

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

---

PREFACE AND ACKNOWLEDGMENTS TO THE FOURTH EDITION.....	V
PREFACE AND ACKNOWLEDGMENTS TO THE THIRD EDITION.....	VII
PREFACE AND ACKNOWLEDGMENTS TO THE SECOND EDITION.....	XI
PREFACE AND ACKNOWLEDGMENTS TO THE FIRST EDITION.....	XIII
TABLE OF CASES.....	XXVII
<b>Chapter 1. Introduction: History, Politics, Law, Economics.....</b>	<b>1</b>
A. Introduction.....	1
B. Historical Origins of Antitrust Law.....	2
1. The Ancient Anti-Monopoly Tradition.....	2
2. Restraints on Competition and Monopoly in the English Common Law.....	4
C. The Industrial Revolution, the Trusts, and the Passage of the Sherman Act.....	7
1. The Birth of the Trusts and the Enactment of the Sherman Act.....	7
2. Passage of the Sherman Act.....	10
D. The First Few Decades.....	13
1. What the Law Prohibits: Developing the Rule Against Cartels.....	13
United States v. Trans-Missouri Freight Association.....	13
United States v. Addyston Pipe & Steel Co.....	20
2. Trust Busting, Big Combinations, and Theodore Roosevelt.....	26
Northern Securities Co. v. United States.....	26
3. The Rule of Reason Is Born: Judging “by the Light of Reason”.....	32
The Taft Presidency; the Rule of Reason.....	32
Standard Oil Co. of New Jersey v. United States.....	39
4. Legislative Reforms in the Wake of Standard Oil.....	47
a. The 1912 Campaign and Antitrust Policy.....	47
b. Wilson’s Legislative Record.....	48
i. The Clayton Act.....	48
ii. The Federal Trade Commission Act.....	48
United States v. United States Steel Corp.....	49
5. Addendum on History.....	54
E. The Economics of Competition.....	54
What Is Efficiency?.....	60
Excerpt from The Efficiency Paradox.....	60
Chicago and Other Schools.....	62
F. Who Enforces the Law?.....	64
<b>Chapter 2. Cartels and Closely Related Agreements.....</b>	<b>67</b>
A. Introduction.....	67

B.	Rehabilitating <i>Trans-Missouri</i> : Naked Restraints Versus Reasonable Agreements .....	71
	Board of Trade of the City of Chicago v. United States .....	71
	United States v. Trenton Potteries Co. ....	73
	Appalachian Coals, Inc. v. United States .....	73
	United States v. Socony-Vacuum Oil Co. ....	76
C.	Characterization Cases (Is This a Cartel?).....	84
	National Society of Professional Engineers v. United States.....	86
	Broadcast Music, Inc. v. Columbia Broadcasting System, Inc. ....	92
	Catalano, Inc. v. Target Sales, Inc. ....	97
	Arizona v. Maricopa County Medical Society .....	100
	National Collegiate Athletic Association v. University of Oklahoma ...	105
	FTC v. Superior Court Trial Lawyers Association.....	111
	California Dental Association v. FTC .....	114
	Polygram Holding, Inc. v. FTC (The Three Tenors).....	121
	Texaco Inc. v. Dagher.....	127
D.	Is There an Agreement?.....	132
1.	Who Can Conspire? .....	132
	American Needle, Inc. v. National Football League .....	133
2.	Pleading and Proving Agreement.....	136
a.	Proving a Cartel.....	136
	Interstate Circuit, Inc. v. United States .....	137
	Theatre Enterprises, Inc. v. Paramount Film Distributing Corp. ....	143
	Note on <i>Matsushita Electric Industrial Co. v. Zenith Radio Corp.</i> .....	145
i.	Algorithmic Collusion .....	148
b.	Pleading Facts Sufficient for Plausible Inference of Agreement.....	151
	Bell Atlantic Corp. v. Twombly.....	151
	Text Messaging Antitrust Litigation I .....	154
	Text Messaging Antitrust Litigation II.....	157
E.	State Action, Political Action.....	160
1.	The State Action Doctrine.....	160
	Parker v. Brown.....	160
2.	Political Action.....	166
	Eastern Railroad Presidents Conference v. Noerr Motor Freight.....	167
	Allied Tube & Conduit Corp. v. Indian Head, Inc.....	169
	FTC v. Superior Court Trial Lawyers Association.....	174
F.	The International Dimension .....	179
1.	Extraterritorial Reach and Enforcement.....	179
	F. Hoffmann-La Roche v. Empagran S.A.....	181
2.	Global Projects .....	187
G.	Conclusion.....	188

