

---

---

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

PREFACE.....	V
TABLE OF CASES.....	XXI
<b>Part I. The Process of Enacting Statutes .....</b>	<b>1</b>
A. Overview of Legislation and the Legislative Process .....	1
1. The Generality of Legislation.....	1
2. Types of Legislation .....	2
a. The Constitution of the United States.....	3
b. Federal Statutes .....	3
c. Treaties .....	4
d. State Constitutions .....	5
e. State Statutes.....	5
f. Municipal Ordinances.....	6
g. Note on Uniform Codes.....	6
3. The Legislative Process .....	8
a. Introduction .....	8
b. Structure, Powers, and Functions of Congress .....	8
c. Source and Development of Legislative Proposals.....	10
d. Introduction and Reference .....	11
e. Reading the Bill.....	14
f. The Committee Stage.....	16
g. Floor Action on the Bill .....	23
i. On the House Floor .....	23
ii. On the Senate Floor .....	27
iii. The Congressional Record.....	29
h. Inter-House Coordination .....	30
i. Executive Action.....	32
B. Legislative Enactment and Statutory Interpretation .....	36
1. Orthodox Lawmaking: “Vetogates,” “Logrolling,” and Citizen Lawmaking.....	36
a. Vetogates.....	36
b. Logrolling and Other Dynamics in Legislative Negotiations.....	41
c. Single Subject Rules and the Legislative Process.....	43
Commonwealth v. Neiman .....	44
Notes and Questions .....	53
2. Unorthodox Lawmaking .....	54
3. Citizen Lawmaking.....	58
a. Case Study on Citizen Lawmaking to Ensure Legislator Responsiveness and to Control Legislator Self-Interest.....	59
<i>The Texts to Be Construed: Proposition 24: Legislators’             Compensation, Reapportionment, Initiative             Constitutional Amendment .....</i>	59
Let the Voters Decide Act of 2000 .....	59
Senate of the State of California v. Jones.....	62
Notes and Questions .....	72

<b>Part II. The Interpretation of Statutes—an Overview</b> .....	<b>75</b>
A. Stating and Resolving Statutory Issues .....	75
1. Finding and Stating Issues of Statutory Law .....	75
Note: The Importance of the Text .....	76
Problem Cases .....	77
Questions .....	83
Questions .....	86
2. Resolving Statutory Issues—a General View .....	88
Heydon’s Case .....	88
Question.....	88
B. Methods of Statutory Interpretation—an Overview .....	89
1. A Typology of Statutory Interpretation Methods.....	90
a. The Drafter’s Intended Meaning.....	90
b. The Reasonable Reader’s Understanding.....	91
c. Rule-of-Law-Based Methods of Interpretation.....	94
2. Applying Legal Methods of Statutory Interpretation.....	94
Railway Safety Appliance Act .....	96
Johnson v. Southern Pacific Co.....	98
Johnson v. Southern Pacific Co.....	107
Notes and Questions .....	112
3. Canons of Statutory Construction .....	114
a. Examples of Linguistic Canons .....	119
b. Examples of Substantive Canons.....	121
Question.....	125
C. Two Prevailing Approaches to Interpretation: Purposivism and Textualism.....	125
Questions.....	132
Alien Contract Labor Law .....	132
Questions.....	134
United States v. Church of the Holy Trinity .....	134
Holy Trinity Church v. United States .....	137
Notes and Questions .....	141
United States v. Marshall .....	143
Notes .....	146
<b>Part III. Interpretive Approaches Emphasizing Text</b> .....	<b>147</b>
A. Interpreting a Statute “on Its Face” .....	147
Babb v. Wilkie .....	147
Questions.....	154
1. The “Plain Meaning Rule” .....	155
Yates v. United States .....	157
Questions .....	170
Note: Is the Plain Meaning Rule “Plain”? .....	170
2. Literalism and Its Limits .....	174
Immigration and Nationality .....	174
Crimes: Nationality and Citizenship .....	175
Maslenjak v. United States .....	175
Notes and Questions .....	185
Small v. United States .....	186

