
TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | |
|---|-----------|
| PREFACE..... | III |
| ACKNOWLEDGMENTS..... | VII |
| TABLE OF CASES..... | XXVII |
| Chapter 1. Overview | 1 |
| I. What This Book Is About | 1 |
| II. The Central Constitutional Provisions | 3 |
| A. Amendment IV: Search and Seizure..... | 3 |
| B. Amendment V: Compelled or Involuntary Confessions and Other Fundamentally Unfair Procedures | 3 |
| C. Amendment VI: Right to Counsel and Fair Adjudication Process | 4 |
| D. Amendment XIV: Application of the Bill of Rights and of Principles of Fundamental Fairness to the States | 4 |
| III. The Steps in the Criminal Process..... | 5 |
| A. Report of Crime | 5 |
| B. Pre-Arrest Investigation | 5 |
| C. Arrest | 6 |
| D. Booking | 6 |
| E. Preliminary Arraignment..... | 6 |
| F. Continuing Investigation..... | 8 |
| G. Preliminary Hearing | 8 |
| H. Grand Jury Review | 9 |
| I. Filing the Information or Indictment | 9 |
| J. Arraignment on the Information or Indictment | 9 |
| K. Pretrial Motions | 10 |
| L. Trial? | 11 |
| M. Sentencing | 12 |
| N. Appeals and Postconviction Remedies..... | 12 |
| IV. Themes in Constitutional Criminal Procedure | 14 |
| A. Discretion..... | 14 |
| B. Remedies..... | 16 |
| Mapp v. Ohio | 16 |
| C. Race and Ethnicity of Suspects | 21 |
| D. Role of the Lawyer | 22 |
| E. Policing | 23 |
| Problem 1-1..... | 24 |
| Chapter 2. Suppression Motions and Hearings | 27 |
| I. Introduction..... | 27 |
| A. Motions to Suppress and Pretrial Suppression Hearings | 28 |
| B. Evidence Presented at Suppression Hearings | 29 |
| 1. Judicial Scrutiny of Police Perjury..... | 30 |
| People v. James McMurtry..... | 31 |
| 2. Prosecutors and Police Perjury..... | 33 |
| II. <i>United States v. Matos</i> Litigation | 34 |
| United States of America v. Edgar Adam Matos, Defendant | 53 |

| | |
|---|-----------|
| Chapter 3. Searches: Basic Concepts | 59 |
| I. Introduction to the Fourth Amendment..... | 59 |
| II. Procedural Concepts | 60 |
| A. The “Government (State) Action” Requirement..... | 61 |
| 1. <i>Burdeau v. McDowell</i> , 256 U.S. 465 (1921) | 61 |
| 2. <i>United States v. Jacobsen</i> , 466 U.S. 109 (1984) | 62 |
| 3. <i>Skinner v. Railway Labor Executives’ Ass’n</i> , 489 U.S. 602 (1989)..... | 62 |
| 4. <i>New Jersey v. T.L.O.</i> , 469 U.S. 325 (1985) | 62 |
| Problem 3-1..... | 63 |
| B. The Limitation of “the People” in Fourth Amendment Analysis | 64 |
| C. “Standing”: Who May Complain About Searches and Seizures? | 64 |
| III. The Fourth Amendment Analysis | 65 |
| A. What Is a “Search”? | 65 |
| 1. The <i>Katz</i> Test..... | 65 |
| <i>Katz v. United States</i> | 66 |
| a. The Reasonable Expectation of Privacy Test..... | 70 |
| Problem 3-2 | 72 |
| Note | 72 |
| 2. The <i>Jones</i> Physical Trespass Test..... | 73 |
| <i>United States v. Jones</i> | 73 |
| Problem 3-3..... | 80 |
| 3. Application of <i>Katz</i> and <i>Jones</i> to Police Investigative Practices..... | 80 |
| a. The Home and Surrounding Areas | 80 |
| (i) The Home | 81 |
| (ii) Curtilage | 81 |
| <i>Collins v. Virginia</i> | 82 |
| Note | 83 |
| (iii) Open Fields | 84 |
| Problem 3-4 | 85 |
| (iv) Aerial Surveillance of Property | 85 |
| Problem 3-5 | 86 |
