature	
↪ Plain Feel (!) and Plain Smell (?)	
Inadvertence Is (Probably) No Longer a Factor	367
Lawful Vantage Point	371
Lawful Access	372
“Immediately Apparent” Nature of the Contraband	373
Touch Is a Natural Corollary of Sight	377
A “Plain Smell” Doctrine?	380
Plain View in Computer Searches	382
Pretext (Usually) Does Not Matter	382
Quick Summary	384
<i>Horton v. California</i>	387
<i>Minnesota v. Dickerson</i>	396
Ch. 15. Exceptions to the Warrant Requirement: Automobile	403
↪ Probable Cause That Car Contains Contraband	
↪ All Containers Therein Are Fair Game	
↪ Car Must Be Functionally Mobile	
Probable Cause to Believe the Vehicle Contains Contraband	407
Containers Found Therein	411
Are People Containers?	414
The “Inherent Mobility” of Cars	416
Quick Summary	420
<i>California v. Carney</i>	423
Ch. 16. Exceptions to the Warrant Requirement: Consent	433
↪ Actual vs. Apparent Authority	
↪ Prosecutor’s Burden to Demonstrate Voluntariness	
↪ Consent-Once-Removed	
Landlords, Roommates and Spouses: Assessing Actual	
Authority When Property Is “Shared”	438
Children, Hotel Night Clerks, and Former Lovers: Determining	
Apparent Authority When Third Parties Consent	444
Voluntariness and the Totality of the Circumstances	447
Police Declarations of Authority and Their Effect on	
Voluntariness	449

The Scope of Consent Searches and the Terms of Authorization . . . 451
Consent-Once-Removed 453
Quick Summary 454
Schneckloth v. Bustamonte 457

Ch. 17. Exceptions to the Warrant Requirement:

Exigent Circumstances 471

- ↪ **Exigency Needn't Involve "Hue and Cry" in Streets**
- ↪ **Even "Police-Manufactured" Exigency May Qualify**
- ↪ **No *Per Se* Exigency: Totality of Circumstances Should Be Examined in Each Case**
 - Fleeing Felons and "Hot" Pursuit 473
 - Police-Manufactured Exigency 478
 - Categorical Exigency? 479
 - Securing the Premises 484
 - Quick Summary 486
 - Kentucky v. King* 489

Ch. 18. Exceptions to the Warrant Requirement:

Special Needs Searches 501

- ↪ **"Special Needs" Searches Serve an Interest Other Than Crime Control**
- ↪ **Usually No Individualized Suspicion Is Required**
- ↪ **Categories Include Administrative Searches, Border Searches, Searches of Schoolchildren, Some Drug Testing, Vehicular Checkpoints, and Anti-Terrorism Searches**
 - Administrative Searches 506
 - Emergency Aid and Other Public Administration
 - Functions of Law Enforcement 511
 - Border Checkpoints 513
 - Searches of Prisoners, Parolees, and Probationers 515
 - DNA Tests of Arrestees 516
 - Searches of Students 518
 - Drug Testing of Employees 520
 - Vehicular Checkpoints 523
 - Anti-Terrorism Searches 526
 - The Problem of Pretext 528
 - Quick Summary 530
 - City of Indianapolis v. Edmond* 535
 - Maryland v. King* 545

