
TABLE OF CONTENTS

Cases marked with an * are available at the book's web site, www.princeton.edu/aci. Case names enclosed in brackets indicate cross-references to cases reprinted elsewhere in the book.

PREFACE.....	V
TABLE OF CASES.....	XXIII
Chapter 1. Interpreting a Constitution	1
I. The Functions of a Constitutional Text.....	3
II. Why Interpret the Constitutional Text?.....	6
A. Clarity	7
B. Conflict.....	8
C. Omissions.....	10
D. Unforeseen Developments	10
E. Reaffirmation	11
III. The Enterprise Called Constitutional Interpretation	13
IV. The Plan of This Book.....	14
A. WHAT Is the Constitution?.....	14
B. WHO Shall Interpret?.....	16
C. HOW to Interpret?	17
D. The Strains of Crisis and Putting It Back Together.....	18
Chapter 2. Constitutional Literacy.....	19
I. Purposes of Reading Judicial Opinions	19
II. Briefing a Case	22
III. Understanding Opinions: Style and Substance	24
A. Stare Decisis.....	25
B. The Limits of Stare Decisis	27
C. Continuity and Change.....	30
D. Problems of Omnipotence	30
Appendix: Deciphering the Numbers and Locating Resources.....	31

PART I. THE CONTEXT OF CONSTITUTIONAL INTERPRETATION

Chapter 3. The Theoretical Context of Constitutional Interpretation	35
I. Political Theories in Tension.....	35
A. Representative Democracy	35
B. Constitutionalism.....	40
II. The “Pure Constitutionalist” v. the “Pure Representative Democrat”	45
A. A Simple Spectrum	45
B. Toward a Reconciliation of Rival Theories?	50
III. Free Government: The Founding Generation.....	52
A. The Critical Problems of 1787	52
B. The Grand Strategy of Checks and Counterchecks	55
1. Geographic Strategy.....	56
2. Socio-Economic Strategy.....	57

3. Institutional Strategy	59
C. Sentinels over Public Rights	60
IV. The Developing System	60
V. Conclusion	64

Chapter 4. The Political and Institutional Contexts of

Constitutional Interpretation	67
I. The Political System	67
A. Sharing Powers Within the National System of Government	67
B. Sharing Powers Within the Federal System	72
C. The Checking of Sharing	73
D. The Capacity of Interest Groups and Officials to Trigger Checks	74
E. An Open System of Checks and Counterchecks	75
II. The Judicial Process: Procedural Differences	76
III. Procedures Within the Supreme Court	78
IV. The Influence of Moral Values	85
V. <i>Korematsu</i> : A Case Study	87
A. General Background	87
B. <i>Hirabayashi v. United States</i>	89
C. <i>Korematsu</i> and <i>Endo</i> Reach the Court	92
D. Negotiations Within the Court	96
E. Aftermath	97
VI. Conclusion	98

PART II. WHAT IS THE CONSTITUTION?

Chapter 5. What Is the Constitution? Problems of Inclusion107

I. Inclusion: Interpretations? Usages? Traditions? Political Theories?	108
II. The Constitution: Written? Living? or Abstract?	110
III. Respect for the Text	114
IV. The Objectives of This Chapter	115
<i>Calder v. Bull</i>	116
<i>Jacobson v. Massachusetts</i>	121
<i>Palko v. Connecticut</i>	124
“Incorporation” of the Bill of Rights	128
<i>Poe v. Ullman</i>	131
<i>Griswold v. Connecticut</i>	139
<i>Michael H. v. Gerald D.</i>	150
<i>Lee v. Weisman</i>	159

Chapter 6. What Is the Constitution? Problems of Continuity and Change171

I. Formal Amendment of the Constitutional Text	172
II. Changing Interpretations of the Constitution	174
III. Original Understanding, Continuity, and Change	176
IV. Aspirational Interpretation and Constitutional Democracy	179
<i>Dred Scott v. Sandford</i>	183

