

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

---

PREFACE .....	III
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS .....	IX
FOREWORD.....	XI
ABOUT THE AUTHORS .....	XV
TABLE OF CASES.....	XXXV
<b>Constitutional History of California, by Paul Mason.....</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>Chapter 1. State Constitutional Design .....</b>	<b>37</b>
A. Introduction .....	37
B. State Constitutions in a Federalist System.....	41
1. The Evolution of State Constitutions.....	41
a. Colonial and Early State Constitutions .....	41
b. The Civil War Changes Things.....	43
c. The Civil Rights Era.....	44
d. The Present and Future of State Constitutions .....	45
2. The Nature of State Constitutions and Their Relationship to the Federal Constitution .....	46
3. The Implications for State Constitutional Design .....	50
4. State Constitutional Conventions .....	54
5. How Federalism Affects State Constitutions .....	57
a. A Brief Overview of Basic Federalism Principles.....	57
b. The Tenth Amendment Is an Unreliable Friend.....	59
c. Individual Rights .....	61
C. Interpreting State Constitutions.....	62
1. Sources of Interpretive Authority.....	62
2. Interpretive Methodology.....	64
a. Formalist Approaches .....	65
b. Functional Approaches.....	66
c. The Absence of a Consensus Approach .....	66
3. Issues When Interpreting State Constitutions .....	67
4. California's Interpretive Method.....	72
D. California's Constitutional Design .....	77
1. History.....	77
2. Structure of Government .....	78
3. Criticisms of the California Constitution.....	79
<b>Chapter 2. Separation of Powers.....</b>	<b>81</b>
A. Introduction .....	81
1. The Separation of Powers Doctrine.....	81
2. Formalism and Functionalism .....	82

3. The Distinct Federal and State Models of Government .....	83
B. Federal Separation of Powers Cases .....	85
Youngstown Sheet & Tube Co. v. Sawyer.....	86
Youngstown Sheet & Tube Co. v. Sawyer.....	89
Buckley v. Valeo .....	95
Nixon v. Administrator of General Services .....	106
C. California's Core Powers Analysis .....	109
People v. Provines .....	111
Laisne v. California State Board of Optometry.....	118
Davis v. Municipal Court .....	121
Superior Court v. County of Mendocino.....	124
Carmel Valley Fire Protection Dist. v. State of California .....	129
Marine Forests Soc. v. California Coastal Com.....	135
Steen v. Appellate Division, Superior Court .....	143
Steen v. Appellate Division, Superior Court .....	149
Strauss v. Horton .....	154
D. Conclusion.....	157
<b>Chapter 3. Popular Sovereignty .....</b>	<b>159</b>
A. Introduction .....	159
1. Historical Background .....	160
2. California's Direct Democracy Tools .....	161
3. The Judiciary's Role in the Initiative Process .....	163
B. Limits on Voter Initiatives .....	165
1. The Single-Subject Rule.....	167
Legislature v. Eu .....	169
Manduley v. Superior Court .....	170
Senate v. Jones .....	174
Californians for an Open Primary v. McPherson .....	179
2. Amendment Versus Revision.....	182
McFadden v. Jordan .....	183
Raven v. Deukmejian .....	189
Legislature v. Eu .....	193
Strauss v. Horton.....	196
3. Separation of Powers Challenges .....	199
4. Other Substantive Limits on Initiatives? .....	201
Independent Energy Producers Association v. McPherson .....	201
Howard Jarvis Taxpayers Association v. Padilla .....	206
5. Procedural Requirements for Initiative Measures .....	211
Costa v. Superior Court.....	212
C. Preelection Review of Initiatives.....	219
Senate v. Jones .....	219
Californians for an Open Primary v. McPherson .....	221
Costa v. Superior Court .....	224
Planning and Conservation League v. Padilla (Draper).....	226