| (v) Trash | 87 |
| Problem 3-6 | 87 |
| Note | 88 |
| b. Sensory Enhancement Tools..... | 88 |
| (i) Thermal Imaging | 89 |
| <i>Kyllo v. United States</i> | 89 |
| Problem 3-7 | 91 |
| (ii) Dog Sniffs | 91 |
| <i>Florida v. Jardines</i> | 91 |
| <i>Illinois v. Caballes</i> | 95 |
| Note | 98 |
| (iii) Chemical Field Test..... | 98 |

| | |
|---|------------|
| c. Assumption of the Risk: Information Revealed by Third Parties..... | 99 |
| (i) Agents and Informants..... | 99 |
| (ii) The Third Party Rule | 100 |
| d. Modern Tracking and Surveillance Techniques | 101 |
| (i) Cell Site Location Information (“CSLI”) | 101 |
| Carpenter v. United States | 101 |
| Note | 111 |
| Problem 3-8 | 112 |
| (ii) Electronic Monitoring with Ankle Bracelets | 113 |
| 4. Zones of Privacy: A <i>Katz/Jones</i> Review | 113 |
| B. Standing Revisited: The <i>Rakas</i> Test..... | 116 |
| Byrd v. United States | 117 |
| Problem 3-9..... | 121 |
| Problem 3-10..... | 122 |
| Chapter 4. Probable Cause | 125 |
| I. Introduction..... | 125 |
| II. The Probable Cause Analysis..... | 126 |
| A. The Definition of “Probable Cause” | 126 |
| B. Probable Cause Analytical Framework | 128 |
| 1. The Quantity of Information to Establish Probable Cause..... | 128 |
| Maryland v. Pringle | 128 |
| 2. The Quality of Information to Establish Probable Cause | 131 |
| a. Police Officers | 131 |
| b. Known Citizen Informants: Victims and Eyewitnesses..... | 132 |
| c. Anonymous Tipsters and Police Informants..... | 133 |
| 3. The <i>Aguilar-Spinelli</i> Two-Pronged Test | 134 |
| 4. The “Totality of the Circumstances” Test | 137 |
| Illinois v. Gates..... | 137 |
| Notes | 144 |
| Problem 4-1 | 151 |
| Problem 4-2 | 151 |
| Problem 4-3 | 151 |
| Problem 4-4 | 152 |
| Problem 4-5 | 152 |
| Problem 4-6 | 153 |
| Chapter 5. Warrants | 155 |
| I. Warrant Content..... | 155 |
| A. Overview | 155 |
| Johnson v. United States..... | 156 |
| B. Issuance of Warrants | 157 |
| 1. What Can Be Seized? | 157 |
| 2. Elements of a Warrant..... | 158 |
| a. Neutral and Detached Magistrates | 159 |
| b. Oath or Affirmation Supporting Probable Cause..... | 160 |

| | | |
|---|---|------------|
| c. | Particularity Regarding Location..... | 165 |
| | Maryland v. Garrison..... | 165 |
| d. | Specificity Regarding What Will Be Seized | 167 |
| | (i) Residual Clauses | 167 |
| | (ii) Absence of a List of “ <i>Items to Be Seized</i> ” | 168 |
| | Groh v. Ramirez..... | 168 |
| II. | Executing the Warrant..... | 172 |
| A. | Overview | 172 |
| B. | “Knock and Announce” and “No-Knock” Warrants..... | 173 |
| | 1. “No-Knock” Warrants | 174 |
| C. | Use of Force | 175 |
| D. | The Death of Breonna Taylor | 179 |
| | Problem 5-1..... | 183 |
| | Problem 5-2..... | 184 |
| | Problem 5-3..... | 184 |
| Chapter 6. Seizures: Property and Arrests..... | | 187 |
| I. | Introduction..... | 187 |
| II. | Seizure of Property | 187 |
| III. | Seizures of People | 188 |
| A. | Custodial Arrests | 189 |
| | 1. Probable Cause..... | 189 |
| | 2. The Warrant Requirement: Public Arrests | 189 |
| | 3. Arrests in the Home | 191 |
| | Payton v. New York..... | 191 |
| | 4. The <i>Watson</i> Rule or the <i>Payton</i> Rule?..... | 193 |
| | a. Overnight Guests | 193 |
