

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

---

	Page
PREFACE & ACKNOWLEDGMENTS .....	v
TABLE OF CASES .....	xvii
<b>Chapter 1. An Introduction to Statutes</b> .....	<b>1</b>
<b>Sec.</b>	
1. The Story of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 and the Procedures of Statute-Creation .....	2
Obstacles to Civil Rights Legislation .....	3
Passage in the House .....	6
Passage in the Senate .....	15
The Civil Rights Bill Becomes Law .....	23
<i>Note on How a Bill Becomes a Federal Law</i> .....	24
<i>Title VII of the Civil Rights Act: An Introductory Problem</i> .....	38
<i>Griggs v. Duke Power Company</i> .....	42
<i>Note on Griggs and Background Against Which Statutes Are Read</i> .....	47
2. Descriptive and Normative Approaches to Legislation .....	48
A. Pluralism and Interest Group Approaches to Legislation .....	49
1. Pluralism: The Importance of Groups in Legislation .....	49
2. Public Choice Theory: A Transactional View of the Legislative Process .....	55
3. Criticisms of the Pluralist or Public Choice Vision .....	61
B. Proceduralist Approaches to Legislation .....	66
1. Vetogates: Procedural Doors That Bills Must Pass Through .....	67
2. Liberal Theory: Statutes Should Be Hard to Enact .....	69
3. Civic Republican Theory: The Deliberative Value of Process .....	70
C. Institutional Theories of Legislation .....	73
1. Introduction to the Institutional Perspective .....	73
2. The Article I, § 7 Game .....	75
3. Statutory Implementation as an Anticipated Response Game .....	77
3. Title VII and Affirmative Action: An Introduction to Statutory Interpretation .....	79
A. The Burger Court's Liberal Construction of Title VII: <i>Griggs</i> and <i>Weber</i> .....	80
<i>United Steelworkers of America v. Weber</i> .....	85
<i>Notes on Weber and Modes of Interpretation</i> .....	98

	Page
B. Affirmative Action and <i>Stare Decisis</i> in Statutory Cases .....	102
<i>Johnson v. Transportation Agency, Santa Clara County</i> .....	103
<i>Notes on Johnson</i> .....	113
<i>Flood v. Kuhn</i> .....	115
<i>Notes on Flood v. Kuhn and Stare Decisis in Statutory Cases</i> .....	123
C. The Rehnquist Court's Retreat From <i>Griggs</i> and Congress's Response (The Civil Rights Act of 1991) .....	125
<i>Problem 1-1</i> .....	129
<i>Notes on the New Haven Firefighters Case</i> .....	133
<b>Chapter 2. Theories of Statutory Interpretation</b> .....	<b>135</b>
Sec.	
1. From Eclecticism to Systematic Theory, 1789–1938.....	137
Henry M. Hart, Jr. and Albert M. Sacks, <i>The Legal Process: Basic Problems         in the Making and Application of Law</i> .....	139
<i>Heydon's Case</i> .....	139
<i>Lieber, Legal and Political Hermeneutics</i> .....	141
<i>Rector, Holy Trinity Church v. United States</i> .....	142
<i>Notes on Holy Trinity and Eclecticism in Statutory Interpretation</i> .....	146
<i>Notes on Interpretation as Determination of Legislative "Intent"</i> .....	152
<i>Notes on Early Critiques of Intentionalist Approaches</i> .....	156
<i>Problem 2-1</i> .....	158
2. Legal Process Theories of Interpretation.....	158
A. The Legal Process Classics, 1940s–50s.....	158
Lon L. Fuller, <i>The Case of the Speluncean Explorers</i> .....	158
Henry M. Hart Jr. and Albert M. Sacks, <i>The Legal Process: Basic             Problems in the Making and Application of Law</i> .....	164
<i>Note on the Rudiments of Statutory Interpretation</i> .....	164
<i>Problem 2-2</i> .....	167
B. Implications of and Debates Within Legal Process Theory, 1950s–80s .....	168
1. Correcting Legislative "Mistakes"? .....	169
<i>Shine v. Shine</i> .....	169
<i>Note on Judicial Correction of Legislative Mistakes</i> .....	173
2. Statutory Evolution in Light of Changed Circumstances ...	176
<i>In the Matter of Jacob</i> .....	178
<i>Notes on Jacob, Li, and Dynamic Readings of State Codes</i> .....	186
3. Coherence With Public Norms .....	190
<i>State of New Jersey v. 1979 Pontiac Trans Am etc.</i> .....	191
<i>Notes on Public Citizen, Trans Am, and Coherence-Based Justifi-                 cations for "Judicial Surgery"</i> .....	194
C. Concerns About Legal Process Theory, 1970s–80s .....	197
<i>TVA v. Hill</i> .....	199
<i>Griffin v. Oceanic Contractors, Inc.</i> .....	203
<i>Notes on Hill, Griffin, and the Revival of the Plain Meaning Rule</i> ....	210
<i>Note on the Plain Meaning Rule in State Courts</i> .....	213
3. Current Debates in Statutory Interpretation .....	214
A. The New Textualism .....	214
<i>Green v. Bock Laundry Machine Company</i> .....	215
<i>Notes on Bock Laundry and Different Foundationalist Theories in             Action</i> .....	224
<i>Notes on the New Textualism as a Theory of Interpretation</i> .....	229
<i>Problem 2-3</i> .....	232
<i>Chisom v. Roemer</i> .....	233
<i>West Virginia University Hospitals v. Casey</i> .....	242
<i>Notes on Casey, Chisom, and the Court's Textualist Trajectory</i> .....	252