---

D.	Who Defends Initiative Measures? .....	227
	Perry v. Brown.....	227
E.	Conclusion.....	233
<b>Chapter 4. The Legislature.....</b>		<b>237</b>
A.	Introduction .....	237
B.	The Legislature's Procedural Powers and Their Limitations .....	238
C.	The Police Power .....	240
	French v. Davidson.....	241
	Max Factor & Co. v. Kunsman .....	243
	Miller v. Board of Public Works of Los Angeles .....	248
	Serve Yourself Gasoline Stations Assn., Inc. v. Brock.....	253
	California Redevelopment Assn. v. Matosantos .....	255
D.	Qualifications for the Legislative Branch.....	262
	Fuller v. Bowen.....	262
E.	The Legislature's Structural Features.....	269
1.	Term Limits .....	270
	Legislature v. Eu .....	270
	Legislature v. Eu .....	278
2.	Redistricting .....	280
	Wilson v. Eu (Wilson II) .....	281
3.	California Citizens Redistricting Commission .....	287
F.	Public Finance .....	288
	White v. Davis .....	290
	Steinberg v. Chiang.....	300
G.	Conclusion.....	303
<b>Chapter 5. The Executive .....</b>		<b>305</b>
A.	Introduction .....	305
B.	The Governor's Powers .....	307
1.	The Veto Power.....	308
	Harbor v. Deukmejian.....	309
2.	The Governor's Pardon, Commutation, and Reprieve Powers .....	316
	People v. Bowen.....	317
	Procedures For Considering Requests For Recommendations Concerning Applications For Pardon Or Commutation .....	321
3.	The Governor's Power to Review Certain Parole Decisions .....	326
	In re Rosenkrantz.....	326
	In re Lawrence.....	332
	In re Lawrence.....	338
4.	The Governor's Emergency Proclamation Power .....	341
	Professional Engineers in California Government v. Schwarzenegger .....	343
5.	Implied Gubernatorial Powers .....	343
	United Auburn Indian Community of the Auburn Rancheria v. Newsom .....	344

C.	The Governor Versus Other Executive Officers .....	349
	Brown v. Chiang .....	349
D.	The Attorney General .....	357
	D'Amico v. Board of Medical Examiners.....	358
	Deukmejian v. Brown.....	360
	Perry v. Brown.....	364
E.	Conclusion.....	371
<b>Chapter 6. The Judiciary.....</b>		<b>373</b>
A.	Introduction .....	373
B.	Current Structure and Organization .....	378
	1. The California Supreme Court .....	379
	2. The Court of Appeal .....	383
	3. The Superior Court.....	384
	4. Judicial Branch Administration .....	385
C.	Historical Development of the State Courts.....	386
D.	Selection and Retention .....	390
E.	Deciding How to Decide .....	393
F.	The Judicial Review Power.....	397
	Marbury v. Madison .....	397
	Nouges v. Douglass .....	403
	McClung v. Employment Development Department .....	406
G.	Inherent Judicial Powers.....	411
	In re Attorney Discipline System .....	411
H.	Conclusion.....	418
<b>Chapter 7. Individual Rights Under the California and U.S. Constitutions .....</b>		<b>421</b>
A.	Introduction .....	421
B.	The Early Cases.....	425
	People v. Brisendine.....	426
	People v. Teresinski .....	428
C.	When U.S. and California Supreme Court Precedents Conflict .....	430
	Serrano v. Priest (Serrano II).....	430
	Edelstein v. City and County of San Francisco .....	432
D.	When the U.S. Supreme Court Has Resolved an Issue of First Impression .....	435
	People v. Buza .....	435
	People v. Buza .....	440
	People v. Wheeler .....	447
	Gerawan Farming, Inc. v. Lyons (Gerawan I).....	449
E.	When Neither the U.S. nor the California Supreme Courts Have Addressed the Issue .....	452
	People v. Monge .....	453
F.	Conclusion.....	456