Questions .....	195
a. Looking Beyond the “Plain” Text: Interpretive Techniques in Statutory Interpretation.....	196
King v. Burwell.....	198
Notes and Questions .....	206
Note: Does Textualism Hobble Legislation?.....	208
b. Scrivener’s Errors.....	210
United States v. Locke .....	211
Notes and Questions .....	217
In re Adamo .....	218
Notes and Questions .....	223
Note: Correcting “Plain” Errors in Statutory Text.....	225
c. Textual Vagueness and the Void for Vagueness Doctrine.....	226
Johnson v. United States .....	228
Notes and Questions .....	240
d. Textual Ambiguity and the Rule of Lenity .....	241
B. Linguistic Meanings, “Ordinary” and Extraordinary .....	244
1. Linguistic Canons of Construction.....	244
Lockhart v. United States .....	244
Questions .....	255
2. Statutory Definitions Sections .....	256
McBoyle v. United States .....	256
Lozman v. City of Riviera Beach, Fla. ....	257
Questions .....	265
3. Sources of “Ordinary” Meaning.....	266
Freedom of Information Act .....	266
Questions .....	267
Federal Communications Commission v. AT & T Inc. ....	268
a. Dictionary Definitions.....	273
Note: Dictionaries: Objective? Authoritative? Biased? .....	274
MCI Telecommunications Corp. v. American Tel. & Tel. Co.....	278
Note .....	284
Questions .....	286
b. Other Sources of Ordinary Usage and Meaning .....	288
Muscarello v. United States .....	288
Questions .....	299
Note: “Corpus Linguistics”.....	299
<b>Part IV. Interpretive Approaches Emphasizing Context.....</b>	<b>307</b>
A. Interpreting Statutes in Light of the “Evil Sought to Be Remedied” .....	307
Moskal v. United States .....	308
Notes and Questions .....	318
Lawson v. FMR LLC .....	319
Questions .....	332

1.	Case Study: The Baby Veronica Controversy .....	333
	<i>The Text to Be Construed: The Indian Child Welfare Act of</i>	
	1978 .....	333
	Questions .....	339
	Adoptive Couple v. Baby Girl .....	340
	Notes and Questions .....	350
B.	Interpreting a Statute in Light of Legislative History .....	350
	Securities and Exchange Commission v. Robert Collier & Co. ....	351
	Questions .....	353
	Note: <i>Bank One Chicago</i> and the Ongoing Debate over Legislative	
	History .....	355
	Questions .....	359
	Note .....	360
C.	Interpreting a Statute in Light of Its Audiences .....	364
	Questions .....	371
1.	Case Study: Children with Special Education Needs .....	371
	<i>The Text to Be Construed: Handicapped Children's Protection</i>	
	Act of 1986 .....	372
a.	A Legislator Deciding Whether to Enact the Statute .....	374
	Questions .....	376
b.	A Beneficiary Seeking Relief Under the Statute .....	376
	Questions .....	378
c.	A State Official Complying with the Statute .....	378
	Questions .....	379
d.	A Judge Interpreting the Statute .....	380
	Question .....	380
	Arlington Central School District Board of Education v.	
	Murphy .....	381
	Notes and Questions .....	395
D.	Interpreting a Statute in Light of Related Statutes or Provisions .....	398
	Peacock v. Lubbock Compress Company .....	398
	Questions .....	400
1.	Case Study: Protecting the National Park System While	
	Leasing Access to Federal Land Resources .....	401
	<i>The Texts to Be Construed: The National Trails System Act,</i>	
	<i>The Park Service Organic Act, The Mineral Leasing Act,</i>	
	<i>and The Wild and Scenic Rivers Act</i> .....	401
	The Weeks Act .....	402
	National Trails System Act .....	402
	Park Service Organic Act .....	404
	Mineral Leasing Act .....	406
	Wild and Scenic Rivers Act .....	407
	United States Forest Service v. Cowpasture River	
	Preservation Association .....	408
	Questions .....	421
2.	When Legislative Appropriations Seem to Further the	
	Statutory Purpose .....	422
	Alaska Steamship Co. v. United States .....	422
	Question .....	425

3. When Legislative Appropriations Seem to Undermine the Statutory Purpose ..... 425  
 Tennessee Valley Authority v. Hill ..... 425  
 Notes and Questions ..... 442

**Part V. Agency Interpretations of Statutes ..... 447**

A. Overview of the Administrative Law Process ..... 447

1. Background and History ..... 448

2. Administrative Adjudication ..... 451

3. Rule Promulgation ..... 453

    a. Federal Administrative Regulations ..... 453

    b. State Administrative Regulations ..... 454

B. Agency Interpretations of Statutes ..... 454

1. Judicial Deference to Agency Interpretations of Statutes ..... 455

    Skidmore et al. v. Swift & Co. .... 455

    Chevron, U.S.A., Inc. v. Natural Resources Defense Council, Inc. .... 459

    Note: Which Agency Interpretations Warrant *Chevron* Deference? ..... 465

    City of Arlington v. Federal Communications Commission ..... 474

    Questions ..... 484

    National Cable & Telecommunications Assoc. v. Brand X Internet Services ..... 485

