
TABLE OF CONTENTS

PREFACE.....	III
ACRONYM AND PRONUNCIATION GUIDE.....	IX
TABLE OF CASES.....	XXVII
Chapter 1. Introduction.....	1
I. Introduction	1
A. How This Book Is Structured	2
B. How to Learn from This Book.....	3
II. The Basic Questions of Environmental Law	4
A. Is Something Happening to the Environment?.....	5
B. Should We Respond?	6
1. Risk and Precaution	6
2. Ethical Theories of Environmental Protection	7
C. Should the Response Be Legal?.....	10
Barton H. Thompson, Jr., <i>Tragically Difficult: The Obstacles to</i> <i>Governing the Commons</i>	11
D. Who Should Respond?	14
E. What Legal Tools Should We Use?.....	15
1. Torts.....	16
2. Property.....	16
3. Information	17
4. Prescriptive Regulation.....	17
5. Economic Incentives and Trading	18
6. Planning Schemes.....	20
7. Procedural and Substantive Regulations.....	21
8. Subsidies and Construction	21
F. What Should the Intensity of the Response Be?	22
G. Upon Whom Should the Burdens of Responding (or Not Responding) Fall?	24
III. The History of Environmental Law	27
Richard J. Lazarus, <i>The Greening of America and the Graying of</i> <i>United States Environmental Law: Reflections on</i> <i>Environmental Law's First Three Decades in the United</i> <i>States</i>	27
IV. Capstone Problem: Litigating Environmental Justice?.....	45
U.S. Constitution, Fourteenth Amendment	47
Washington v. Davis	47
Village of Arlington Heights v. Metropolitan Housing Development Corp.	49
Executive Order 12,898.....	51
Luke W. Cole, <i>Macho Law Brains, Public Citizens, and Grassroots</i> <i>Activists: Three Models of Environmental Advocacy</i>	54
Note	58

Chapter 2. Background Principles	61
I. Torts	61
A. Torts and Environmental Law: The Basics	62
1. Negligence and Strict Liability	62
2. Trespass	63
3. Nuisance	64
4. Product Liability	64
5. Causation in Toxic Torts	65
Problem 2.1: Glyphosate-Based Herbicides	66
Satterfield v. J.M. Huber Corp.	67
B. Torts and Environmental Law in the Age of Statutes	74
Problem 2.2: Statutory Preemption of Common Law Claims.....	75
Bates v. Dow Agrosociences LLC	75
C. Torts and Climate Litigation	81
Problem 2.3: Climate Change and Torts.....	81
Frequently Asked Questions: The Climate Change Lawsuit in	
Colorado.....	83
Notes.....	87
II. Introduction to Statutory Interpretation.....	88
A. Legislative Supremacy	89
B. The Importance of Plain Language	90
1. Definitions	90
2. Conventional Meaning and Terms of Art.....	91
3. Context	91
4. Semantic Canons of Construction	92
C. Interpretive Aids Beyond the Plain Language of the Statute	93
1. Substantive Canons of Construction	93
2. Purpose.....	94
3. Legislative History	95
4. Justice, Fairness, Efficiency, Common Sense.....	96
D. Deference and Agency Interpretations	97
III. Introduction to Administrative Law	97
A. Why Administration?	97
B. The Structure of Administrative Lawmaking	99
C. The Process of Administrative Lawmaking.....	100
D. Judicial Review of Administrative Agency Action	102
Problem 2.4: Challenging an EPA Rule	106
CAA § 307, 42 U.S.C. § 7607. Administrative proceedings and	
judicial review.....	108
Independent Equipment Dealers Ass’n v. EPA.....	111
IV. Constitutional Law and Environmental Law.....	119
A. The Constitution and Congressional Authority	120
1. Interstate Commerce Clause	120
2. Property Clause	121
3. Treaty Clause.....	121
B. Constitutional Limits on State Authority.....	122
1. Dormant Commerce Clause	122
2. Supremacy Clause, Preemption, and Cooperative	
Federalism	124