<b>Chapter 3. Collaboration Among Competitors Other than</b>	
<b>Cartels</b> .....	<b>189</b>
A. Introduction .....	189
B. Contemporary Cases .....	190
FTC v. Indiana Federation of Dentists .....	192
California Dental Association v. FTC .....	200
Rothery Storage & Van Co. v. Atlas Van Lines, Inc. ....	201
Broadcast Music, Inc. v. Columbia Broadcasting System, Inc. ....	208
Credit Suisse Securities v. Billing.....	211
Note on <i>Ohio v. American Express Co.</i> .....	213
C. Loose Knit Agreements.....	215
1. Concerted Refusals to Deal with Outsiders .....	215
Northwest Wholesale Stationers v. Pacific Stationery & Printing Co. ....	215
United States v. Visa and Mastercard .....	216
2. Competitors' Exchanges of Information.....	223
American Column & Lumber Co. v. United States .....	225
Maple Flooring Manufacturers' Ass'n v. United States .....	231
United States v. Container Corporation of America .....	235
United States v. United States Gypsum Co. ....	240
Todd v. Exxon Corporation .....	244
Note on Antitrust, Speech, and Market Democracy.....	250
3. Agreements of Competitors to Restrain Their Own Competition.....	251
a. Professional Restraints and By-Laws .....	252
b. Higher Education—Brown/MIT and the Public Interest.....	252
United States v. Brown University .....	252
c. Real Estate Brokers' Multi-List Services and Restrictions on Web Discount Listings .....	259
Realcomp II, Ltd. v. FTC.....	259
D. More Integration: Joint Buying, Selling, Marketing, Researching— Sharing Risks and Saving Costs, or Getting Power? .....	268
1. Introduction .....	268
2. Health Care—Agency Guidance .....	269
E. Tighter Alliances, Tight Joint Ventures .....	271
1. Introduction .....	271
2. Texaco/Shell Oil.....	271
Shell, Texaco to Divest Assets to Settle FTC Charges.....	272
3. Microsoft/Yahoo .....	274
DOJ Statement on Agreement Between Microsoft and Yahoo!.....	275
4. Boeing/Lockheed Martin—United Launch Alliance .....	276
In the Matter of the Boeing Company, Lockheed Martin Corporation, and United Launch Alliance .....	277
F. Is There an Oligopoly Problem?—Non-Competitive Behavior Without Collaboration.....	284
The FTC's Deconcentration Case Against the Breakfast-Cereal Industry: A New 'Ballgame' in Antitrust? .....	285