Home Building & Loan Association v. Blaisdell 194
 Trop v. Dulles 202
 Harper v. Virginia State Board of Elections 208
 District of Columbia v. Heller 214
 Antonin Scalia, Originalism: The Lesser Evil..... 233
 William J. Brennan, Jr., The Constitution of the United States:
 Contemporary Ratification 239
 William H. Rehnquist, The Notion of a Living Constitution 245
 Ronald Dworkin, Taking Rights Seriously: Constitutional Cases..... 251

**PART III. WHO MAY AUTHORITATIVELY
 INTERPRET THE CONSTITUTION?**

**Chapter 7. Who May Authoritatively Interpret the Constitution
 for the National Government? 263**

I. Who Is the Ultimate Interpreter?..... 265
 A. Judicial Supremacy..... 265
 B. Legislative Supremacy..... 269
 C. Departmentalism 270
 D. Resolution? 274

II. Deference and the Fourteenth Amendment..... 276
 James Madison on Judicial Review and Judicial Supremacy..... 279
 The Letters of Brutus, No. 11..... 283
 Federalist No. 78..... 287
 The Great Debate of 1802–1803..... 290
 A. The Senate Debates 292
 B. Marbury v. Madison..... 299
 Jefferson Instructs a Federal Prosecutor 306
 Eakin v. Raub 308
 Andrew Jackson’s Veto of the Bank Bill..... 312
 Abraham Lincoln’s First Inaugural Address..... 313
 Franklin D. Roosevelt’s Speech on Reorganizing the Federal
 Judiciary 318
 United States v. Nixon..... 322
 Katzenbach v. Morgan 326
 [City of Boerne v. Flores] 333
 [Shelby County, Alabama v. Holder] 335
 The Legal Significance of Presidential Signing Statements 335
 Memorandum for the Heads of Executive Departments and
 Agencies 338
 Letter from the Attorney General to Congress on Litigation
 Involving the Defense of Marriage Act 340

**Chapter 8. Who May Authoritatively Interpret the Constitution
 for the Federal System? 341**

I. State Supremacy 342
 II. Confederational Departmentalism 343
 III. National Supremacy 344
 Judiciary Act of 1789, Section 25..... 346

The Debate of 1798–1799	347
A. The Kentucky Resolutions of 1798.....	348
B. The States Respond to Kentucky and Virginia	351
Martin v. Hunter’s Lessee*	353
John C. Calhoun, A Discourse on the Constitution and Government of the United States	354
Ableman v. Booth*	356
Nullifying and Reaffirming Brown v. Board of Education	357
C. The Southern Manifesto: A Declaration of Constitutional Principles	357
D. Alabama’s Nullification Resolution	359
E. Cooper v. Aaron.....	360

PART IV. HOW TO INTERPRET THE CONSTITUTION

A: GENERAL PROBLEMS	367
----------------------------------	------------

Chapter 9. How to Interpret the Constitution: An Overview.....369

I. Textualism.....	370
II. Originalism.....	375
III. Doctrinalism.....	385
IV. Developmentalism	388
V. Philosophical Approach: Accepting the Need and the Responsibility for Moral and Political Judgment.....	391
VI. Structuralism	395
VII. Discerning Constitutional Purposes	397
VIII. The Roles of Constitutional Aspiration and Prudence	403
IX. Balancing: An Inevitability Masquerading as an Approach?	405
X. Concluding Remarks.....	410

B: STRUCTURALISM: DRAWING INFERENCES FROM INSTITUTIONAL STRUCTURES AND RELATIONSHIPS.....413

Chapter 10. Separation and Sharing of Powers: The Structure of the National Government.....415

I. Introduction.....	415
II. A System of Shared Powers.....	420
Federalist No. 51.....	420
III. Sharing Powers: The Power to Wage War	423
Little v. Barreme (The Flying Fish).....	423
The Prize Cases.....	425
United States v. Curtiss-Wright Export Corp.	428
Youngstown Sheet & Tube Co. v. Sawyer	431
The War Powers Resolution	442
Authorization for Use of Military Forces Against Iraq Resolution (1991)	447
Joint Resolution to Authorize the Use of United States Armed Forces Against Iraq (2002)	449
IV. Institutional Integrity in a System of Shared Powers.....	450
Mississippi v. Johnson*	451