    Notes and Questions ..... 498

C. Case Studies in Judicial Review of Agency Interpretations ..... 500

1. Case Study in Legislating Answers to Major Questions: Regulating Tobacco Under the Food and Drug Act ..... 501

*The Texts to Be Construed: The Food and Drug Act and Legislation and Regulation Related to Tobacco Sales and Advertising* ..... 501

    Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act of 1938 ..... 501

    Federal Cigarette Labelling and Advertising Act of 1965 ..... 504

    FDA Regulations Restricting the Sale and Distribution of Cigarettes and Smokeless Tobacco to Protect Children and Adolescents ..... 504

    FDA v. Brown & Williamson Tobacco Corp. .... 505

    Questions ..... 522

    Note: Interpreting “Major Questions” ..... 523

2. Case Study in Executive Branch Interpretation: Regulating Physician-Assisted Suicide by Interpretive Rule ..... 525

*The Texts to Be Construed: The Controlled Substances Act and the Regulation of Substances Used in Physician-Assisted Suicide* ..... 527

    a. Relevant Statutory Provisions of the Controlled Substances Act ..... 527

        The Controlled Substances Act ..... 528

    b. Federal Regulation Governing the Prescription of Controlled Substances Under the CSA ..... 532

	Drug Enforcement Administration Prescriptions, CFR §§ 1306.01, 1306.04 .....	533
c.	The Attorney General’s Interpretive Rule Prohibiting the Use of Controlled Substances in Physician-Assisted Suicide.....	533
	Dispensing of Controlled Substances to Assist Suicide (Fed. Reg. 2001) .....	533
d.	The Office of Legal Counsel’s Memorandum Opinion Concerning Whether Physician-Assisted Suicide Serves a “Legitimate Medical Purpose” .....	534
	Office of Legal Counsel, Whether Physician-Assisted Suicide Serves a “Legitimate Medical Purpose” under DEA Regulations (2001).....	535
	Gonzales v. Oregon.....	537
	Notes and Questions .....	556
	Note: “Auer Deference”—Deferring to Agencies Interpreting Their Own Regulations .....	561
3.	Case Study in Notice-and-Comment Interpretive Rulemaking: Protecting Endangered Species and Their Habitats by Defining the Terms of the Statute .....	564
	<i>The Texts to Be Construed: The Endangered Species Act and Related Regulations</i> .....	564
a.	Relevant Statutory Provisions of the Endangered Species Act .....	564
	The Endangered Species Act [An Overview] .....	564
b.	Notice of Proposed Rule Interpreting “Harm” in the Definition of “Take” .....	566
	U.S. Fish and Wildlife Serv., Proposal to Reclassify the American Alligator (Fed. Reg. 1975) .....	567
c.	Final Rule Interpreting “Harm” in the Definition of “Take” .....	568
	U.S. Fish and Wildlife Serv., Final Rule: Reclassification of the American Alligator and Other Amendments (Fed. Reg. 1975) .....	568
d.	1982 Amendments to the Endangered Species Act .....	572
	The Endangered Species Act [as Amended] .....	572
	Babbitt v. Sweet Home Chapter of Communities for a Great Oregon .....	573
	Questions .....	585
D.	Agency Interpretations with Potential Criminal Consequences .....	586
	Note: Immigration Statutes with Potential Criminal Consequences .....	586
1.	Case Study: Banning Bump-Stocks by Regulation.....	592
	<i>The Texts to Be Construed: The National Firearms Act, the Gun Control Act, and the Bump-Stock Rule</i> .....	592
a.	Relevant Statutory Provisions .....	592
	Gun Control Act of 1968 .....	593
	National Firearms Act of 1934 .....	593

b.	The Bump-Stock Rule’s Revised Definition of Machine Gun.....	594
	Machine Guns, Destructive Devices, and Certain Other Firearms, CFR § 479.11 .....	594
c.	The Bump-Stock Rule and the ATF’s Interpretation of the Relevant Statutes.....	595
	Bump-Stock Rule (Fed. Reg. 2018).....	595
	Guedes v. Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives .....	601
	Notes and Questions .....	622