<b>Chapter 8. California's Free Speech Clause .....</b>	<b>459</b>
A. Introduction .....	459
1. Historical Background .....	459
2. Issues in California's Free Speech Clause Jurisprudence .....	460
B. Applying California's Free Speech Clause to Privately Owned Property .....	462
1. The Right to Freedom of Expression on Privately Owned Property Under the First Amendment.....	462
2. The Right to Freedom of Expression on Privately Owned Property Under California's Free Speech Clause.....	463
<i>Robins v. Pruneyard Shopping Center</i> .....	464
3. Is State Action Required Under California's Free Speech Clause? .....	466
<i>Golden Gateway Ctr. v. Golden Gateway Tenants Assn.</i> .....	467
4. Revisiting <i>Robins</i> .....	472
<i>Fashion Valley Mall, LLC v. Nat. Labor Relations Bd.</i> .....	472
5. When Is Privately Owned Property Subject to California's Free Speech Clause? .....	474
<i>Ralphs Grocery Co. v. United Food and Commercial Workers Union Local 8</i> .....	475
C. Government Owned Property and the Public Forum Doctrine .....	476
1. The Public Forum Doctrine Under the First Amendment.....	476
2. Does the Federal Public Forum Doctrine Apply to California's Free Speech Clause?.....	477
<i>San Leandro Teachers Assn. v. Governing Bd. of the San Leandro Unified Sch. Dist.</i> .....	479
<i>Internat. Society For Krishna Consciousness v. City of Los Angeles</i> .....	481
3. Designated Versus Limited Public Forums Under California's Free Speech Clause .....	484
<i>Wirta v. Alameda Contra Costa Transit Dist.</i> .....	485
D. Content Neutrality Under the California Constitution .....	487
<i>Los Angeles Alliance for Survival v. City of Los Angeles</i> .....	488
<i>DVD Copy Control Assn. v. Bunner</i> .....	492
E. Commercial Speech Under the California Constitution .....	494
1. The Definition of Commercial Speech Under the U.S. and California Constitutions.....	494
<i>Kasky v. Nike, Inc.</i> .....	494
2. The Compelled Funding of Commercial Speech Under California's Free Speech Clause .....	502
a. Does the Compelled Funding of Commercial Speech Implicate California's Free Speech Clause?.....	503
<i>Gerawan Farming v. Lyons (Gerawan I)</i> .....	504
b. What Test Should Be Used to Determine Whether the Compelled Funding of Commercial Speech Violates California's Free Speech Clause?.....	507
<i>Gerawan Farming, Inc. v. Kawamura (Gerawan II)</i> .....	507

---

F.	Government Speech Under the California Constitution .....	510
	Delano Farms Co. v. California Table Grape Com.....	511
G.	Conclusion.....	514
<b>Chapter 9. California's Privacy Clause .....</b>		<b>515</b>
A.	Introduction .....	515
B.	Ballot Pamphlet Arguments for Proposition 11 .....	516
	Ballot Pamphlet Arguments for Proposition 11 .....	516
C.	Early Decisions and the Compelling Interest Test .....	519
	White v. Davis .....	519
D.	Does California's Privacy Clause Protect Against Only State Action?.....	521
	Hill v. Nat. Collegiate Athletic Assn.....	522
E.	What Is the Standard for Assessing Privacy Violations Under the California Constitution? .....	524
	1. The Rejection of a Blanket "Compelling Interest" Test .....	524
	Hill v. Nat. Collegiate Athletic Assn.....	525
	2. The <i>Hill</i> Test .....	526
	Hill v. Nat. Collegiate Athletic Assn.....	527
	Hill v. Nat. Collegiate Athletic Assn.....	531
	3. The <i>Hill</i> Test Threshold Requirements .....	539
	Loder v. City of Glendale .....	540
	4. The Balancing Test.....	541
	a. When Does the "Compelling Interest" Test Apply? .....	541
	American Academy of Pediatrics v. Lungren.....	542
	Williams v. Superior Court .....	543
	b. The General Balancing Test .....	544
	Lewis v. Superior Court .....	544
F.	Governmental Intrusions on Autonomy Privacy.....	547
	American Academy of Pediatrics v. Lungren .....	548
	American Academy of Pediatrics v. Lungren .....	554
G.	Private Intrusions on Privacy.....	560
	1. Private Intrusions on Autonomy Privacy .....	560
	Hernandez v. Hillsides, Inc.....	560
	2. Private Intrusions on Informational Privacy .....	565
	Pioneer Electronics (USA), Inc. v. Superior Court .....	566
H.	Conclusion.....	568
<b>Chapter 10. Equal Protection Under the California Constitution .....</b>		<b>571</b>
A.	Introduction .....	571
B.	The Federal Equal Protection Clause .....	573
C.	Levels of Scrutiny Under California's Equal Protection Provisions .....	574
	1. Introduction .....	574
	2. No Intermediate Scrutiny .....	576
	In re Marriage Cases .....	576