| | b. Casual Visitors | 193 |
| | c. Search Warrant vs. Arrest Warrant | 194 |
| | 5. Seriousness of the Criminal Offense..... | 197 |
| | Atwater v. City of Lago Vista | 197 |
| | Virginia v. Moore..... | 200 |
| | Note | 201 |
| | 6. Use of Force | 202 |
| | a. Use of Deadly Force to Seize a Fleeing Felon..... | 203 |
| | Tennessee v. Garner..... | 203 |
| | Note | 206 |
| | b. Evaluating the Reasonableness of Force Used..... | 206 |
| | Graham v. Connor | 206 |
| | Note | 208 |
| | c. Use of Force and Public Safety Concerns | 209 |
| | Scott v. Harris..... | 209 |
| | Note | 211 |
| | d. Police Accountability for Excessive Force | 212 |
| | (i) Use of Force Standards | 212 |
| | (ii) Federal Accountability for Excessive Force | 216 |
| | e. Race and Use of Force | 220 |
| | Problem 6-1 | 221 |
| | Questions | 221 |

| | |
|---|------------|
| Chapter 7. Seizures: Stop and Frisk Investigatory Detentions | 225 |
| I. Introduction..... | 225 |
| II. The <i>Terry</i> Doctrine..... | 226 |
| <i>Terry v. Ohio</i> | 226 |
| Notes | 233 |
| A. When Does Police Contact Become a “Seizure”? | 236 |
| 1. Voluntary Encounter Versus Seizure | 238 |
| <i>United States v. Mendenhall</i> | 238 |
| <i>Florida v. Royer</i> | 240 |
| <i>INS v. Delgado</i> | 241 |
| <i>United States v. Drayton</i> | 245 |
| 2. Is Capture Required for a Seizure to Occur? | 249 |
| <i>California v. Hodari D.</i> | 250 |
| <i>Torres v. Madrid</i> | 251 |
| B. What Is Reasonable Suspicion?..... | 254 |
| 1. Quantity of Information for Reasonable Suspicion | 254 |
| <i>United States v. Arvizu</i> | 254 |
| <i>Kansas v. Glover</i> | 257 |
| 2. Quality of Information Needed for Reasonable Suspicion | 258 |
| <i>Alabama v. White</i> | 259 |
| <i>Florida v. J.L.</i> | 262 |
| Note | 263 |
| 3. Other Relevant Factors in the Reasonable Suspicion Analysis..... | 264 |
| a. Use of Criminal Profiles | 264 |
| b. Fleeing from Police | 265 |
| C. What Is a Frisk or Pat Down Search? | 267 |
| Notes | 268 |
| D. Scope of Police Authority During <i>Terry</i> Stops..... | 270 |
| 1. Duration of Detention | 270 |
| 2. Manner of Police Investigation..... | 271 |
| 3. Forced Movement..... | 271 |
| 4. Mandatory Identification Requirement | 272 |
| <i>Hiibel v. Sixth Judicial District Court of Nevada</i> | 272 |
| III. Traffic Stops | 273 |
| A. Introduction | 273 |
| B. The “Bright Line” Rules..... | 275 |
| <i>Arizona v. Johnson</i> | 275 |
| C. Investigation of Unrelated Crimes..... | 276 |
| 1. Narcotics Detection Dog Sniff..... | 277 |
| 2. Consent Searches | 277 |
| 3. Interrogation..... | 277 |
| D. Racial Profiling..... | 278 |
| <i>Whren v. United States</i> | 279 |
| Note | 282 |
| 1. Federal Accountability: DOJ “Pattern and Practice” Investigations | 284 |
| a. Ferguson, MO | 284 |

| | | |
|---|---|------------|
| b. | Baltimore, MD | 285 |
| | Note | 286 |
| | A Primer in Profiling: The Merger of Civil Rights and Criminal Defense | 286 |
| | Problem 7-1 | 292 |
| | Questions | 292 |
| Chapter 8. Exceptions to the Warrant Requirement | | 295 |
| I. | Introduction..... | 295 |
| II. | Categories of Warrantless Searches and Seizures | 296 |
| A. | Searches Incident to Lawful Arrest | 296 |