In the Matter of Kellogg Co. ....	288
E.I. Du Pont De Nemours Co. v. FTC .....	294
G. Conclusion.....	302
<b>Chapter 4. Monopoly and Dominance .....</b>	<b>305</b>
A. Introduction .....	305
B. The <i>Alcoa</i> Case .....	306
United States v. Aluminum Co. of America .....	307
Alcoa and the Power Issue.....	314
Alcoa and the Structure and Conduct Issues .....	314
Alcoa and the Remedy Issue.....	315
United States v. Aluminum Co. of America .....	315
C. Market Definition and Monopoly Power.....	319
1. The <i>Du Pont Cellophane</i> Case .....	319
United States v. E.I. Du Pont De Nemours & Co.....	319
2. Modern U.S. Market Definition and Assessment of Power .....	327
Eastman Kodak Co. v. Image Technical Services, Inc. ....	327
United States v. Microsoft Corp. ....	330
D. The Conduct Offense.....	338
1. The Paradigm .....	338
Lorain Journal Co. v. United States .....	339
2. Evolution of the Law .....	343
United States v. United Shoe Machinery Corp. ....	344
Note on <i>United States v. Grinnell Corp.</i> .....	345
3. Essential Facilities and Duties to Deal.....	346
Official Airline Guides, Inc. v. FTC.....	348
Aspen Skiing Co. v. Aspen Highlands Skiing Corp.....	351
<i>Aspen</i> Distinguished: More Limits to the Duty to Deal .....	358
Olympia Equipment Leasing Co. v. Western Union Telegraph	
Co. ....	358
Verizon Communications Inc. v. Law Offices of Curtis V.	
Trinko, LLP .....	364
4. Strategic Low Pricing—Predatory Pricing and Price-	
Discrimination .....	374
Utah Pie Co. v. Continental Baking Co. ....	376
The IBM Story .....	378
Brooke Group Ltd. v. Brown & Williamson Tobacco Corp.....	383
5. Predatory Buying .....	389
Weyerhaeuser Company v. Ross-Simmons Hardwood Lumber	
Co. ....	390
6. Price Squeezes .....	392
Pacific Bell Telephone Co. v. linkLine Communications .....	394
Deutsche Telekom AG v. Commission .....	402
7. Loyalty Rebates, Bundled Rebates.....	405
Cascade Health Solutions v. PeaceHealth.....	407
8. Complex Strategies to Maintain Monopoly: Product Change,	
Exclusive Dealing, Tying, Bundling, Big Tech .....	413

a.	Introduction .....	413
b.	Microsoft—U.S. ....	415
	United States v. Microsoft Corporation .....	417
	Note on <i>Novell, Inc. v. Microsoft</i> .....	436
c.	Microsoft—Europe .....	437
	Microsoft Corp. v. Commission .....	438
d.	The <i>Intel</i> Case, and the Possible Revitalization of Section 5 of the Federal Trade Commission Act .....	445
	FTC Challenges Intel’s Dominance of Worldwide Microprocessor Markets .....	446
	FTC Settles Charges of Anticompetitive Conduct Against Intel.....	451
	FTC Statement of Enforcement Principles Regarding “Unfair Methods of Competition” Under Section 5 of the FTC Act .....	453
9.	Summing up: What Standards for Exclusionary Conduct? .....	456
E.	Monopolization and Globalization.....	460
	<b>Chapter 5. Mergers.....</b>	<b>463</b>
A.	Introduction .....	463
B.	Historical Underpinnings .....	464
	1. The Early Merger Law .....	464
	2. Evolution of the Law .....	466
	Brown Shoe Company v. United States .....	467
	United States v. Philadelphia National Bank .....	469
	Note on <i>FTC v. Procter &amp; Gamble Co.</i> .....	471
	Citizen Publishing Co. v. United States .....	473
	3. The Turning of the Tide .....	474
C.	Contemporary Law and Enforcement.....	475
	1. The Guidelines: Introduction.....	475
	2. HHI Practice .....	478
	3. The Case Law .....	481
	a. Market Definition .....	481
	Note on <i>FTC v. Staples, Inc.</i> .....	481
	Note on Merger Between JD Group and Ellerine Holdings.....	482
	Note on <i>FTC v. Whole Foods Market, Inc.</i> .....	483
	United States v. H&R Block, Inc. ....	484
	b. Horizontal Mergers.....	488
	i. Mergers Likely to Produce Coordinated Effects .....	488
	Hospital Corp. of America v. FTC.....	489
	United States v. Baker Hughes Inc. ....	493
	FTC v. H.J. Heinz Co.....	496
	United States v. Anthem, Inc.....	502
	ii. Mergers That May Produce Unilateral Effects: Monopoly and Dominance .....	512