---

3.	Rational Basis Review Under the California Constitution.....	577
a.	Introduction .....	577
b.	The Role of Animus in Rational Basis Review .....	579
	Parr v. Municipal Court .....	579
c.	Rational Basis with Bite?.....	582
	Brown v. Merlo.....	583
	Cooper v. Bray.....	588
	Hays v. Wood.....	590
	Warden v. State Bar .....	595
4.	Alternatives to the California Supreme Court's Two-Tiered Framework.....	599
a.	Intermediate Scrutiny .....	599
	Hays v. Wood.....	599
b.	Means Scrutiny .....	602
	Warden v. State Bar .....	602
c.	A More Scaled Approach to Rational Basis Review .....	606
	People v. Barrett .....	606
d.	A More Realistic Assessment of the Governmental Purpose.....	611
	Johnson v. Dept. of Justice .....	612
D.	Suspect Classifications Under the California Constitution .....	618
1.	Race and School Desegregation .....	618
	Crawford v. Board of Ed.....	620
	McKinny v. Oxnard Union High School Dist. Board of Trustees.....	624
2.	Additional Suspect Classifications Under the California Constitution .....	625
a.	Gender .....	625
	Sail'er Inn, Inc. v. Kirby .....	626
b.	Undocumented Aliens .....	628
	Darces v. Woods .....	628
c.	Sexual Orientation .....	631
	In re Marriage Cases .....	631
d.	Wealth .....	635
	In re Antazo.....	635
	Serrano v. Priest (Serrano I).....	636
E.	Conclusion.....	639
<b>Chapter 11. Fundamental Rights Under the California Constitution .....</b>		<b>641</b>
A.	Introduction .....	641
B.	The Right to Personal Autonomy .....	642
1.	Right to Abortion .....	643
	People v. Belous .....	643
	Com. to Defend Reproductive Rights v. Myers .....	646
	Com. to Defend Reproductive Rights v. Myers .....	649
	Com. to Defend Reproductive Rights v. Myers .....	652

---

2.	The Right to Intimate Association .....	655
	City of Santa Barbara v. Adamson.....	655
3.	The Right to Procreative Choice.....	659
	Conservatorship of Valerie N. ....	660
	Conservatorship of Valerie N. ....	663
4.	The Right to Marry.....	666
	In re Marriage Cases.....	667
C.	The Right to Education .....	677
	Serrano v. Priest (Serrano I) .....	678
	Serrano v. Priest (Serrano II) .....	680
	Butt v. State of California.....	681
D.	The Right to Jury Trial in Civil Actions .....	684
	People v. One 1941 Chevrolet Coupe .....	685
	Tull v. United States .....	689
	Nationwide Biweekly Administration, Inc. v. Superior Court .....	693
	Nationwide Biweekly Administration, Inc. v. Superior Court .....	700
E.	The Right to Vote .....	703
1.	Felon Disenfranchisement .....	705
2.	Noncitizen Voting .....	706
3.	Primary Elections .....	707
	Communist Party of U.S. of Am. v. Peek .....	707
F.	Conclusion.....	714
<b>Chapter 12. Religion .....</b>		<b>715</b>
A.	Introduction .....	715
B.	Federal Free Exercise and Establishment Clause Principles .....	717
1.	The Federal Free Exercise Clause.....	718
2.	The Federal Establishment Clause.....	719
a.	The Neutrality Principle .....	719
b.	The <i>Lemon</i> Test .....	720
3.	The Interplay Between the Federal Free Exercise and Establishment Clauses.....	721
C.	The California Constitution's Religion Provisions .....	722
1.	California's Free Exercise Clause.....	723
	Catholic Charities of Sacramento, Inc. v. Superior Court .....	724
	North Coast Women's Care Medical Group, Inc. v. San Diego County Superior Court .....	733
2.	California's Establishment Clause.....	739
	Sands v. Morongo Unified School District .....	740
	East Bay Asian Local Development Corporation v. State of California.....	749
3.	California's Other Religion Provisions .....	758
D.	Conclusion.....	761
<b>Chapter 13. California's Unconstitutional Conditions Doctrine .....</b>		<b>763</b>
A.	Introduction .....	763