| 1. | Traditional Rule: Search of Arrestee | 296 |
| | United States v. Robinson | 296 |
| | Note | 298 |
| | Knowles v. Iowa..... | 298 |
| | Note | 299 |
| 2. | Limitations on the SITA Exception | 300 |
| | a. Location Limitation: Arrestee's "Wingspan" | 300 |
| | Chimel v. California | 300 |
| | b. Location Limitation: Motorists and Vehicles | 302 |
| | Arizona v. Gant..... | 303 |
| | Note | 305 |
| | c. Location Limitation: Arrest in the Home | 306 |
| | Maryland v. Buie | 306 |
| | d. Seizure (to Search) Limitation: Arrestee's "Items" | 310 |
| | (i) Physical Effects..... | 310 |
| | United States v. Chadwick..... | 310 |
| | (ii) Digital Data | 313 |
| | Riley v. California..... | 313 |
| | (iii) Blood Alcohol Content ("BAC")..... | 319 |
| | Birchfield v. North Dakota..... | 320 |
| B. | Exigent Circumstances | 324 |
| 1. | General Rule..... | 324 |
| 2. | Evidence Destruction | 324 |
| | a. Narcotics and Other Physical Evidence..... | 324 |
| | Kentucky v. King | 326 |
| | Notes and Questions | 330 |
| | b. Blood Alcohol Content Evidence..... | 332 |
| | Schmerber v. California | 332 |
| | Note | 334 |
| | Missouri v. McNeely | 334 |
| | Note | 338 |
| | Mitchell v. Wisconsin | 339 |
| 3. | "Emergency Aid" Exception (Inside the Home) | 343 |
| | Brigham City v. Stuart | 343 |
| | Caniglia v. Strom | 347 |
| C. | "Hot Pursuit" | 348 |
| | United States v. Santana | 349 |
| | Lange v. California..... | 351 |

| | |
|---|------------|
| Problem 8-1..... | 356 |
| Problem 8-2..... | 357 |
| D. Plain View, Touch, and Smell | 357 |
| Texas v. Brown | 358 |
| Horton v. California | 360 |
| Note..... | 364 |
| Minnesota v. Dickerson | 364 |
| Problem 8-3..... | 366 |
| Problem 8-4..... | 366 |
| Problem 8-5..... | 367 |
| Problem 8-6..... | 367 |
| Problem 8-7..... | 368 |
| E. Vehicle (and Containers Within) Searches..... | 369 |
| 1. The Automobile Exception: General Rule..... | 369 |
| 2. Mobility + Regulation = ↓ Lesser Privacy Expectation | 370 |
| California v. Carney | 371 |
| Note | 374 |
| 3. Containers Within Vehicles..... | 374 |
| United States v. Di Re..... | 376 |
| Problem 8-8..... | 377 |
| Problem 8-9..... | 377 |
| Problem 8-10..... | 378 |
| F. Consent | 378 |
| 1. Requirement of Voluntariness..... | 378 |
| Schneckloth v. Bustamonte | 379 |
| Note | 384 |
| 2. Authority to Consent..... | 387 |
| a. Actual Authority | 387 |
| Georgia v. Randolph | 388 |
| Note | 390 |
| Fernandez v. California | 391 |
| Notes and Questions | 397 |
| b. Apparent Authority | 398 |
| c. Scope of Consent | 399 |
| Florida v. Jimeno..... | 399 |
| d. Withdrawing Consent | 403 |
| Problem 8-11..... | 404 |
| Problem 8-12..... | 405 |
| Problem 8-13..... | 406 |
| Problem 8-14..... | 407 |
| Problem 8-15..... | 408 |
| Chapter 9. Special Needs Searches..... | 409 |
| I. Introduction..... | 409 |
| A. What Is the Governmental Purpose? | 410 |
| B. Evaluating the Governmental Interest | 410 |
| II. Recognized Areas of Special Needs..... | 410 |
| Camara v. Municipal Court..... | 411 |
| See v. City of Seattle..... | 412 |

| | | |
|---|---|------------|
| A. | Pervasively Regulated Industries | 413 |
| | New York v. Burger | 415 |
| | Problem 9-1..... | 419 |
| | Problem 9-2..... | 420 |