Truman Refuses to Obey a Subpoena.....	451
Nixon Refuses to Testify.....	453
Ex parte McCardle.....	453
Hamdi v. Rumsfeld*.....	457
Hamdi v. Rumsfeld.....	458
Boumediene v. Bush.....	481
V. Institutional Interactions in a System of Shared Powers.....	496
[United States v. Nixon].....	496
Immigration and Naturalization Service v. Chadha.....	496
Bowsher v. Synar.....	509
Clinton v. City of New York*.....	522
Chapter 11. Sharing Powers: The Structure of Federalism.....	525
I. Structuralism.....	526
II. Theories of American Federalism.....	528
A. National Supremacy.....	528
B. “Dual Federalism”.....	529
C. A Return to National Supremacy.....	530
III. Protecting Federalism: A Task for Judges or Legislators?.....	532
IV. The Continuing Struggle.....	534
V. The Basic Structure of Federalism.....	536
Federalist No. 39.....	536
[Martin v. Hunter’s Lessee]*.....	539
McCulloch v. Maryland.....	540
Texas v. White*.....	551
Slaughter-House Cases.....	553
Missouri v. Holland*.....	566
VI. Congress’s Power to Regulate Interstate Commerce: A Battleground for Competing Conceptions of Federalism.....	566
Gibbons v. Ogden.....	566
United States v. Darby Lumber Co.	572
National League of Cities v. Usery*.....	576
Garcia v. San Antonio Metropolitan Transit Authority.....	577
The Eleventh Amendment and the Immunity of States from Suit ...	585
New York v. United States.....	588
Printz v. United States.....	598
United States v. Lopez.....	612
United States v. Morrison*.....	622
Gonzales v. Raich*.....	623
National Federation of Independent Business v. Sebelius.....	624
C: REINFORCING REPRESENTATIVE DEMOCRACY: KEEPING POLITICAL PROCESSES OPEN.....	647
Introduction.....	647
James Bradley Thayer, The Origin and Scope of the American Doctrine of Constitutional Law.....	650
United States v. Carolene Products Co.	657
The History of Footnote Four.....	662

Chapter 12. Freedom of Political Communication	667
I. Communication and Constitutional Interpretation	667
A. From Democratic Theory to Literal Interpretation	667
B. The Interplay of Other Values	669
II. Principles of Interpretation.....	672
III. The Structural Role of Freedom of Political Communication	676
New York Times v. Sullivan.....	676
Richmond Newspapers, Inc. v. Virginia*.....	683
IV. Advocacy or Incitement of Unlawful Action.....	683
Whitney v. California.....	684
Dennis v. United States.....	690
Brandenburg v. Ohio	698
V. Categories of Unprotected Expression	702
A. Fighting Words.....	702
Chaplinsky v. New Hampshire	703
B. Defamation of Groups.....	705
Beauharnais v. Illinois.....	706
R.A.V. v. St. Paul.....	711
Matal v. Tam*	729
C. Defamation of Public Officials and Public Figures	729
[New York Times v. Sullivan]	729
D. Offensive Expression	729
Cohen v. California	730
E. Harmful Expression.....	734
American Booksellers Association, Inc. v. Hudnut.....	735
F. Outrageous Expression.....	742
Hustler Magazine, Inc. v. Falwell*.....	742
Snyder v. Phelps.....	742
G. False Statements of Fact.....	750
United States v. Alvarez*.....	751
VI. Symbolic Expression.....	751
United States v. O'Brien.....	751
United States v. Eichman	757
VII. Prior Restraint of Expression.....	763
Near v. Minnesota*	763
The Pentagon Papers Case (New York Times v. United States)*	763
VIII. Unconstitutional Conditions and Compelled Expression	764
Rust v. Sullivan.....	764
National Institute of Family and Life Advocates v. Becerra	771
Chapter 13. Political Participation	787
I. To What Extent Does the Constitution Include Democratic Theory?	788
II. Practical Problems.....	791
A. Voting: Problems of Districting, Literacy, and Residence.....	791
B. The Right to Associate	792
C. Money and Politics: Contributing and Spending	793
D. Regulation of the Mass Media to Improve the Political Process	793