(a)	Sirius-XM.....	512
	DOJ Decision to Close Investigation of XM Satellite Radio's Merger With Sirius .....	513
(b)	Google/AdMob .....	518
	Statement of the Federal Trade Commission .....	518
(c)	Boeing/McDonnell Douglas .....	520
	Matter of Boeing Company/McDonnell Douglas Corp.....	521
	Boeing/McDonnell Douglas: The European Commission (1997).....	523
iii.	Mergers That May Produce Unilateral Effects in Oligopolistic Markets.....	525
	United States v. Oracle Corporation .....	525
	Note on <i>United States v. H&amp;R Block, Inc.</i> .....	533
	Note on <i>United States v. Bazaarvoice, Inc.</i> .....	534
iv.	Mergers That May Eliminate Potential Competition ...	536
	United States v. Marine Bancorporation, Inc. ....	537
	Note on <i>Ginsburg v. Inbev</i> .....	540
c.	Non-Horizontal Mergers .....	541
i.	Vertical Mergers .....	541
	United States v. AT&T (Time Warner) .....	542
ii.	Conglomerate Mergers .....	550
	GE/Honeywell .....	550
D.	Mergers and the Public Interest .....	553
	Wal-Mart and Massmart—South African Competition Tribunal.....	554
	South African Commercial Catering & Allied Workers Union v. Wal-Mart Stores Inc. ....	558
	Wal-Mart—United States.....	563
E.	Pre-Merger Notification .....	566
F.	Enforcement.....	567
G.	Private Standing to Sue .....	568
	Cargill, Inc. v. Monfort of Colorado, Inc. ....	569
	Sprint Nextel Corp. v. AT&T Inc. ....	575
H.	International Dimensions of Merger Law .....	584
 <b>Chapter 6. Vertical Restraints: Restraints in the Course of</b>		
	<b>Buying and Selling .....</b>	<b>587</b>
A.	Introduction .....	587
B.	Restraints in the Course of Distribution .....	588
1.	Formative Law: Resale Price Maintenance .....	588
a.	The Basic Rule for the First Century—Dr. Miles.....	588
b.	The Legislative Response: Fair Trade; the Limits of State Action Protection .....	590
	California Retail Liquor Dealers Ass'n v. Midcal Aluminum, Inc. ....	592
c.	Refusal to Deal and Resale Prices: When Is RPM Unilateral? When Is It Undertaken by Agreement? .....	594