| | Problem 9-3..... | 421 |
| B. | Drug Testing | 421 |
| 1. | Drug Testing Public School Children..... | 422 |
| | Vernonia School Dist. 47J v. Acton | 423 |
| | Board of Ed. of Independent School Dist. No. 92 of Pottawatomie Cty. v. Earls | 425 |
| | Safford Unified School Dist. #1 v. Redding | 429 |
| | Problem 9-4..... | 431 |
| 2. | Drug Testing Adult Public Employees in the Workplace | 431 |
| | National Treasury Employees Union v. Von Raab | 433 |
| 3. | Drug Testing Adults Outside of the Workplace | 437 |
| | Ferguson v. City of Charleston..... | 438 |
| C. | Searches of Probationers and Their Homes | 443 |
| | Samson v. California..... | 446 |
| | Notes and Questions | 448 |
| D. | Border Search Exception: Searches and Seizures by Customs and Border Patrol Agents | 450 |
| | United States v. Montoya de Hernandez..... | 451 |
| | United States v. Flores-Montano | 459 |
| | Notes and Questions | 461 |
| E. | Roadblocks, AKA “Vehicle Checkpoints” | 462 |
| | City of Indianapolis v. Edmond..... | 464 |
| | Problem 9-5..... | 468 |
| | Illinois v. Lidster | 469 |
| | Problem 9-6..... | 471 |
| F. | Inventory Searches | 472 |
| | Problem 9-7..... | 474 |
| | Problem 9-8..... | 475 |
| G. | DNA Identification | 476 |
| | Maryland v. King | 477 |
| Chapter 10. Race and Policing..... | | 489 |
| I. | Introduction..... | 489 |
| | A. “Original Intent” | 489 |
| | Dred Scott v. Sandford..... | 492 |
| | B. “Black Crimes” | 495 |
| | C. The Warren Court “Revolution” | 497 |
| II. | The Movement: The Birth of #BlackLivesMatter | 498 |
| III. | The Moment: The Killing of George Floyd and the Summer of 2020 Protests..... | 515 |
| IV. | The Problem: The Unassailable “Superpowers” of the “Objectively Reasonable” Officer..... | 520 |
| | A. The Power to Racially Profile | 521 |
| | B. The Power to Arrest | 522 |

| | | |
|--|--|------------|
| C. | The Power of “Objective” Policing | 522 |
| D. | The Power to Kill..... | 523 |
| E. | The Power of Protection (Qualified Immunity) | 524 |
| V. | Post-Floyd Police Reform..... | 528 |
| A. | Reform the Police | 529 |
| B. | #DefundThePolice | 532 |
| C. | Police the Police..... | 538 |
| VI. | Epilogue | 541 |
| Chapter 11. Technology and Surveillance | | 545 |
| I. | Introduction..... | 545 |
| II. | Constitutional Limits on Surveillance..... | 546 |
| A. | Fourth Amendment..... | 546 |
| 1. | Pre- <i>Katz</i> Surveillance Law | 546 |
| | Berger v. New York | 546 |
| 2. | Post- <i>Katz</i> Surveillance Law..... | 549 |
| B. | First Amendment | 551 |
| III. | Statutory Limits on Surveillance..... | 551 |
| A. | Historical Background | 551 |
| B. | The Wiretap Act and Its Amendments: The Electronic Communications Privacy Act of 1986, 18 U.S.C. §§ 2510–2523..... | 553 |
| 1. | Communication | 554 |
| | Problem 11-1..... | 556 |
| 2. | Interception/Acquisition | 556 |
| 3. | “Contents” | 557 |
| 4. | “Device” | 558 |
| 5. | Authorization..... | 558 |
| 6. | “Consent” | 560 |
| 7. | Private Actors | 561 |
| | Problem 11-2..... | 561 |
| | Problem 11-3..... | 561 |
| | Problem 11-4..... | 561 |
| 8. | Remedies | 562 |
| C. | The Stored Communications Act..... | 563 |
| D. | Communication Assistance for Law Enforcement Act | 565 |