C. Takings Doctrine	125
V. Standing.....	126
A. Introduction	126
Problem 2.5: Particulate Matter Emissions from Ships	128
Massachusetts v. EPA.....	132
Natural Resources Defense Council v. EPA (“Methyl Bromide”).....	138
Notes.....	142
VI. Enforcement.....	143
A. Basic Enforcement Structures.....	143
RCRA § 3008, 42 U.S.C. § 6928. Federal enforcement.....	144
ESA § 11, 16 U.S.C. § 1540. Penalties and enforcement	149
Garry C. Gray & Susan S. Silbey, <i>Governing Inside the Organization: Interpreting Regulation and Compliance</i>	153
Chapter 3. Air Pollution	159
I. The Challenge of Air Quality.....	160
A. Scope of the Problem	161
B. Pollutants, Sources, and Consequences	162
U.S. EPA, <i>Our Nation’s Air: Status and Trends Through 2010</i>	162
Note	165
II. History.....	165
III. Clean Air Act Overview	168
IV. Criteria Air Pollutants and National Ambient Air Quality Standards.....	174
CAA § 108, 42 U.S.C. § 7408. Air quality criteria and control techniques	174
CAA § 109, 42 U.S.C. § 7409. National primary and secondary ambient air quality standards	175
40 C.F.R. § 50.4. National primary ambient air quality standards for sulfur oxides (sulfur dioxide).....	176
40 C.F.R. § 50.17. National primary ambient air quality standards for sulfur oxides (sulfur dioxide).....	177
Problem 3.1: Revising the Ozone Standard	179
National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Ozone.....	179
Letter from Cass R. Sunstein, Administrator, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs (OIRA), Office of Management and Budget, to Lisa Jackson, Administrator, U.S. EPA	181
CAA § 108, 42 U.S.C. § 7408. Air quality criteria and control techniques	183
CAA § 109, 42 U.S.C. § 7409. National primary and secondary ambient air quality standards	184
Whitman v. American Trucking Associations, Inc.	186
V. State Implementation Plans.....	188
A. Key Elements.....	188
B. Timing and Process	190

C.	Enforcement and Sanctions	191
	Problem 3.2: A New Factory in Chicago	192
	CAA § 172, 42 U.S.C. § 7502. Nonattainment plan provisions in general	193
	CAA § 173, 42 U.S.C. § 7503. Permit requirements.....	195
	Emission Offset Interpretative Ruling.....	196
	Citizens Against the Refinery’s Effects v. U.S. EPA.....	199
	In re Monroe Electric Generating Plant Entergy Louisiana, Inc. Proposed Operating Permit	201
	Letter from U.S. EPA Region 10 to Colville Tribal Enterprise Corp.	203
	35 Ill. Admin. Code Part 203. Major Stationary Sources Construction and Modification	205
	Notes.....	206
VI.	New Source Review	208
A.	Prevention of Significant Deterioration New Source Review ...	209
1.	Definition of Major Emitting Facility.....	209
2.	Requirements.....	209
B.	Nonattainment New Source Review	210
1.	Definition of Major Stationary Source	210
2.	Requirements.....	210
	Problem 3.3: Fire Mountain Power Plant, Part I	211
	CAA § 165, 42 U.S.C. § 7475. Preconstruction requirements	212
	CAA § 169, 42 U.S.C. § 7479. Definitions	213
	New Source Review Workshop Manual (Draft).....	215
	Sierra Club v. EPA	219
VII.	New Source Performance Standards	223
	Problem 3.4: Fire Mountain Power Plant, Part II	223
	CAA § 111, 42 U.S.C. § 7411. Standards of performance for new stationary sources.....	224
	40 C.F.R. Subpart D. Standards of Performance for Fossil-Fuel- Fired Steam Generators.....	225
	40 C.F.R. Subpart DA. Standards of Performance for Electric Utility Steam Generating	225
VIII.	Hazardous Air Pollutants	229
A.	Regulated Substances	230
B.	Regulated Sources	230
C.	Emissions Standards.....	230
IX.	Title V Permitting	231
X.	Mobile Sources.....	231
A.	Regulated Pollutants.....	232
B.	Regulatory Programs	232
C.	Federalism	233
	Problem 3.5: Preemption of California Vehicle Emissions Standards	234
	Department of Transportation & EPA, One National Program Rule on Federal Preemption of State Fuel Economy Standards.....	236

