

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PREFACE	V
TABLE OF CASES	XIII
Chapter I. Introduction to the Course and Materials	1
A. Why Statutory Interpretation Is Critical and Difficult	1
Exercise I.1	2
B. Goals of This Course	3
1. Becoming Proficient at Reading Statutes	3
2. Gaining a Sophisticated Understanding of the Different Theories of Statutory Interpretation	3
3. Mastering the Practical Tools of Statutory Interpretation.....	4
4. Learning How to Put These Skills to Use as an Attorney	4
C. Course Materials and Approach.....	6
Chapter II. A Case Study: The Civil Rights Act of 1964 and the Affirmative Action Question	9
A. The Civil Rights Act of 1964: Brief History and Relevant Language	9
The Civil Rights Act of 1964	10
B. The Organizational Structure of Legislation	14
C. The Affirmative Action Question	15
Exercise II.1	15
D. Lawyering the Affirmative Action Question	16
Brief for Petitioner, United Steelworkers of America, AFL-CIO	17
Brief of Respondent, Brian Weber.....	29
E. The Supreme Court Confronts the Affirmative Action Question.....	33
United Steelworkers v. Weber	33
F. The Court Revisits the Affirmative Action Question	59
Johnson v. Transportation Agency.....	59
G. Further Exploring the Role of Precedent, “Fit,” and Change in Statutory Meaning and Application over Time	85
Flood v. Kuhn	85
H. Is the Ground Shifting on Affirmative Action Again?	103
Ricci v. De Stefano.....	105
Chapter III. Producing Legislation: Editing, Negotiating, and Drafting Statutes	121
A. Editing a Statute	121
Exercise III.1: Clarifying Statutes	121
B. The Challenges of Even Simple Legislation	122
Exercise III.2: The Challenges of Drafting Statutes.....	123

C.	Legislative Research and Drafting.....	126
	Exercise III.3: Researching and Adapting Legislation and Developing Legislative Strategy	127
D.	Legislative Procedure, Negotiation, and Drafting	128
	Exercise III.4	129
Chapter IV. The Theories of Statutory Interpretation		135
A.	Overview of the Theories of Interpretation	135
	1. Intentionalism	135
	2. Textualism	138
	3. Purposivism	139
	4. Pragmatism.....	140
	5. Dynamic Statutory Interpretation	142
	6. Contemporary Meaning and Expectations	144
	Exercise IV.1.....	146
	7. Further Readings	146
B.	The Theories of Interpretation in Action.....	149
	Holy Trinity Church v. United States.....	149
	United States v. Locke	159
	Exercise IV.2.....	170
	Patton v. Vanterpool	173
	United States v. Marshall.....	184
	Hively v. Ivy Tech Community College of Indiana	197
	Matter of Jacob	230
C.	How Lawyers Use the Theories of Interpretation.....	243
D.	Exercise: Reviewing, Mastering, and Using the Theories of Interpretation	244
	Exercise IV.3.....	244
Chapter V. The Practical Tools of Statutory Interpretation.....		247
A.	An Introductory Exercise.....	247
	Exercise V.1	247
	Exercise V.2	249
	Harris v. State	251
B.	Arguments from Legal Texts: The Textual Tools of Interpretation.....	260
	1. The Text of the Statute at Issue	260
	(A) The Meaning of Words	261
	(B) The Meaning of Words from Their Immediate Context	264
	(C) The Broader Statutory Context: The Whole Act Rule.....	269
	(D) Exercise: Interpreting a Statute Based on Its Text	272
	2. Interpretation in Light of Other Statutes.....	273
	(A) Related Statutes	273
	(B) Statutory Development	274
	(C) Conflicts Between Specific and General Statutes	275
	(D) Conflicts Between Earlier- and Later-Enacted Statutes	275
	(E) No Repeals by Implication	275
	(F) Exercise	277

3.	The Rule Against Absurd Results	277
	Griffin v. Oceanic Contractors, Inc.....	278
	Sac and Fox Nation of Oklahoma, et al., Petitioners, v. Borough of Jim Thorpe, et al., Respondents	285
4.	The Textual Tools in Action.....	296
	Cashman v. Dolce International/Hartford, Inc.....	297
	Exercise V.3	308
	Yates v. U.S.....	309
	State v. Lantis	325
	United States v. Costello.....	332
	In re: S.K.	343
	Exercise V.4	361
5.	How Lawyers Use the Textual Tools.....	362
	Babbitt v. Sweet Home.....	363
6.	Exercise: Building a Case with the Textual Tools.....	380
C.	Judge-Made Rules of Interpretation: The Substantive Canons.....	382
1.	Constitutional Avoidance.....	382
	(A) Understanding the Rule.....	382
	Citizens United v. Federal Election Commission	384
	National Federation of Independent Business v. Sebelius	396
	(B) Exercise: The Homeschool Case Redux.....	409
2.	The Rule of Lenity	409
	(A) Understanding the Rule.....	409
	Muscarello v. United States.....	410
3.	Statutes in Derogation of Common Law Shall Be Narrowly Construed.....	424
	(A) Understanding the Rule.....	424
	Robbins v. People.....	425
4.	Presumption Against Federal Preemption	434
	(A) Understanding the Rule.....	434
5.	Other Canons and Issues to Consider.....	434
D.	External Sources of Statutory Meaning	436
1.	Legislative Context.....	436
	Leo Sheep Co. v. United States	437
2.	Legislative History	446
	Keeler v. Superior Court	448
	Kosak v. United States.....	453
	Montana Wilderness Assoc. v. United States Forest Service.....	462
	Bob Jones University v. United States	467
3.	Administrative Agency Interpretations.....	481
	Skidmore v. Swift & Co.....	482
	Chevron U.S.A. Inc. v. Natural Resources Defense Council, Inc.	487
	United States v. Mead Corp.....	494
	FDA v. Brown and Williamson Tobacco.....	502
	King v. Burwell.....	518

E.	Review Exercise.....	531
	The Food Stays in the Kitchen: Everything I Needed to Know About Statutory Interpretation I Learned by the Time I Was Nine.....	531
F.	Cases That Put It All Together	537
	Exercise V.5	537
	Lockhart v. United States.....	538
	United States v. Nosal	551
	Sekhar v. United States.....	561
	Matter of Albany Law School v. New York State Off. of Mental Retardation & Dev. Disabilities	568
	Tennessee Valley Authority v. Hill	582
	Chapter VI. Putting It All Together: Practical Lawyering with Statutory Interpretation.....	605
	Exercise VI.1.....	606
	Exercise VI.2.....	608
	Exercise VI.3.....	610
	Exercise VI.4.....	612
	Exercise VI.5.....	617
	Exercise VI.6.....	620