E. Lobbying	794
F. Term Limitations	794
III. Reinforcing Representative Democracy and Reducing Judicial Discretion	795
IV. The Right to Vote and Have One’s Vote Counted Equally	796
Baker v. Carr*	796
Reynolds v. Sims	797
[Harper v. Virginia State Board of Elections]	805
Rogers v. Lodge*	806
Davis v. Bandemer*	807
Shaw v. Reno	807
Shelby County, Alabama v. Holder	819
V. The Right to Associate	833
NAACP v. Alabama	834
Barenblatt v. United States*	838
NAACP v. Button*	839
Roberts v. United States Jaycees	839
Boy Scouts of America v. Dale	848
Christian Legal Society v. Martinez	858
VI. Regulation of the Political Process to Preserve Its Integrity	870
A. Money and Politics: Regulation of Personal Contributions and Expenditures	870
Buckley v. Valeo	870
B. Money and Politics: Regulation of Expenditures by Corporations	877
First National Bank of Boston v. Bellotti*	877
Citizens United v. Federal Election Commission	877
D: TREATING EQUALS EQUALLY	899
I. Interpreting Equality: The Text	900
A. State Action	901
B. Who Is a “Person”?	902
C. What Does “Equal” Mean?	904
D. What Is “Protection”?	905
II. Beyond a Textual Approach	905
III. Equal Protection and the HOW, WHAT, and WHO of Constitutional Interpretation	907
 Chapter 14. The Problems of Equal Protection: Suspect Classifications	 909
I. From <i>Slaughter-House</i> to <i>Carolene Products</i>	909
A. Race Relations	909
B. Economic Regulation	911
C. Caste in America and the Shadow of Nazism	912
II. <i>Carolene Products</i>	914
A. The Limited Scope of “Deferential Scrutiny”	915
B. The Development of “Strict Scrutiny”	915
III. The Organization of the Cases	919

IV. Historical Background: The Promise and the Reality	919
Strauder v. West Virginia	919
Yick Wo v. Hopkins	923
Plessy v. Ferguson	925
V. Deferential Scrutiny	931
Williamson v. Lee Optical Co.	931
VI. The Beginning of Suspect Classifications: Race and Ethnicity	934
[Korematsu v. United States]	934
Brown v. Board of Education of Topeka, I.	935
Bolling v. Sharpe	940
Brown v. Board of Education of Topeka, II	942
The Implementation of <i>Brown</i>	944
Hernandez v. Texas*	946
Loving v. Virginia	946
VII. Racially Discriminatory Purpose Versus Racially Disproportionate Impact	950
[Rogers v. Lodge]*	953
VIII. How to End Racial Inequality: Affirmative Action	953
Regents of the University of California v. Bakke*	953
Adarand Constructors, Inc. v. Pena	955
Grutter v. Bollinger	967
Parents Involved in Community Schools v. Seattle School Dist. No. 1	986
Fisher v. University of Texas at Austin (<i>Fisher I</i>)*	1007
Fisher v. University of Texas at Austin (<i>Fisher II</i>)*	1007