| E. | The USA PATRIOT Act | 566 |
| IV. | Emerging Surveillance Technologies..... | 567 |
| A. | Cell Site Simulators (“Stingrays”..... | 568 |
| B. | Geofence, “Reverse,” or “Reverse Location” Warrants..... | 570 |
| 1. | GLHS..... | 570 |
| 2. | GLHS Versus CSLI | 571 |
| 3. | “Search”? | 572 |
| 4. | “Warrant”? | 572 |
| | Problem 11-5..... | 573 |
| C. | Facial Recognition Technology | 574 |
| D. | The Internet of Things (“IoT”) | 576 |
| E. | Automated License Plate Readers (“ALPR”) | 586 |
| F. | “Predictive Policing” | 587 |

| | |
|---|------------|
| G. “Sensorveillance” | 590 |
| V. January 6, 2021: Surveillance Technologies in Action | 591 |
| Chapter 12. The Exclusionary Rule | 611 |
| I. Introduction..... | 611 |
| Wong Sun v. United States | 612 |
| II. Exceptions to the Exclusionary Rule | 616 |
| United States v. Calandra | 616 |
| A. The Inevitable Discovery Exception | 618 |
| Nix v. Williams | 618 |
| Notes and Questions | 623 |
| B. The Independent Source Exception | 624 |
| Murray v. United States | 624 |
| C. The Attenuation (of the Taint of Illegality) Exception | 628 |
| Kaupp v. Texas | 629 |
| Utah v. Strieff..... | 629 |
| Notes and Questions | 632 |
| Problem 12-1..... | 634 |
| Problem 12-2..... | 635 |
| D. The Good Faith Exception | 636 |
| United States v. Leon | 636 |
| Arizona v. Evans | 642 |
| Questions | 644 |
| Heien v. North Carolina | 645 |
| Herring v. United States | 647 |
| Davis v. United States | 653 |
| Problem 12-3..... | 656 |
| Problem 12-4..... | 657 |
| III. Collateral Use Exceptions to the Exclusionary Rule | 658 |
| A. The “Criminal Case” Exception..... | 658 |
| B. The Impeachment Exception..... | 659 |
| Kansas v. Ventris | 660 |
| Problem 12-5..... | 663 |
| Problem 12-6..... | 664 |
| IV. Alternatives to the Exclusionary Rule | 665 |
| Notes and Questions | 668 |
| A. Civil Remedies..... | 669 |
| 1. Section 1983..... | 669 |
| 2. <i>Bivens</i> Actions and Qualified Immunity..... | 672 |
| 3. The Federal Tort Claims Act | 676 |
| 4. Section 1985..... | 678 |
| 5. RICO | 679 |
| 6. Injunctive Relief | 681 |
| a. Private Actors | 681 |
| b. Public Actors | 682 |
| B. Criminal Remedies..... | 682 |
| 1. 18 U.S.C. § 241 | 683 |
| 2. 18 U.S.C. § 241 and § 242 | 683 |
| Problem 12-7..... | 686 |

| | |
|---|------------|
| Notes and Questions | 687 |
| Chapter 13. Confessions | 691 |
| I. Introduction..... | 691 |
| II. Due Process and Voluntariness | 691 |
| Brown v. Mississippi..... | 692 |
| A. The “Totality of the Circumstances” Test | 694 |
| B. Key Factors in the “Totality of the Circumstances” Analysis | 694 |
| 1. Use of Force and Fear of Physical Injury | 694 |
| 2. Lengthy Interrogations and Deprivation of Bodily Needs..... | 695 |
| 3. Administering <i>Miranda</i> Rights | 695 |
| 4. Use of Psychological Techniques | 696 |
| a. Pressure Tactics..... | 696 |
| b. False Promises of Leniency..... | 696 |
| c. Deception..... | 697 |
| 5. Ending Deceptive Police Interrogation Tactics | 699 |
| C. The Requirement of Police Coercion | 699 |
| Colorado v. Connelly | 699 |
| Notes | 701 |
| Problem 13-1..... | 702 |
| Problem 13-2..... | 703 |
| III. The <i>Miranda</i> Rule..... | 704 |
| A. Voluntariness vs. Self-Incrimination..... | 705 |