	Page
B. Economic Theories of Statutory Interpretation .....	259
1. Ex Ante Approaches to the Debate Between Textualists and Contextualists .....	261
<i>United States v. Marshall</i> .....	262
<i>Notes on the LSD Case and Ex Ante Thinking</i> .....	271
<i>Problem 2–4</i> .....	273
2. Advancing Public—Regarding Goals and Minimizing Rent— Seeking .....	274
<i>Perez v. Wyeth Laboratories, Inc.</i> .....	276
<i>Note on the Norplant Case and Narrow Interpretations of Rent—         Seeking Statutes</i> .....	280
3. Institutional Cost–Benefit Analysis .....	280
<i>FDA v. Brown &amp; Williamson Tobacco Corp.</i> .....	282
<i>Note on the FDA Tobacco Case and the Supreme Court as a         Strategic Actor in Our Polity</i> .....	290
C. Pragmatic and Critical Theories of Statutory Interpretation .....	292
1. Introduction to Pragmatic Theory and the Funnel of Ab- straction .....	292
<i>Note on the Funnel of Abstraction</i> .....	298
2. Critical Theories of Statutory Interpretation .....	299
<i>The Case of the Speluncean Explorers: Contemporary Proceedings</i> <i>Note on Critical Race and Feminist Theories and Statutory         Interpretation</i> .....	301
305	
3. New and More Pragmatic Versions of Textualism .....	306
<i>Portland General Electric Co. v. Bureau of Labor and Industries</i> <i>Portland General Electric Co. v. Bureau of Labor and Industries</i> <i>Note on Methodological Stare Decisis</i> .....	306
310	
312	
<i>Quo Vadis, Textualism? Some Theoretical Notes</i> .....	319
<i>A Transitional Note</i> .....	322
<b>Chapter 3. Canons of Statutory Interpretation</b> .....	<b>326</b>
<i>Problem 3–1</i> .....	327
<b>Sec.</b>	
1. Textual Canons .....	328
A. Maxims of Word Meaning and Association .....	328
1. Ordinary Versus Technical Meaning of Words .....	328
2. Noscitur a Sociis and Ejusdem Generis .....	332
3. Expressio Unius .....	334
B. Grammar Canons .....	336
1. Punctuation Rules .....	336
2. Referential and Qualifying Words: The Rule of the Last Antecedent .....	337
3. Conjunctive and Disjunctive Connectors: The And versus Or Rule .....	339
4. Mandatory versus Discretionary Language: The May ver- sus Shall Rule .....	340
5. Singular and Plural Numbers; Male and Female Pronouns	340
6. The Golden Rule (Against Absurdity)—and the Nietzsche Rule .....	341