CAA § 209, 42 U.S.C. § 7543. State standards	238
CAA § 177, 42 U.S.C. § 7507. New motor vehicle emission standards in nonattainment areas	239
EPA & Department of Transportation, The Safer Affordable Fuel-Efficient (SAFE) Vehicles Rule Part One: One National Program	240
Detailed Comments of [States and Cities]on the Environmental Protection Agency’s and the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration’s Joint Proposed “SAFE” Vehicles Rule for Model Years 2021–2026 Passenger Cars and Light Trucks	243
Notes.....	245
D. Non-Title II Mobile Source Controls	245
XI. Emissions Trading.....	246
National Center for Environmental Economics, U.S. EPA, The United States Experience with Economic Incentives for Protecting the Environment	248
XII. Capstone Problem: Should Greenhouse Gases Be Listed as a NAAQS Pollutant?	254
CAA § 108, 42 U.S.C. § 7408. Air quality criteria and control techniques	255
Natural Resources Defense Council, Inc. v. Train	256
Massachusetts v. EPA.....	258
Utility Air Regulatory Group v. EPA.....	263
Power Plant Performance Standards.....	271
CAA § 111, 42 U.S.C. § 7411. Standards of performance for new stationary sources.....	272
Carbon Pollution Standard for New Power Plants	273
Clean Power Plan and Affordable Clean Energy Rule	274
Chapter 4. Water Pollution.....	277
I. The Challenge of Water Quality.....	278
A. Scope of the Problem	278
National Water Quality Inventory: Report to Congress	279
B. Consequences.....	283
II. History.....	284
III. Clean Water Act Overview	286
A. Goals.....	287
CWA § 101, 33 U.S.C. § 1251. Congressional Declaration of Goals and Policy.....	287
B. Regulatory Programs	289
C. Funding Programs.....	291
D. Enforcement Provisions	291
E. Preemption.....	291
IV. Scope of the Clean Water Act	292
A. Waters of the United States.....	292
Problem 4.1: Are Those Streams and Wetlands Jurisdictional?.....	293
United States Constitution, art. I, § 8, cl.3.....	297

	CWA § 502, 33 U.S.C. § 1362. Definitions	297
	33 C.F.R. § 328.3. Definitions	299
	Rapanos v. United States.....	304
	Notes.....	314
B.	Point Source.....	315
	Problem 4.2: Fireworks	316
	CWA § 301, 33 U.S.C. § 1311. Effluent limitations.....	317
	CWA § 502, 33 U.S.C. § 1362. Definitions	317
	County of Maui, Hawaii v. Hawaii Wildlife Fund, et al.	318
	United States v. Plaza Health Laboratories.....	324
	Fact Sheet: Fireworks and New Hampshire’s Lakes	328
	Letter from Robert Howard, Latham & Watkins LLP, to San Diego Regional Water Quality Control Board	330
	Jessica M. Newman & Catherine George Hagan (Staff Counsel, California State Water Resources Control Board), Memorandum Analyzing Whether Fireworks Are Point Source Discharges under Clean Water Act.....	333
	Notes.....	336
	Additional Questions.....	337
V.	NPDES Program	338
	Problem 4.3: Pharmaceutical Manufacturing	339
A.	Effluent Limitations.....	339
	Questions	347
B.	Permitting.....	348
	Questions	350
C.	Stormwater	350
	Dave Owen, <i>Urbanization, Water Quality, and the Regulated Landscape</i>	351
VI.	404 Program	359
	Problem 4.4: Section 404 Permitting and a Highway	361
	40 C.F.R. § 230.10	363
	33 U.S.C. § 1344	363
	Nationwide Permit 14 Linear Transportation Projects.....	364
VII.	Water Quality Standards.....	365
A.	Elements	365
B.	Implications	366
	1. Water Quality Certifications	367
	Problem 4.5: Water Quality Certification and Section 404 Permits	367
	CWA § 401, 33 U.S.C. § 1341. Certification.....	368
	PUD No. 1 of Jefferson County v. Washington Dept. of Ecology.....	370
	Notes.....	375
	2. TMDLs.....	376
	Problem 4.6: Impaired Waterbodies and TMDLs.....	376
	CWA § 303, 33 U.S.C. § 1313. Water quality standards and implementation plans	377
	Pronsolino v. Nastri.....	380