## Part VI. Temporal Issues in Statutory Enactment and

	<b>Interpretation .....</b>	<b>627</b>
A.	Applying Old Statutes to Changing Circumstances .....	627
	Commonwealth v. Maxwell .....	628
	Commonwealth v. Welosky .....	630
	Notes and Questions .....	635
	New Prime Inc. v. Oliveira .....	636
	Note: “Original” Statutory Meaning .....	641
1.	Case Study in Preventing Sex Discrimination in Employment .....	644
	<i>The Texts to Be Construed: Title VII and Executive Branch Enforcement Guidance</i> .....	645
a.	Relevant Statutory Provisions Prohibiting Discrimination in Employment .....	645
	Civil Rights Act of 1964 .....	645
b.	A Judicial Interpretation of Title VII That Elicits Congressional Response.....	645
c.	Executive Branch Guidance: Conflicting 2014 and 2017 Attorney General Memoranda Regarding the Treatment of Transgender Employment Discrimination Claims Under Title VII.....	647
	Office of the Attorney General [2014 Memorandum re: Transgender Employment Discrimination Claims] .....	647
	Office of the Attorney General [2017 Memorandum re: Revised Treatment of Transgender Employment Discrimination Claims] .....	649
	Bostock v. Clayton County .....	651
	Questions .....	690
B.	Applying New Statutes to Ongoing Circumstances.....	692
	Landgraf v. USI Film Products.....	692
	Note and Questions.....	710
	Martin v. Hadix.....	711
	Questions .....	721
	Vartelas v. Holder .....	721
	Questions .....	734

<b>Part VII. The Relationships Between Statutes, and Among Judicial Interpretations of Those Statutes .....</b>	<b>735</b>
A. When the Legislature Provides Instructions for Judicial Interpretation.....	735
1. Act Interpretation Acts.....	735
2. Case Study in Act Interpretation Acts: Interpreting State Tort Law According to an Act Interpretation Act .....	737
<i>The Texts to Be Construed: Pennsylvania Vehicular Tort         Statutes and Statutory Rules of Statutory Construction ...</i>	<i>737</i>
a. Relevant State Vehicular Registration and Tort Statutes.....	737
Vehicles, Registration and Title.....	737
Questions .....	739
b. Pennsylvania’s Act Interpretation Act.....	739
Statutory Construction: Rules of Construction .....	739
Question.....	741
Holland v. Marcy .....	741
Questions .....	746
B. When the Legislature Provides Instructions for Severing Problematic Statutory Provisions.....	747
Barr v. American Association of Political Consultants .....	747
Questions.....	756
C. Interpreting a Statute in Light of Prior Judicial Interpretations of That Statute .....	757
1. Case Study in Five Little Words .....	757
<i>The Texts to Be Construed: 18 U.S.C. § 924 and Related         Provisions.....</i>	<i>757</i>
Crimes—Firearms.....	758
Smith v. United States .....	759
Questions .....	767
Bailey v. United States .....	768
Questions .....	776
Watson v. United States .....	776
Questions .....	781
D. Interpreting Legislative Responses to Prior Judicial Interpretations .....	782
1. When the Legislature Declines, or Fails, to Respond.....	782
Girouard v. United States .....	782
Question.....	792
2. When the Legislature Does Respond .....	792
Newport News Shipbuilding and Dry Dock Co. v. EEOC .....	792
Questions .....	800
Note: Overriding Supreme Court Precedents .....	802
Note and Questions.....	807
3. When Legislative Responses to Judicial Interpretations Take Effect.....	807
AT&T Corp. v. Noreen Hulteen et al. ....	808
Questions .....	819



---

E.	The Relationship Between Congress and the Courts, Revisited .....	820
	How Judges Understand the Relationship Between Legal Methods of Interpretation, Legislation, and the Legislative Process .....	824
	Questions .....	835
	<b>Part VIII. The Drafting of Statutes: Overview and Exercises.....</b>	<b>837</b>
A.	Overview of Legislative Drafting .....	837
	1. The Problem of Drafting Unambiguous Rules .....	837
	2. Considerations for Legislative Drafting .....	840
	3. Organizing a Bill .....	843
	4. Drafting for Style .....	845
B.	Drafting Exercises .....	847
	1. Exercise No. 1: Drafting a Remedy to the Mischief .....	847
	Problems .....	850
	2. Exercise No. 2: Drafting in Light of Other Remedies to the Mischief.....	851
	New York City Panhandling Ordinance .....	851
	Orlando Panhandling Ordinance .....	853
	St. Louis Panhandling Ordinance.....	857
	Problems .....	860
	3. Exercise No. 3: Drafting to Balance Competing Mischiefs.....	861
	INDEX .....	865