**Chapter 15. The Problems of Equal Protection: Somewhat
Suspicious Classifications and Fundamental Rights.....1009**

I. Introduction	1009
A. What Classes/Classifications Are Suspect?	1010
B. What Is a “Fundamental” Right?	1014
C. What Constitutes a “Compelling” Governmental Interest?	1015
II. Is the Court Actually Using a Two- (or Three-) Tiered Model?	1016
A. Continua Versus Two (or Three) Tiers	1016
B. Construction of a Middle Ground: A Three-Tiered Test	1017
III. A Model Without Tiers?	1018
IV. The Scope and Permanence of Constitutional Meaning	1021
V. Suspect (or Somewhat Suspicious) Classifications	1021
A. Gender?	1021
Frontiero v. Richardson	1022
Craig v. Boren	1027
Mississippi University for Women v. Hogan*	1032
United States v. Virginia	1032
B. Wealth?	1046
[Harper v. Virginia State Board of Elections]	1047
San Antonio Independent School District v. Rodriguez	1047
[Harris v. McRae]	1057
C. Sexual Orientation?	1057
Romer v. Evans	1058

Baker v. State of Vermont* 1071
 [Goodridge v. Department of Public Health] 1072
 United States v. Windsor* 1072

VI. Fundamental Rights 1073

A. Procreation 1073
 Skinner v. Oklahoma 1073

B. Voting 1077
 [Reynolds v. Sims] 1078
 [Harper v. Virginia State Board of Elections] 1078

C. Travel 1078
 Shapiro v. Thompson 1078
 Saenz v. Roe 1085

D. Welfare? 1094
 Dandridge v. Williams 1094

E. Education? 1099
 [San Antonio Independent School District v. Rodriguez] 1099
 [Plyler v. Doe] 1099

F. Marriage 1100
 [Loving v. Virginia] 1100
 Zablocki v. Redhail* 1100

VII. A New Model? Rational Basis “with Bite” and Without
 Presumption? 1100
 Plyler v. Doe 1101
 Cleburne v. Cleburne Living Center 1108

**E: MAINTAINING CONSTITUTIONAL DEMOCRACY:
 PROTECTING FUNDAMENTAL RIGHTS 1119**

I. Protecting Fundamental Rights as an Interpretive Approach 1119

A. The Standards of Fundamental Rights 1119
 B. Criticisms of the Approach 1120
 C. The Defenders Reply: The Judges 1122
 D. The Defenders Reply: The Commentators 1124

II. The Plan of Chapters 16–18 1125

**Chapter 16. The Right to Property: To Individual Autonomy
 and Back 1127**

I. Property as a Civil Right 1127

II. The Development of Substantive Due Process 1130

A. The Transformation of American Law 1130
 B. The Grangers, the ABA, and Social Darwinism 1131
 C. The Judges Embrace Laissez Faire 1133
 D. The End of Judicial Protection of Liberty of Contract 1134

III. Property, Autonomy, and Constitutional Interpretation 1136

John Locke, Property and the Ends of Political Society 1139
 Federalist No. 10 1142
 Fletcher v. Peck 1146
 Charles River Bridge v. Warren Bridge 1150
 [Dred Scott v. Sandford] 1155
 Munn v. Illinois 1156

Stone v. Mississippi*	1162
Lochner v. New York	1163
Adkins v. Children's Hospital	1168
West Coast Hotel v. Parrish	1175
[Williamson v. Lee Optical Co.]	1182
Ferguson v. Skrupa	1183
Hawaii Housing Authority v. Midkiff*	1185
Kelo v. New London	1186
Penn Central Trans. Co. v. New York City*	1194
Lucas v. South Carolina Coastal Council	1195