Ch. 19. Reasonable Suspicion and <i>Terry</i>'s "Stop and Frisk"	565
↪ Reasonable Suspicion	
↪ Investigatory Stop	
↪ Protective Frisk	
When Does a Police Officer Have "Reasonable Suspicion"?	570
Scope: Duration of the Stop	576
Scope: Change in Location of the Stop	580
Traffic Stops	583
When are Officers Permitted to Frisk the Suspect?	585
Scope: Method of the Frisk	586
<i>Terry</i> "Frisk" of a Location	588
Quick Summary	593
<i>Terry v. Ohio</i>	598
<i>Illinois v. Wardlow</i>	613
Ch. 20. More than a Search	621
↪ Statutes Can Create Greater Protection than the Fourth Amendment Provides	
↪ Wiretapping Requires a "Title III Order"	
↪ Courts Set More Stringent Standards for Other Highly Intrusive Surveillance Methods	
How to Get a Title III Order	628
Minimization Requirement	629
Least Intrusive Means Requirement	631
Covert Video Surveillance of Private Areas	633
Bodily Intrusions	634
"No-Knock" Search Warrants	637
Quick Summary	640
Ch. 21. Statutory Requirements: Less than a Search	645
↪ The Pen Register Act Applies to Obtaining "Address" Information	
↪ The Stored Communications Act Regulates How the Government Obtains Most Data Stored by Third Parties	
↪ The Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act Applies to Electronic Surveillance Whose Primary Purpose Is Gathering Foreign Intelligence	
The Pen Register Act	645
Post-Cut-Through Dialed Digits	647
E-mail Headers	648

The Stored Communications Act (“SCA”)	649
What Kind of Data Is Covered?	652
Determining the Rule for Stored E-mails	654
The Foreign Service Intelligence Act (“FISA”)	656
Quick Summary	666
Ch. 22. Standing	671
↪ Defendant Must Be “Victim” of Illegal Police Conduct	
↪ Overnight Guests Have Standing	
↪ Short Term Guests May Have Standing	
Basic Principles	674
Possessory Interest in an Item	679
Who Is the “Victim” of the Police Conduct?	681
Standing to Object to a Seizure	682
Solving the Standing “Dilemma.”	683
Quick Summary	684
<i>Rawlings v. Kentucky</i>	687
<i>Minnesota v. Carter</i>	694
Ch. 23. Remedies for Violations of the Fourth Amendment: Exclusion, Civil Suits, Administrative Punishment, and Criminal Actions	701
↪ The Exclusionary Rule	
↪ Deterrence and Judicial Integrity	
↪ Civil Law Suits and Criminal Prosecution	
Both Tangible and Intangible Evidence May Be Excluded	706
Indirect Evidence Also Is Excluded as “Fruit of the Poisonous Tree.”	709
The Impeachment Exception to the Exclusionary Rule	710
Exception to Exclusion: Independent Source	714
Exception to Exclusion: Inevitable Discovery	717
Exception to Exclusion: Attenuation of the Taint	719
Exception to Exclusion: Good Faith	722
The Future of the Exclusionary Rule: Case-by-Case Analysis?	725
Civil Lawsuits	729
Internal Police Discipline or Criminal Prosecution of Violators	733
What Is the Legal Basis for the Rule?	734
Should the Court Abolish the Rule?	736
Quick Summary	737

<i>Mapp v. Ohio</i>	740
<i>Herring v. United States</i>	750
Ch. 24. An Introduction to Interrogations	759
↪ “Involuntary” vs. “Unwarned” Statements	
↪ Involuntary Statements (and Their Fruit) Are Not Admissible for Any Purpose	
↪ Unwarned (<i>i.e.</i> , un-Mirandized) Statements May Be Used to Impeach, and Their Fruit May Be Admitted	
↪ Voluntariness and <i>Miranda</i> Requirements Are Complementary, But Distinct, Measures of the Coerciveness of a Statement	
Ch. 25. Interrogations and Voluntariness	767
↪ Voluntariness Inquiry Is Grounded in the Due Process Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment	
↪ The Test Is Whether the Statement Was Made Freely, Voluntarily, and Without Compulsion or Inducement	
↪ Involuntary Statements and Their Fruit May Not Be Used for Any Purpose	
Physical Abuse and Torture as Coercion	770
Threats and Psychological Ploys as Coercion	772
Exploitation of Coercion from Non-Police Sources	777
Interrogations That “Shock the Conscience.”	780
Fruit of the Poisonous Interrogation Tree	782
Quick Summary	783
<i>Arizona v. Fulminante</i>	788
<i>Chavez v. Martinez</i>	794
Ch. 26. Interrogations and <i>Miranda</i>	807
↪ Both “Custody” and “Interrogation” Are Needed	
↪ Not Just a Prophylactic Rule, a Constitutional Requirement	
↪ Invocation of Rights Must Be Clear and Unambiguous	
↪ Waiver of Rights Need Only Be Voluntary, Knowing, and Intelligent	
Custody	819
Interrogation	823
Invocation of Rights	826
Waiver of Rights	831