---

B.	The Seminal Cases— <i>Danskin</i> and <i>Bagley</i> .....	765
	<i>Danskin</i> v. San Diego Unified Sch. Dist. ....	765
	<i>Bagley</i> v. Washington Township Hosp. Dist. ....	768
C.	Applying the <i>Danskin-Bagley</i> Test .....	771
1.	Free Speech.....	771
2.	Unreasonable Search and Seizure .....	772
	<i>Parrish</i> v. Civil Service Com. of the County of Alameda .....	773
3.	Autonomy Privacy .....	775
	<i>Com. to Defend Reproductive Rights v. Myers</i> .....	776
4.	Freedom of Association .....	782
	<i>Robbins</i> v. Superior Court.....	783
	<i>Evans</i> v. City of Berkeley.....	785
D.	Conclusion.....	787
<b>Chapter 14. Elections.....</b>		<b>789</b>
A.	Introduction .....	789
B.	Redistricting .....	790
	<i>Vandermost v. Bowen</i> .....	791
C.	Top-Two Primary.....	802
	<i>Rubin v. Padilla</i> .....	803
D.	Title and Summary .....	811
	<i>Yes on 25, Citizens for an On-Time Budget v. Superior Court</i> .....	812
	<i>Becerra v. Superior Court</i> .....	817
E.	Secret Ballot .....	825
	<i>Bridgeman v. McPherson</i> .....	825
F.	Felon Disenfranchisement.....	830
	<i>League of Women Voters of California v. McPherson</i> .....	830
G.	Conclusion.....	839
<b>Chapter 15. State and Local Interaction .....</b>		<b>841</b>
A.	Introduction .....	841
B.	History of Municipal Home Rule.....	843
1.	1850–1879: Before Home Rule.....	843
2.	1879: All Cities Granted Inherent Home Rule Powers .....	843
3.	1896–1914: Voter-Approved Charters Supreme in Municipal Affairs.....	845
4.	1911–1970: Further Home Rule Authority Granted to All Cities .....	845
5.	California Home Rule Today .....	846
	<i>Johnson v. Bradley</i> .....	847
C.	Home Rule and Charter Cities and Counties .....	850
1.	General Principles .....	850
	<i>Fiscal v. City and County of San Francisco</i> .....	852
2.	Charter Cities and the Scope of Their Power over Municipal Affairs.....	858
3.	Home Rule Cases.....	860
	<i>Ex Parte Braun</i> .....	860