Chapter 17. Autonomy, Religious Freedom, and the Elusive

Quest for Governmental Neutrality Toward Religion1209

I. The Interpretive Problems	1211
A. What Constitutes Establishment?	1211
B. Free Exercise and the Police Power	1213
C. Tensions Between Clauses	1216
D. The Quest for Neutrality	1217
E. What Help from the Approaches to Interpretation?	1218
II. Free Exercise and Public Purposes	1221
Reynolds v. United States	1222
Davis v. Beason*	1228
Minersville School District v. Gobitis	1228
West Virginia v. Barnette	1237
Braunfeld v. Brown*	1244
Wisconsin v. Yoder	1245
Thomas v. Review Board*	1253
Employment Division, Department of Human Resources of Oregon v. Smith	1253
Congress and the President Dissent from the Court's View: The Religious Freedom Restoration Act	1265
The Religious Freedom Restoration Act of 1993	1266
City of Boerne v. Flores	1269
Hosanna-Tabor Evangelical Lutheran Church and School v. E.E.O.C.*	1278
Burwell v. Hobby Lobby Stores, Inc.	1278
Masterpiece Cakeshop, Ltd. v. Colorado Civil Rights Commission	1302
Locke v. Davey	1325
Trinity Lutheran Church of Columbia, Inc. v. Comer	1328
III. The Establishment Clause and the Elusive Quest for Governmental Neutrality Toward Religion	1339
Engel v. Vitale	1339
Abington School District v. Schempp	1344
[Lee v. Weisman]	1350
Zelman v. Simmons-Harris	1350
McCreary County v. American Civil Liberties Union of Kentucky	1368
Conscientious Objection	1379

Bob Jones University v. United States*	1380
Chapter 18. Individual Autonomy: Personal Liberty, Privacy, and Personhood	1381
I. WHAT Is the Constitution?	1382
II. WHO Shall Interpret?	1386
III. HOW to Interpret?	1388
IV. Personal Liberty: Antecedents from the Era of <i>Lochner v. New York</i>	1390
<i>Meyer v. Nebraska</i>	1390
<i>Pierce v. Society of Sisters</i>	1394
V. The Right to Bodily Integrity	1396
[<i>Jacobson v. Massachusetts</i>]	1396
<i>Buck v. Bell</i>	1396
[<i>Skinner v. Oklahoma</i>]	1399
<i>Rochin v. California*</i>	1399
VI. The Right to Privacy	1399
[<i>Griswold v. Connecticut</i>]	1399
<i>Roe v. Wade</i>	1400
<i>Harris v. McRae</i>	1411
<i>Planned Parenthood v. Casey</i>	1419
<i>Gonzales v. Carhart*</i>	1448
<i>Whole Woman's Health v. Hellerstedt*</i>	1449
VII. The Right to Marry and to Family Integrity	1449
[<i>Loving v. Virginia</i>]	1449
<i>Moore v. East Cleveland</i>	1450
[<i>Michael H. v. Gerald D.</i>]	1457
VIII. The Right of Same-Sex Couples to Intimate Association and Marriage	1457
<i>Bowers v. Hardwick</i>	1457
<i>Lawrence v. Texas</i>	1471
<i>Goodridge v. Department of Public Health</i>	1489
<i>Obergefell v. Hodges</i>	1513
IX. The Right to Die	1543
<i>Cruzan v. Director, Missouri Dept. of Health</i>	1543
<i>Washington v. Glucksberg</i>	1554
X. Is the Constitution a Charter of Negative Liberties or a Charter of Positive Benefits?	1572
<i>DeShaney v. Winnebago County Dept. of Social Services</i>	1573

PART V. CONSTITUTIONAL DEMOCRACY IN THE CRUCIBLE OF CRISIS

Chapter 19. Constitutional Interpretation and Emergency Powers	1583
I. Preserving the Constitution and the Nation	1584
A. Ignoring the Constitutional Text	1584
B. Suspending the Constitutional Text	1586
C. Interpreting the Constitution	1588

II. <i>Inter Armes Silent Leges?</i>	1590
III. What Exactly Is an “Emergency?”	1591
Thomas Jefferson to J.B. Colvin	1592
Ex Parte Merryman	1594
Lincoln’s Message to Congress	1598
Ex parte Milligan	1601
Korematsu v. United States	1607
Trump v. Hawaii*	1615
[Youngstown Sheet & Tube Co. v. Sawyer]	1615
United States v. United States District Court*	1616
[Hamdi v. Rumsfeld]*	1617
[Hamdi v. Rumsfeld]	1617
Hamdan v. Rumsfeld*	1618
[Boumediene v. Bush]	1619
Bush v. Gore	1620
Epilogue	1639
Appendix A. Table of U.S. Supreme Court Justices	1643
Appendix B. The Constitution of the United States of America	1649
INDEX	1667