General Accounting Office, Changes Needed if Key Program Is to Help Fulfill the Nation's Water Quality Goals	386
Note	387
3. Water Quality Standards and NPDES Permits	387
Problem 4.7: TMDLs and Section 402 Permits.....	388
CWA § 302, 33 U.S.C. § 1312. Water quality related effluent limitations	389
40 C.F.R. § 122.4. Prohibitions	389
Friends of Pinto Creek v. U.S. EPA.....	390
Notes.....	394
VIII. Capstone Problem: Chesapeake Bay TMDL.....	395
Chesapeake Bay TMDL Executive Summary	396
Chapter 5. Chemical Safety Regulation	407
I. Chemicals and Risk.....	409
II. The Toxic Substances Control Act Overview.....	413
III. Regulation of New Chemicals.....	417
Problem 5.1: Registering a Green Solvent.....	418
TSCA § 5, 15 U.S.C.A. § 2604. Manufacturing and processing notices.....	419
TSCA § 5, 15 U.S.C.A. § 2604. Manufacturing and processing notices.....	419
TSCA § 5, 15 U.S.C.A. § 2604. Manufacturing and processing notices.....	420
Notes	421
IV. Regulation of Existing Chemicals	423
Problem 5.2: Banning TCE as a Vapor Degreaser	426
TSCA § 6, 15 U.S.C. § 2605. Prioritization, risk evaluation, and regulation of chemical substances and mixtures.....	428
Trichloroethylene (TCE); Regulation of Use in Vapor Degreasing Under TSCA Section 6(a)	430
Comment Filed by the President of a Chemical Distributor.....	436
Corrosion Proof Fittings v. EPA.....	437
Notes	442
Chapter 6. Management of Hazardous Waste	447
RCRA § 1002, 42 U.S.C. § 6901. Congressional findings	448
I. Hazardous Waste and Its Sources.....	449
II. Overview of Federal Solid Waste Law	451
III. Regulated Materials.....	454
A. Definition of Solid Waste	455
Problem 6.1: Utility Poles, Part I	458
RCRA § 1004, 42 U.S.C. § 6903. Definitions.....	459
40 C.F.R. § 261.2. Definition of solid waste	460
Memorandum from Suzanne Rudzinski, Director of the Office of Resource Conservation and Recovery to EPA Regional Offices	461
American Mining Congress v. EPA.....	465

	Howmet Corporation v. EPA	471
	Notes.....	473
B.	Definition of Hazardous Waste.....	474
	1. Listed Hazardous Waste	475
	2. Characteristic Hazardous Waste.....	476
	3. Designating a Listed Hazardous Waste.....	477
	4. Delisting.....	477
	Problem 6.2: Utility Poles, Part II.....	477
	40 C.F.R. § 261.24. Toxicity characteristic	479
	40 C.F.R. § 261.31. Hazardous waste from non-specific sources	481
	Hazardous Waste Identification Rule (HWIR): Identification and Listing of Hazardous Wastes	482
	Notes.....	485
IV.	Regulated Entities.....	486
A.	Generators	487
	Problem 6.3: Restoration Paints.....	490
	40 C.F.R. § 262.11. Hazardous waste determination and recordkeeping.....	491
	Note	492
B.	Transporters	492
	Problem 6.4: Chemco.....	493
	40 C.F.R. § 260.10. Definitions	493
	40 C.F.R. § 263.10. Scope	493
	40 C.F.R. § 263.12. Transfer facility requirements.....	494
C.	Treatment, Storage, and Disposal Facilities	494
	Training Module, Introduction to Treatment, Storage and Disposal Facilities.....	495
	Problem 6.5: Disposal Solutions	501
	40 C.F.R. § 264.71. Use of manifest system.....	501
	40 C.F.R. § 264.72. Manifest discrepancies	502
	40 C.F.R. § 265.13. General waste analysis.....	502
D.	Corrective Action	503
	RCRA Corrective Action: Case Studies Report	504
E.	RCRA and Environmental Justice	507
	Robert D. Bullard et al., <i>Toxic Wastes and Race at Twenty: Why Race Still Matters After All of these Years</i>	508
V.	Capstone Problem: Enforcing RCRA at MIT.....	511
	RCRA § 3008, 49 U.S.C. § 6928. Federal Enforcement	517
	RCRA Civil Penalty Policy.....	518
	Supplemental Environmental Projects (SEP) Policy 2015 Update.....	525
	Letter from Chief, Civil Division, U.S. Attorney's Office to MIT	539
	Letter from MIT to Chief, Civil Division, U.S. Attorney's Office and U.S. EPA.....	542
	Letter from MIT to Chief, Civil Division, U.S. Attorney's Office and U.S. EPA.....	547
	Notes	554