---

California Fed. Savings and Loan Assn. v. City of Los Angeles....	863
Johnson v. Bradley .....	872
State Building and Construction Trades Council v. City of Vista.....	877
City and County of San Francisco v. The Regents of the University of California.....	883
D. Other Examples of State Versus Local Control.....	890
Pitts v. County of Kern .....	892
Pitts v. County of Kern .....	899
Abbott Laboratories v. Superior Court .....	903
E. Conclusion.....	909
<b>Chapter 16. State Agency Powers and Limitations .....</b>	<b>911</b>
A. Introduction .....	911
B. Quasi-Legislative Versus Quasi-Adjudicatory Powers .....	913
East Bay Municipal Utility Dist. v. Dept. of Public Works .....	913
20th Century Ins. Co. v. Garamendi.....	915
C. The Delegation of Quasi-Legislative Powers.....	917
1. Limits on Delegating Quasi-Legislative Powers .....	917
Clean Air Constituency v. California State Air Resources Bd. ....	918
People v. Wright .....	920
2. Can the Legislature Delegate Quasi-Legislative Powers to Anyone? .....	921
State Bd. of Dry Cleaners v. Thrift-D-Lux Cleaners, Inc. ....	922
State Bd. of Dry Cleaners v. Thrift-D-Lux Cleaners, Inc. ....	924
Gerawan Farming, Inc. v. Agricultural Labor Relations Bd. ....	926
3. The Scope of Deference Given to a State Agency's Quasi-Legislative Acts .....	929
a. General Principles of Agency Deference in California .....	930
Yamaha Corp. of America v. State Bd. of Equalization .....	931
Yamaha Corp. of America v. State Bd. of Equalization .....	934
b. Lawmaking Versus Interpretive Regulations.....	938
Ramirez v. Yosemite Water Co. ....	939
Assn. of Cal. Ins. Cos. v. Jones .....	942
Christensen v. Lightbourne .....	948
c. Underground Regulations .....	951
Tidewater Marine Western, Inc. v. Bradshaw.....	952
Alvarado v. Dart Container Corp. of Cal.....	956
D. Delegating Quasi-Adjudicatory Powers .....	961
1. Introduction .....	961
McHugh v. Santa Monica Rent Control Bd. ....	961
2. Substantive Limits on a State Agency's Quasi-Adjudicatory Powers .....	965
a. Determining the Validity of Statutes .....	966
Southern Pac. Transport. Co. v. Public Utilities Com.....	966
Southern Pac. Transport. Co. v. Public Utilities Com.....	968
Reese v. Kizer.....	971

---

b.	Available Administrative Remedies .....	974
	Walnut Creek Manor v. Fair Employment & Housing Com. ....	974
	Konig v. Fair Employment & Housing Com. ....	980
3.	Procedural Limits: Judicial Review of a State Agency's Quasi- Adjudicatory Decision .....	985
	Drummey v. State Bd. of Funeral Directors and Embalmers .....	985
	Bixby v. Pierno.....	987
	Tex-Cal Land Management, Inc. v. Agricultural Labor Relations Bd. ....	989
E.	Conclusion.....	991
<b>Chapter 17. Budget and Taxation.....</b>		<b>993</b>
A.	The California State Budget.....	993
1.	Spending Restrictions, Debt Limit, and Limits on Their Application .....	996
	Riley v. Johnson (Riley II).....	996
	White v. Davis.....	998
	Rider v. City of San Diego (Rider II) .....	1003
	Board of State Harbor Comrs. for San Francisco Harbor v. Dean.....	1012
	Mayhew Tech Center v. County of Sacramento .....	1015
2.	The Timely and Balanced Budget Requirements.....	1019
	Steinberg v. Chiang .....	1019
3.	The Voter Approval Requirement .....	1023
	California Cannabis Coalition v. City of Upland.....	1023
4.	The Line-Item Veto .....	1031
	St. John's Well Child & Family Center v. Schwarzenegger .....	1031
B.	Taxes, Assessments, Fees, and Charges.....	1036
1.	Proposition 13 .....	1037
2.	Special Districts.....	1038
	Rider v. County of San Diego (Rider I).....	1039
3.	Special Taxes .....	1042
a.	Definition.....	1042
	City and County of San Francisco v. Farrell .....	1043
	Rider v. County of San Diego (Rider I).....	1045
b.	Special Taxes Versus Special Assessments .....	1047
	Knox v. City of Orland.....	1047
c.	Regulatory Fees Versus Special Taxes.....	1053
	Pennell v. City of San Jose.....	1053
d.	Taxes.....	1053
	Sinclair Paint Co. v. State Bd. of Equalization.....	1054
	California Farm Bureau Fed. v. State Water Resources Control Bd. .....	1059