	Page
C. The Whole Act Rule.....	342
1. Titles .....	343
2. Preambles and Purpose Clauses.....	344
3. Provisos .....	345
4. The Rule to Avoid Redundancy.....	346
5. Presumption of Consistent Usage—and of Meaningful Variation.....	346
6. Rule Against Interpreting a Provision in Derogation of Other Provisions .....	348
<i>Babbitt v. Sweet Home Chapter of Communities for a Great Oregon</i> .....	349
<i>Notes on Sweet Home and the Whole Act Rule</i> .....	360
2. Substantive Canons .....	362
A. The Rule of Lenity in Criminal Cases.....	366
<i>Muscarello v. United States</i> .....	370
<i>Notes on Muscarello, McNally, and the Supreme Court's Approach to Criminal Statutes</i> .....	383
<i>Problem 3-2</i> .....	387
<i>Note on Davis and State Criminal Code Constructions</i> .....	390
B. Interpretation to Avoid Constitutional Problems .....	391
<i>National Labor Relations Board v. Catholic Bishop of Chicago</i> .....	395
<i>Notes on the "Avoidance Canon"</i> .....	401
<i>Note on Severability</i> .....	406
C. The New Federalism Canons .....	406
<i>Gregory v. Ashcroft</i> .....	407
<i>Notes on Gregory and Clear Statement Rules</i> .....	418
<i>Notes on the Gregory-BFP Rule in Action and Criticisms of the New Federalism Canons</i> .....	422
3. Debunking and Defending the Canons of Statutory Interpretation .....	426
<i>Karl Llewellyn, Remarks on the Theory of Appellate Decision and the Rules or Canons About How Statutes Are to Be Construed</i> .....	426
<i>Notes on the Theoretical Warfare Over Canons of Statutory Interpretation</i> .....	431
<i>Problem 3-3</i> .....	438
<i>Note on Legislated Canons of Statutory Interpretation</i> .....	439
<b>Chapter 4. Extrinsic Sources for Statutory Interpretation</b> .....	<b>443</b>
<b>Sec.</b>	
1. The Common Law .....	443
<i>Problem 4-1</i> .....	445
<i>Smith v. Wade</i> .....	447
<i>Notes on the Evolving Common Law as a Source for Construing Statutes</i> .....	456
<i>Problem 4-2</i> .....	458
2. Legislative Background (History).....	459
<b>Part</b>	
A. Circumstances Surrounding the Introduction and Consideration of Legislation.....	461
<i>Leo Sheep Co. v. United States</i> .....	461
<i>Notes on Leo Sheep and Legislative Context</i> .....	467
B. Committee Reports (An Introduction to the Great Legislative History Debate) .....	469
<i>Blanchard v. Bergeron</i> .....	471
<i>Note on the New Textualist Critique of Committee Reports</i> .....	476
<i>In re Sinclair</i> .....	479
<i>Notes on Sinclair and the Search for Objectivity in Statutory Interpretation</i> .....	484
<i>Notes on the Sex Offender Registration Case and a New Direction in the Legislative History Debate</i> .....	491