Can Communication with a Suspect Be Resumed	
After Invocation of Rights?	835
Midstream <i>Miranda</i> Warnings	840
The Public Safety Exception to <i>Miranda</i>	844
Quick Summary	846
<i>Miranda v. Arizona</i>	853
<i>New York v. Quarles</i>	877
Ch. 27. Identifications	889
↔ Right to Counsel at Identification Procedures	
↔ Due Process Clause Precludes Extremely	
Unreliable Out-of-Court Identifications	
↔ Certain Witness Identification Procedures Are Unreliable	
Right to an Attorney	894
Out-of-Court Identifications: “Unnecessarily Suggestive.”	896
Out-of-Court Identifications: Reliability	899
Eliminating the Taint of an Improper Identification	905
Fallibility of Identification Evidence and Methods of	
Combatting It	906
Quick Summary	909
<i>Ted States v. Wade</i>	912
<i>Neil v. Biggers</i>	928
Ch. 28. Screening by Prosecutors	935
↔ The Prosecution’s Charging Decision—Exercising Legal	
Discretion and Equitable Discretion	
↔ Selective Prosecution and Vindictive Prosecution	
↔ Ethical Restrictions on the Charging Power	
↔ Overcharging	
Who Are the Prosecutors?	937
The Charging Decision	938
Selective Prosecution	946
Vindictive Prosecution	949
Following the Ethical Guidelines—Applying Legal and Equitable	
Discretion	951
Failing to Follow the Ethical Guidelines	954
Office-Wide Policies vs. Individual Screening	957
Selecting the “Correct” Charge and the	
Correct Number of Charges	958
Quick Summary	960

Ch. 29. Initial Appearance	963
↻ Defendant’s First Appearance in Court	
↻ Charges Formally Presented to Defendant	
↻ Probable Cause Determination Must Be Made	
“Promptly” After Arrest	
Timing of the Initial Appearance: the “Unnecessary	
Delay” Requirement	970
Filing a Complaint	971
Video Appearance	974
Quick Summary	975
Ch. 30. The Sixth Amendment Right to Counsel	977
↻ Attaches upon Initiation of the Criminal Process	
↻ Enjoyed at All “Critical Stages” of a Criminal Prosecution	
↻ Not Dependent upon Defendant’s Ability to Pay for Counsel	
↻ Waiver Must Be Voluntary, Knowing, and Intelligent	
A Poor Man’s Right to a Lawyer	983
Initiation of the Adversarial Process	987
Critical Stages	989
Waiver of Counsel and the Right to Self-Representation	993
The Right to Counsel of One’s Choosing	997
Quick Summary	1001
<i>Powell v. Alabama</i>	1006
<i>Gideon v. Wainwright</i>	1016
Ch. 31. The Sixth Amendment Right to Effective Counsel	1023
↻ <i>Strickland</i> : Deficient Performance + Prejudice =	
Constitutionally Ineffective Assistance	
↻ Deficient Performance = Below Objective	
Standard of Reasonableness	
↻ Prejudice = Reasonable Probability Result Would	
Have Been Different	
↻ Right to Effective Counsel Includes Right to	
Conflict-Free Counsel	
Assessing Ineffectiveness—Generally	1029
Conceding Guilt	1037
The Question of Prejudice	1040
The Effectiveness of Appellate Counsel	1043
Effective Assistance and Guilty Pleas	1045
Quick Summary	1051
<i>Strickland v. Washington</i>	1055