	United States v. Colgate & Co. ....	595
	Monsanto Co. v. Spray-Rite Service Corp. ....	598
d.	RPM: The New Rule of Law .....	603
	Leegin Creative Leather Products, Inc. v. PSKS, Inc. ....	603
2.	Restraints on Distributors Other than Minimum Resale	
	Pricing—Free Traders to Free Riders .....	612
a.	Customer and Territorial Restraints and Maximum Resale	
	Price-Fixing (Earlier Law) .....	612
b.	The Turning of the Tide .....	614
	i. Non-Price Restraints .....	614
	Continental T.V., Inc. v. GTE Sylvania, Inc. ....	614
	ii. Price Restraints: Maximum Price-Fixing.....	625
3.	Hybrid Horizontal/Vertical Restraints.....	626
	Note on Apple, Amazon, and E-Book Prices .....	626
C.	Exclusionary Restraints.....	629
1.	Introduction .....	629
2.	Tying.....	630
	Northern Pacific Railway Co. v. United States .....	633
3.	Tying—Modern Analysis.....	637
	Jefferson Parish Hospital District No. 2 v. Hyde .....	637
	Eastman Kodak Co. v. Image Technical Services, Inc. ....	645
	United States v. Microsoft Corporation .....	654
	Illinois Tool Works Inc. v. Independent Ink, Inc. ....	658
4.	Exclusive Dealing and Requirements Contracts .....	661
	a. Earlier Law .....	661
	b. Modern Law and Analysis .....	663
	Barry Wright Corp. v. ITT Grinnell Corp. ....	664
	United States v. Microsoft Corp. ....	666
D.	Vertical Restraints on Franchisees and Employees .....	671
	Queen City Pizza, Inc. v. Domino’s Pizza, Inc. ....	672
	Excerpt from Antitrust Division Report (2019).....	680
E.	Two-Sided Markets and Special Problems of “the New Economy” .....	683
	Ohio v. American Express Co. ....	684
F.	Internal Vertical Integration.....	699
	United States v. American Telephone & Telegraph Co. ....	701
G.	Discriminatory Pricing: Secondary Line—Robinson-Patman, Algorithms .....	707
1.	Introduction .....	707
2.	Landmark Cases.....	709
	Federal Trade Commission v. Morton Salt Co. ....	709
	J. Truett Payne Co. v. Chrysler Motors Corp. ....	716
	Volvo Trucks North America Inc. v. Reeder-Simco GMC .....	720
	Note on Algorithmic Price Discrimination.....	724
3.	Price Discrimination Under Foreign Law.....	725
4.	The UNCTAD Model Law .....	726
	UNCTAD Model Law on Competition and Commentary .....	726

<b>Chapter 7. Antitrust and Intellectual Property</b> .....	<b>729</b>
A. Market Power and Innovation.....	730
B. Market Power and IP’s Exclusionary and Exploitative Potential .....	732
Antitrust Guidelines for the Licensing of Intellectual Property .....	733
C. Anticompetitive Agreements Involving IP Rights .....	734
1. Licensing Intellectual Property .....	734
a. Licensing: General Principles .....	734
b. Cross-Licensing and Pooling.....	734
Antitrust Guidelines for the Licensing of Intellectual Property .....	735
2. Standard-Setting and FRAND Obligations; Avoiding Patent Ambush .....	736
Antitrust Enforcement and Standard Setting: The VITA and IEEE Letters and the IP2 Report.....	737
Rambus, Inc. v. FTC.....	745
3. Settlement of Infringement Actions Against First-Filing Generics.....	752
FTC v. Actavis, Inc. ....	753
D. Anticompetitive Acquisition of IP Rights .....	765
1. Fraud on the Patent Office .....	766
Walker Process Equipment, Inc. v. Food Machinery and Chemical Corporation.....	766
2. Acquisition Through Purchase .....	768
DOJ Statement on Its Decision to Close Investigation of Google Inc.’s Acquisition of Motorola Mobility.....	769
Patent Trolls .....	775
E. A Duty to License IP?.....	776
Image Technical Services, Inc. v. Eastman Kodak Co. ....	777
Independent Service Organizations Antitrust Litigation (CSU v. Xerox) .....	780
FTC v. Qualcomm and the Continuing Debate over Antitrust and IP .....	785
<b>Chapter 8. Looking Forward</b> .....	<b>787</b>
A. Ideological Change: Evolutionary or Revolutionary?.....	787
Preserving the Consumer Welfare Standard .....	788
Consumer Welfare or Democracy.....	790
A Question of Semantics.....	793
B. Defanging the FAANG .....	794
It’s Time to Break up Amazon, Google, and Facebook .....	795
C. American Thought Leadership in the Global Economy.....	800
<b>Afterword. Competition in a World of Global Markets</b> .....	<b>803</b>
<b>Appendix A. The Framework for U.S. Antitrust Law</b> .....	<b>805</b>
<b>Appendix B. U.S. Statutes</b> .....	<b>827</b>



**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

---

**xxv**

**Appendix C. European Union Treaties ..... 859**

**Appendix D. U.S. Antitrust Agencies’ 2010 Horizontal Merger  
Guidelines..... 865**

**INDEX..... 909**