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

xiii

Part	Page
<i>Perez v. Wyeth Laboratories, Inc.</i> .....	493
<i>Note on the Norplant Case and State Court Reliance on Committee and Bill Reports</i> .....	493
C. Statements by Sponsors or Drafters of Legislation .....	495
<i>Pepper v. Hart</i> .....	497
<i>Notes on Pepper and the Demise of the Exclusionary Rule</i> .....	508
<i>Note on State Court Reliance on Sponsor's Statements</i> .....	510
<i>Kosak v. United States</i> .....	512
<i>Notes on Kosak and the Views of Nonlegislator Drafters</i> .....	516
D. Legislative Deliberation: Hearings, Floor Debate, Rejected Proposals, and Dogs That Do Not Bark .....	517
<i>Note on Rejected Proposals as Evidence of Statutory Meaning</i> .....	523
<i>Montana Wilderness Association v. United States Forest Service</i> .....	524
<i>Notes on the "Checkerboard Case" and Statements During Legislative Deliberation</i> .....	530
E. Post-Enactment ("Subsequent") Legislative History .....	533
<i>Montana Wilderness Association v. United States Forest Service</i> .....	533
<i>Notes on the Second Checkerboard Opinion and the Use of Post-Enactment Statements</i> .....	538
<i>Notes on Presidential Signing or Veto Statements</i> .....	541
<i>Problem 4-3</i> .....	544
F. Legislative Inaction .....	545
<i>Bob Jones University v. United States</i> .....	548
<i>Notes on Bob Jones and the "Meaning" of Legislative Inaction</i> .....	559
<i>Note on Post-Enactment Acquiescence and "Law as Equilibrium"</i> .....	562
<i>Problem 4-3</i> .....	563
 Sec.	
3. Interpretation in Light of Other Statutes .....	564
Part	
A. Similar Statutes (The in Pari Materia Rule) .....	565
<i>Cartledge v. Miller</i> .....	565
<i>Lorillard v. Pons</i> .....	568
<i>Notes on Cartledge, Lorillard, and Reasoning From Statutes in Pari Materia</i> .....	572
B. The Borrowed Statute Rule .....	575
<i>Zerbe v. State</i> .....	575
<i>Note on Zerbe and Interpretation of Borrowed Statutes</i> .....	579
C. The Rule Against Implied Repeals .....	580
<i>Morton v. Mancari</i> .....	580
<i>Notes on Mancari and Interpretation in Light of Subsequent Statutes</i> .....	586
<i>Branch v. Smith</i> .....	588
<i>Notes on Branch</i> .....	597
 Chapter 5. Interpretation of Statutes Created by Popular Initiatives .....	601
Sec.	
1. Introduction to Popular Lawmaking .....	602
2. Interpreting Popular Initiatives: Text and Context .....	605
<i>National Pride at Work v. Governor of Michigan</i> .....	605
<i>Notes on the Michigan Domestic Partners Case and the Admissibility of Extrinsic Materials to Interpret Initiatives</i> .....	615
<i>Note on Normative Canons for Interpreting Initiatives</i> .....	618

	Page
<b>Sec.</b>	
<i>Note on the Anti-Retroactivity Canon, as Applied to Initiatives</i> .....	621
<i>Problem 5-1</i> .....	622
Proposition 140: Text of Proposed Law .....	622
Proposed Law .....	622
Official Title and Summary .....	626
Summary of Legislative Analyst's Estimate of Net State and Local Government Fiscal Impact .....	626
Analysis by the Legislative Analyst .....	626
Argument in Favor of Proposition 140 .....	628
Rebuttal to Argument in Favor of Proposition 140 .....	629
Argument Against Proposition 140 .....	630
Rebuttal to Argument Against Proposition 140 .....	632
3. Constitutional Norms, the Avoidance Canon, and Interpreting Initia- tives .....	634
<i>Romer v. Evans</i> .....	635
<i>Notes on the Colorado Initiative Case and the Avoidance Canon</i> .....	641
 <b>Chapter 6. Implementation and Interpretation of Statutes in the Administrative State</b> .....	 644
<b>Sec.</b>	
1. Law Implementation in the Administrative State .....	645
A. A Brief History of the Modern Administrative State .....	646
A Case Study The National Traffic and Motor Vehicle Safety Act of 1966 .....	652
B. Private Causes of Action in the Bureaucratic State .....	655
<i>Problem 6-1</i> .....	656
<i>Note on the Supreme Court's Post-Borak Practice: Shift From Legis-</i> <i>lative Purpose to Legislative Intent</i> .....	657
<i>Problem 6-2</i> .....	660
C. The Nondelegation Doctrine in the Administrative State .....	661
2. Congressional Influence Over Statutory Implementation .....	664
<b>Part</b>	
A. Legislative Oversight and Investigation .....	667
B. Congress' Budgetary and Appropriations Power .....	671
C. The Legislative Veto of Agency Rules .....	673
<i>Notes on the Legislative Veto and Structural Separation of Powers</i> ....	679
D. Legislative Control Through Power Over Agency Officials.....	683
<i>Notes on the Gramm-Rudman Case</i> .....	685
<i>Note on White House Review of Agency Rules</i> .....	686
E. Congress' Policy Control Through Design of the Agency's Structure and Procedures .....	689
<i>Problem 6-3</i> .....	693
F. Judicial Review of Agency Rules and Orders .....	693
<i>Problem 6-4</i> .....	700
<i>Motor Vehicle Manufacturers Ass'n v. State Farm Mutual Autom-</i> <i>obile Ins. Co.</i> .....	700
<i>Notes on State Farm</i> .....	709
<i>Notes on When Agency Policy Shifts Are "Arbitrary": State Farm</i> <i>Versus Fox Television</i> .....	714