Ch. 32. The Right to Defense Counsel: Interrogations	1081
⇨ “Deliberate Elicitation” Requirement Is Not Synonymous with “Custodial Interrogation”	
⇨ Sixth Amendment Right to Counsel Is Offense-Specific	
⇨ Statements Taken in Violation of Sixth Amendment Right to Counsel May Be Used for Impeachment	
Recognizing Pre-Trial Interrogation as a “Critical Stage” of Trial	1085
Invocation and Waiver of the Right	1086
Deliberate Elicitation of Statements Is Required	1089
The Sixth Amendment Right Is Offense Specific	1094
The Use of Statements as Impeachment Evidence	1098
Miranda vs. Sixth Amendment Right to Counsel	1100
Quick Summary	1102
<i>Massiah v. United States</i>	1106
<i>Brewer v. Williams</i>	1110
Ch. 33. Bail and Pre-Trial Detention	1121
⇨ Purpose of Bail Is to Ensure Defendant Returns to Court	
⇨ Excessive Bail Prohibited	
⇨ Preventive Detention Permissible Under Certain Circumstances	
Mechanics of Bail	1127
The Bail Hearing	1131
When Is Bail “Excessive?”	1136
Bail by the Numbers	1139
Alternatives to Bail	1140
Quick Summary	1142
<i>United States v. Salerno</i>	1145
Ch. 34. Preliminary Hearings	1159
⇨ Full Adversarial Hearing to Determine Probable Cause	
⇨ Either a Preliminary Hearing or Grand Jury Is Necessary to Move Forward with a Felony Case	
⇨ Also Provides Discovery, Preserves Testimony, and Generates Written Record of Witness Statements	
Complaint, Information, or Indictment	1159
Function of the Preliminary Hearing	1161
Probable Cause	1164
Other Functions of the Preliminary Hearing	1167
Quick Summary	1171

Ch. 35. Grand Juries	1173
↪ In “Indictment States,” Grand Jury Must Vote to Formally Charge in Any Felony Case	
↪ Proceedings and Deliberations Are Secret, Although Witnesses May Discuss Testimony	
↪ At Federal Level, No Rules of Evidence, No Exclusionary Rule, No Judicial Review	
History of the Grand Jury	1180
Grand Jury Secrecy	1181
Lack of Judicial Review	1183
The Investigative Grand Jury	1185
Proposed Grand Jury Reforms	1188
Comparing the Preliminary Hearing and the Grand Jury	1189
Quick Summary	1192
<i>United States v. Williams</i>	1194
Ch. 36. Charging the Defendant	1207
↪ Purposes of the Charging Document	
↪ Sufficiency and Specificity Requirements	
↪ Amending the Charging Document	
↪ Variances	
Sufficiency and Specificity	1217
Duplicitious and Multiplicitous Counts	1223
Variances	1225
Amending an Information vs. Amending an Indictment	1229
State Variations	1232
Sample Indictment	1233
Quick Summary	1237
Ch. 37. Pre-Trial Motions: A Brief Primer	1241
↪ Pre-Trial Motions Governed Primarily by F.R.C.P. Rules 12 and 47	
↪ Magistrates Decide Motions, Usually After Hearings	
Ch. 38. Jurisdiction and Venue	1251
↪ Personal and Subject Matter Jurisdiction Needed	
↪ Subject Matter Jurisdiction Conferred by the Constitution and by Statute for Federal Courts	
↪ Venue Is Proper If One of the Elements of the Crime Occurred Within Boundaries of Forum District/State	