Chapter 7. Contaminated Site Cleanup	559
I. The Problem of Environmental Contamination	560
A. Where Does Hazardous Substance Contamination Come from?.....	561
B. What Gets Contaminated?.....	561
C. What Are Some Common Contaminants?	562
D. How Are People Exposed to Hazardous Substances at Contaminated Sites?	563
E. What Are the Consequences of Exposure to Hazardous Substances?.....	565
F. How Are Contaminated Sites Cleaned up?.....	565
G. PCBs and the Fox River: A Case Study of the Hazards of Contamination	566
II. History.....	569
Richard Lazarus, The Making of Environmental Law	569
III. CERCLA Overview	573
A. Scope.....	573
B. Site Discovery	574
C. Potentially Responsible Parties.....	576
D. EPA-Initiated Cleanup.....	576
E. Cleanup Standards and National Contingency Plan	577
F. Cost Recovery and Liability	577
G. Settlements	578
H. Contribution.....	578
IV. Liability.....	579
A. Rules for Liability.....	579
Problem 7.1: Liability Along the Lower Fox River	581
CERCLA § 101, 42 U.S.C. § 9601. Definitions.....	583
CERCLA § 107, 42 U.S.C. § 9607. Liability.....	584
Burlington Northern & Santa Fe Railway Co. v. United States.....	585
United States v. P.H. Glatfelter Co.....	592
Notes.....	595
B. Defenses to Liability.....	598
Problem 7.2: Purchasing Contaminated Property	602
CERCLA § 101, 42 U.S.C. § 9601. Definitions.....	603
CERCLA § 107, 42 U.S.C. § 9607. Liability.....	604
Memorandum from Susan E. Bromm, Director of Office of Site Remediation and Enforcement, to EPA Regional Offices	605
Factsheet, All Appropriate Inquiries Final Rule.....	609
Notes.....	611
C. CERCLA Liability and Corporate Law	612
Problem 7.3: Liable Parent Companies.....	613
United States v. Bestfoods	614
Notes.....	621
V. Cleanup Process	624
A. Cleanup Process.....	624
B. Compelling Cleanups	629

VI.	Distribution of Costs Among PRPs	631
	Problem 7.4: Filing Lawsuits on Behalf of PRPs	633
	CERCLA § 107, 42 U.S.C. § 9607. Liability	634
	CERCLA § 113, 42 U.S.C. § 9613. Civil Proceedings.....	634
	Cooper Industries, Inc. v. Aviall Services, Inc.....	635
	United States v. Atlantic Research Corp.....	640
	Note	645
VII.	Natural Resource Damages	645
	CERCLA § 101, 42 U.S.C. § 9601. Definitions	646
	CERCLA § 107, 42 U.S.C. § 9607. Liability	646
VIII.	Capstone Problem: Overlapping Private Causes of Action	648
	Brief of Power-Tech Corporation.....	651
Chapter 8. Environmental Impact Analysis		659
I.	NEPA Overview.....	661
	A. Statute.....	661
	NEPA § 101, 42 U.S.C. § 4331. Congressional Declaration of National Environmental Policy	661
	NEPA § 102, 42 U.S.C. § 4332. Cooperation of agencies; reports; availability of information; recommendations; international and national coordination of efforts	662
	B. Regulations	664
	C. NEPA Processes.....	665
II.	When Is an EIS Necessary?.....	668
	Problem 8.1: James River Utility Line Crossing, Part I.....	671
	40 C.F.R. § 1508.9 (2019). Environmental assessment	675
	40 C.F.R. § 1508.13 (2019). Finding of no significant impact.....	675
	40 C.F.R. § 1508.27 (2019). Significantly.....	676
	Ocean Advocates v. U.S. Army Corps of Engineers	677
	Advocates for Transportation Alternatives, Inc. v. U.S. Army Corps of Engineers	682
	River Road Alliance, Inc. v. Corps of Engineers of U.S. Army.....	684
	National Marine Fisheries Service, Fact Sheet: Atlantic Sturgeon Chesapeake Bay Distinct Population Segment: Endangered.....	689
	Notes	694
III.	Contents of an EIS (or an EA)	697
	Problem 8.2: James River Utility Line Crossing, Part II	699
	40 C.F.R. § 1502.14 (2019). Alternatives including the proposed action	700
	Citizens Against Burlington v. Busey	701
	Simmons v. U.S. Army Corps of Engineers	708
	USACE Preliminary Alternatives Conclusions White Paper.....	712
	Save the James Alliance, Response to Dominion Virginia's Surry- Skiffes Creek Alternatives Analysis Preliminary Review by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers	718
	Notes	721