---

4.	Proposition 218.....	1061
a.	Property-Related Fees or Charges.....	1064
	Apartment Assn. of Los Angeles County, Inc. v. City of Los Angeles .....	1064
	Richmond v. Shasta Community Services Dist. ....	1068
b.	Assessments.....	1071
(i)	Definition of Assessment.....	1071
	Richmond v. Shasta Community Services Dist. ....	1072
(ii)	Burden of Proof and Standard of Review .....	1074
	Silicon Valley Taxpayers Assn., Inc. v. Santa Clara County Open Space Auth. ....	1075
(iii)	Special Benefits and the Proportionality Requirement.....	1078
	Silicon Valley Taxpayers Assn., Inc. v. Santa Clara County Open Space Auth. ....	1078
5.	Proposition 26 .....	1084
a.	Franchise Fees .....	1085
	Jacks v. City of Santa Barbara .....	1085
b.	Charges Imposed for Government Benefits, Privileges, Services, or Products .....	1089
	City of Buenaventura v. United Water Conservation Dist.....	1089
c.	Interfund Transfers .....	1092
	Citizens for Fair REU Rates v. City of Redding .....	1092
<b>Chapter 18. Water Law.....</b>		<b>1097</b>
A.	Introduction .....	1097
B.	Background.....	1099
1.	Measuring Water.....	1099
2.	California's Climate and Water Resources .....	1099
	Miller v. Bay Cities Water Co. ....	1100
3.	California Water Rights .....	1100
a.	Rights Based on Land Ownership .....	1101
b.	Appropriative Rights .....	1101
4.	Applying Rules About the Reasonable and Beneficial Use of Water Before 1928.....	1103
	Town of Antioch v. Williams Irrigation District.....	1103
C.	Applying <i>Herminghaus</i> and Article X, Section 2 to Storage Projects.....	1104
	<i>Herminghaus v. Southern Cal. Edison Co.</i> .....	1104
1.	Applying Article X, Section 2 to Surface Water Storage Projects.....	1105
	<i>Gin S. Chow v. City of Santa Barbara</i> .....	1106
	<i>Joslin v. Marin Municipal Water District</i> .....	1108
2.	Applying Article X, Section 2 to Groundwater Storage .....	1109
D.	Applying Article X, Section 2 to Improve Systemic Water Management .....	1110

---

Tulare Irrigation Dist. v. Lindsay-Strathmore Irrigation Dist. ....	1111
1. Expanding Water Supplies Within Well-Defined Managed Water Rights .....	1114
a. Applying Article X, Section 2 to Develop the Law of Foreign Water .....	1114
Crane v. Stevenson.....	1114
b. Applying Article X, Section 2 to Complex Groundwater Cases.....	1115
City of Los Angeles v. City of San Fernando .....	1116
c. The 1976–77 Drought and the Commission to Review Water Rights Law.....	1117
In re Waters of Long Valley Creek System.....	1118
People v. Shirokow.....	1119
E. Limiting Water Use Amounts .....	1121
1. Forfeiture for Non-Use, the 1976–77 Drought, and Water Code Section 1011 .....	1122
2. Administrative Enforcement of Article X, Section 2 .....	1124
Imperial Irrigation Dist. v. State Wat. Res. Control Bd. ....	1125
Light v. State Water Resources Control Board .....	1127
3. Water Pricing, Conservation Mandates, and Constitutional Limits on Fees and Taxes .....	1128
Capistrano Taxpayers Assn., Inc. v. City of San Juan Capistrano .....	1129
F. Incorporating Environmental Values into Article X, Section 2.....	1131
Environmental Defense Fund v. East Bay Mun. Utility Dist. (EDF II) .....	1132
1. Applying the Public Trust Doctrine to Water Rights.....	1134
Natl. Audubon Society v. Superior Court .....	1136
2. Evaluating Public Trust and Other Water Uses Under Article X, Section 2 .....	1142
G. Physical Solutions Under Article X, Section 2 .....	1143
City of Lodi v. East Bay Mun. Utility Dist. ....	1144
City of Barstow v. Mojave Water Agency .....	1148
H. Conclusion.....	1153
INDEX.....	1157