↪ Federal and State Jurisdiction May Overlap	
↪ Continuing Crimes: Jurisdiction and Venue in Multiple State and Federal Courts	
Ensuring Federal Jurisdiction	1259
Determining Venue	1263
Conspiracy and Computer Crimes	1267
Motions to Change Venue	1268
Quick Summary	1270
Ch. 39. Discovery	1275
↪ <i>Brady</i> Rule: Prosecutor Must Disclose Material, Exculpatory Information	
↪ Discovery Regulated by the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure	
↪ State Rules Vary Significantly in Terms of Parties’ Obligations	
↪ Disclosure Rules Imposed on Defense Must Be Matched by Reciprocal Requirements for Prosecution	
Discovery in Practice	1284
<i>Brady</i> Rule—“Exculpatory” and “Material”	1285
Extending <i>Brady</i> : Duty to Preserve Evidence and Assist the Defendant	1292
Defendant’s Discovery Obligations	1294
Remedies for Discovery Violations	1296
State Variations	1300
Other Ways of Gathering Information	1302
Quick Summary	1305
<i>United States v. Bagley</i>	1309
Ch. 40. Joinder and Severance	1323
↪ Joinder of Offenses Allowed If Same Character, Same Act, or Common Scheme	
↪ Joinder of Defendants Allowed If Charged with Same Series of Acts or Transactions	
↪ Severance Required If Defendant Demonstrates Severe Prejudice	
↪ <i>Bruton</i> Rule Mandates Severance If Prosecutor Introduces Co-Defendant’s Confession Implicating Defendant and Co-Defendant Does Not Testify	
Should Offenses/Defendants Be Joined?	1328
Once Joined, Can Offenses/Defendants Ever Be Severed?	1332

	Co-Defendant’s Confessions	1340
	Quick Summary	1343
	<i>Zafiro v. United States</i>	1347
	<i>Bruton v. United States</i>	1353
Ch. 41.	Speedy Trial	1363
	↪ Constitutional and Statutory Right to Speedy Trial Are Distinct, but Complementary	
	↪ Calculating Speedy Trial Time Is Not a Simple Matter of Counting Days	
	↪ Right to a Speedy Charge Also Has Constitutional and Statutory Dimensions	
	The Balancing Test for a Constitutional Claim	1370
	Calculating the Numbers for a Statutory Claim	1374
	Right to a Speedy Arrest and Charge	1378
	Remedy for Violation	1382
	Quick Summary	1383
	<i>Doggett v. United States</i>	1387
Ch. 42.	Plea Bargaining	1399
	↪ Plea Bargains Are the Primary Method of Resolving Criminal Cases	
	↪ Plea Bargains Are Governed by Rule 11	
	↪ Plea Bargains Are Also Governed by Principles of Contract Law	
	↪ Any Guilty Plea Must Be Knowing and Voluntary	
	↪ There Must Be a Factual Basis for Plea	
	What Is a “Knowing” Plea?	1410
	What Is a “Voluntary” Plea?	1413
	What Facts Does the Defendant Need to Admit?	1416
	The Role of the Judge	1419
	Plea Bargains as Contracts	1423
	Right to Counsel in Plea Negotiations	1429
	Quick Summary	1429
	<i>Bordenkircher v. Hayes</i>	1434
Ch. 43.	Trial by Jury	1443
	↪ Right to Jury: Only in “Non-Petty” Cases	
	↪ Size of Jury: Must Be at Least Six Jurors	
	↪ Unanimity Not Required	