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

xv

Sec.	Page
3. Judicial Deference to Agency Interpretations.....	715
<i>Problem 6–5</i> .....	716
A. The Basic Framework: <i>Skidmore</i> and <i>Chevron</i> .....	724
<i>Chevron, U.S.A., Inc. v. Natural Resources Defense Council</i> .....	727
<i>Notes on Chevron and Deference to Administrative Interpretations</i> ....	730
<i>MCI Telecommunications Corp. v. AT &amp; T</i> .....	734
<i>Notes on MCI, the New Textualism, and “Excessive” Legislative</i>	
<i>Delegations to Agencies</i> .....	739
<i>United States v. Mead Corp.</i> .....	743
<i>Notes on Mead and Recent Reports on the “Chevron Revolution”</i> .....	753
B. Important <i>Chevron</i> Issues .....	757
1. Is the Agency Acting Within Its Delegated Authority?.....	757
<i>Gonzales v. Oregon</i> .....	757
<i>Notes on the Oregon Aid-in-Dying Case and Deference for Issues</i>	
<i>of Agency Authority</i> .....	769
2. Does the Agency Have Broader Freedom to Interpret Its	
Own Rules?.....	772
<i>Note on Agency Interpretations of Its Own Regulations</i> .....	775
<i>Coeur Alaska, Inc. v. Southeast Alaska Conservation Council</i> .....	776
<i>Notes on Coeur Alaska and Deference to Agency Interpretations of</i>	
<i>Its Own Rules</i> .....	785
3. Should Courts Defer When the Agency Interpretation	
Presents Serious Constitutional Difficulties? .....	787
<i>Problem 6–6</i> .....	788
<i>Palm Beach County Canvassing Board v. Harris</i> .....	790
<i>Notes on Palm Beach Canvassing Board and the Role of Canons</i>	
<i>in Judicial Evaluation of Agency Interpretations</i> .....	799
<i>Note on Deference to Agencies in the State Courts</i> .....	802
4. Does Agency Deference Apply to Issues of Preemption?....	804
<i>Geier v. Honda Motor Co.</i> .....	806
<i>Notes on Geier and Federal Preemption of State Law</i> .....	810
<i>Note on Wyeth and Agency Views in Preemption Cases</i> .....	814
5. Deference and <i>Stare Decisis</i> .....	815
<i>Note on Chevron and Stare Decisis: The Case of the “Over-</i>	
<i>Regulated” Wetlands</i> .....	816
6. Deference in National Security and Foreign Affairs .....	817
<i>Note on Curtiss–Wright Deference</i> .....	822
C. Quo Vadis the “ <i>Chevron Revolution</i> ”?.....	823
1. Sharpen <i>Chevron</i> and <i>Skidmore</i> Within the <i>Mead</i> Frame-	
work .....	825
2. Reject <i>Mead</i> in Favor of Greater Deference to Agency	
Interpretations.....	827
3. Synthesize <i>Chevron</i> and <i>Skidmore</i> .....	830
APPENDIX A. THE CONSTITUTION OF THE UNITED STATES.....	833
APPENDIX B. THE REHNQUIST-ROBERTS COURT’S CANONS OF STATUTORY	
INTERPRETATION.....	851
INDEX.....	871