- IV. NEPA’s Frontiers 723
 - A. NEPA and Climate Change 723
 - Problem 8.3: NEPA, Climate Change, and Mineral Leasing.... 723
 - Draft National Environmental Policy Act Guidance on
 Consideration of Greenhouse Gas Emissions 725
 - High Country Conservation Advocates v. United States
 Forest Service..... 727
 - Notes..... 732
 - B. Reforming Environmental Assessment Laws..... 734
 - Problem 8.4: Streamlining Environmental Assessment
 Laws? 735
 - Bradley C. Karkkainen, *Whither NEPA?*..... 736
 - Notes..... 742

- Chapter 9. Biodiversity Protection.....745**
 - I. Why (and Why Not) Protect Biodiversity?..... 745
 - A. Biodiversity and Extinction 746
 - B. Reasons for Protecting Biodiversity 747
 - Holly Doremus, Comment, *Patching the Ark: Improving
 Legal Protection of Biological Diversity*..... 748
 - II. History..... 750
 - III. ESA Overview..... 752
 - 16 U.S.C. § 1531. Congressional findings and declaration of
 purposes and policy 752
 - Dave Owen, *The Endangered Species Act*..... 756
 - IV. Listing Species..... 756
 - Problem 9.1: Listing Atlantic Salmon? 757
 - 16 U.S.C. § 1532. Definitions..... 759
 - 16 U.S.C. § 1533. Determination of endangered species and
 threatened species 760
 - Orna Izakson, *Feds OK Salmon Plan, Agencies Forgo
 Endangered Listing* 761
 - National Marine Fisheries Service and U.S. Fish and Wildlife
 Service, Endangered and Threatened Species; Proposed
 Endangered Status for a Distinct Population Segment of
 Anadromous Atlantic Salmon (*Salmo salar*) in the Gulf of
 Maine..... 763
 - Governor Angus King, *Restoration not Regulation* 768
 - Oregon Natural Resources Council v. Daley 771
 - Notes 773
 - V. Jeopardy, Adverse Modification, and the Consultation Process 775
 - Problem 9.2: Stillwater Business Park 779
 - ESA § 7, 16 U.S.C. § 1536. Interagency cooperation..... 780
 - Biological Opinion for the Proposed Stillwater Business Park
 Project..... 782
 - Tennessee Valley Authority v. Hill 790
 - Miccosukee Tribe of Indians of Florida v. United States..... 796
 - Additional Problems 801
 - Notes 802

VI.	Take Prohibition and Its Exceptions.....	803
A.	Take Prohibition.....	803
	Problems.....	807
B.	Exceptions to the Take Prohibition.....	808
	ESA § 10, 16 U.S.C. § 1539. Exceptions.....	809
	U.S. Department of the Interior and U.S. Department of Commerce, Habitat Conservation Planning and Incidental Take Permit Processing Handbook.....	810
	Management Systems International, An Independent Evaluation of the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service's Habitat Conservation Plan Program.....	814
VII.	Capstone Problem: The Northern Rockies HCP.....	816
	INDEX.....	825