⇨	Selection of Jurors: For Cause Strikes, Peremptory Strikes, and <i>Batson</i> Challenges	
	Constitutional Rules: Right to a Jury, Size of Jury, Unanimity, and Impartiality	1444
	Statutory Rules: Waiver of Right, Size of Jury	1447
	Petty vs. Non-Petty Offenses	1450
	Jury Selection	1455
	Discriminatory Use of Peremptories and <i>Batson</i> Challenges	1461
	The Size of the Jury	1466
	The Need for Unanimity?	1468
	The Right to Jury Trial and Sentencing	1471
	Quick Summary	1474
	<i>Ballew v. Georgia</i>	1479
	<i>Blakely v. Washington</i>	1488
Ch. 44.	Trial Procedures	1497
⇨	Stages of a Trial	
⇨	Sixth Amendment’s Guarantees and Due Process Clause— Right to a Public Trial, Confrontation, and Compulsory Process	
⇨	Rules of Criminal Procedure Impose Additional Limits on Trial Procedures	
	Constitutional Limitations	1500
	Rules of Criminal Procedure	1504
	Courtroom Closure	1507
	The Right to Confrontation	1508
	Compulsory Process	1514
	Finality of Verdicts	1515
	Quick Summary	1517
	<i>Portuondo v. Agard</i>	1520
	<i>Presley v. Georgia</i>	1526
Ch. 45.	Sentencing	1531
⇨	Significant Discretion Is Vested in Sentencing Judges	
⇨	Constitutional and Statutory Constraints	
⇨	Once Mandatory Sentencing Guidelines Are Now Only Advisory	
	Constitutional Limits on Sentencing	1535
	Non-Constitutional Limits on Sentencing and Sentencing Practices	1541

Proportionality Review	1545
Sentencing Guidelines	1548
Sentencing and Juveniles	1553
Quick Summary	1560
U.S. Sentencing Guidelines Sentencing Table	1562
<i>United States v. Booker</i>	1566
<i>United States v. Fanfan</i>	1566
<i>Miller v. Alabama</i>	1581
<i>Jackson v. Hobbs</i>	1581
Ch. 46. Double Jeopardy	1595
↪ Prohibits Multiple Prosecutions and Multiple Punishments	
↪ Does Not Encumber Legislatures; Restrains Courts and Prosecutors	
↪ <i>Blockburger</i> “Same Offense” Test: Does Each Offense Require Proof of an Additional Fact That the Other Does Not?	
↪ Dual Sovereigns Are Unimpeded by the Terms of the Double Jeopardy Clause	
Retrial Following a Prior Acquittal	1604
Lesser-Included Offenses and Retrial Following a Prior Acquittal	1611
“Same Offense” Preclusion vs. “Collateral Estoppel” Preclusion	1615
Double Jeopardy Issues at the End of a Trial	1617
Retrial After a Mistrial	1618
Retrial After a Conviction Is Reversed on Appeal	1620
The Question of Sentencing	1623
Quick Summary	1628
<i>Blockburger v. United States</i>	1632
Ch. 47. Appeals	1637
↪ Discretionary Review vs. Review as a Matter of Right	
↪ Final Judgment Rule and Interlocutory Appeals	
↪ Preservation of Appellate Claims	
↪ Harmless Error	
↪ Standards of Review: <i>De Novo</i>, Clear Error, Abuse of Discretion	
Two-Tiered Appellate Systems	1637
Getting Into the Appellate Court	1638
Making a Case	1640
Final Judgments and Collateral Orders	1652

Preservation and Plain Error	1654
Harmless Error	1657
Sufficiency of the Evidence	1660
Quick Summary	1663
<i>Jackson v. Virginia</i>	1667
<i>Arizona v. Fulminante</i>	1674
Ch. 48. Post-Conviction	1685
↪ Exhaustion of State Remedies Required	
↪ Habeas Relief Only If State Decision Is Contrary to or an Unreasonable Application of Federal Law	
↪ Cause and Prejudice May Excuse Procedural Defaults	
↪ Gateway Innocence vs. Stand-Alone Innocence	
28 U.S.C. § 2254	1689
28 U.S.C. § 2255	1696
28 U.S.C. § 2241	1696
The Writ of Error Coram Nobis	1697
Rule 35(b)	1698
Overcoming Exhaustion: Unavailable or Ineffective State Remedies	1698
Overcoming Procedural Default: Cause and Prejudice	1700
Overcoming Procedural Default: Gateway Innocence	1704
Contrary to/Unreasonable Application of Federal Law	1707
Quick Summary	1713
<i>House v. Bell</i>	1717
Subject Matter Index	1731