| B. The Landmark <i>Miranda</i> Decision..... | 706 |
| <i>Miranda v. Arizona</i> | 706 |
| 1. Adequacy of Warnings | 714 |
| 2. Pretrial Confessions vs. Confessions at Trial..... | 716 |
| 3. <i>Miranda's</i> Impact | 716 |
| C. Affirming <i>Miranda's</i> Constitutional Status | 717 |
| <i>Dickerson v. United States</i> | 717 |
| D. “Custodial Interrogation”..... | 722 |
| 1. The Definition of “Custody” | 722 |
| <i>Yarborough v. Alvarado</i> | 722 |
| Notes | 726 |
| Problem 13-3..... | 729 |
| Problem 13-4..... | 730 |
| Problem 13-5..... | 730 |
| 2. The Definition of “Interrogation” | 731 |
| <i>Rhode Island v. Innis</i> | 731 |
| Notes | 735 |
| Problem 13-6..... | 736 |
| Problem 13-7..... | 736 |
| 3. Waiver of <i>Miranda</i> Rights | 737 |
| a. Waiver of Right to Silence..... | 740 |
| <i>Michigan v. Mosley</i> | 740 |
| b. Waiver of Right to Counsel | 744 |
| <i>Moran v. Burbine</i> | 744 |

| | | |
|------|---|------------|
| 4. | Invocation of <i>Miranda</i> Rights | 748 |
| a. | The Unambiguous Assertion Requirement | 748 |
| b. | The Assertion of the Right to Counsel | 749 |
| | <i>Edwards v. Arizona</i> | 749 |
| | Note | 752 |
| 5. | Scope of the <i>Miranda</i> Exclusionary Rule | 753 |
| a. | Fruit of the Poisonous Tree | 753 |
| (i) | <i>Missouri v. Seibert</i> , 542 U.S. 600 (2004)..... | 753 |
| (ii) | <i>United States v. Patane</i> , 542 U.S. 630 (2004) | 754 |
| b. | Impeachment | 755 |
| | Problem 13-8..... | 756 |
| | Questions | 757 |
| 6. | <i>Miranda</i> Exceptions..... | 757 |
| a. | Public Safety Exception | 757 |
| b. | Undercover Activities..... | 758 |
| E. | Review Exercise: Critiquing Portions of a Suppression Hearing | |
| | Transcript Raising <i>Miranda</i> Issues | 758 |
| | Questions | 766 |
| IV. | The Sixth Amendment Right to Counsel..... | 766 |
| A. | The <i>Massiah</i> Doctrine's Historical Background | 766 |
| B. | The Decision in <i>Massiah</i> and Interpretive Issues | 768 |
| C. | Thresholds: Formal Charge and Deliberate Elicitation | 769 |
| 1. | The Requirement of a Formal Charge | 769 |
| 2. | Deliberate Elicitation..... | 769 |
| D. | Waiving Sixth Amendment Rights | 772 |
| E. | <i>Massiah</i> 's Offense-Specific Nature | 774 |
| F. | Scope of the Sixth Amendment Exclusionary Rule | 778 |
| G. | Review Problems | 780 |
| | Problem 13-9..... | 780 |
| | Problem 13-10..... | 781 |
| | Problem 13-11..... | 782 |
| | Problem 13-12..... | 782 |
| | Problem 13-13..... | 783 |
| | Chapter 14. Eyewitness Identifications..... | 787 |
| I. | Introduction..... | 787 |
| II. | Identification Procedures | 788 |
| A. | Photospread/Photo Array | 789 |
| B. | Lineups | 789 |
| C. | Showups..... | 790 |
| III. | The Sixth Amendment Right to Counsel and the <i>Wade</i> Trilogy..... | 791 |
| A. | Lineups | 791 |
| | <i>United States v. Wade</i> | 791 |
| B. | Showups..... | 796 |
| | <i>Stovall v. Denno</i> | 797 |
| C. | Photo Arrays..... | 798 |
| | <i>Simmons v. United States</i> | 798 |
| | Notes and Questions | 801 |

| | | |
|---|--|------------|
| IV. | Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments Due Process | 802 |
| | Perry v. New Hampshire | 804 |
| V. | Current Science on Eyewitness Identification | 810 |
| | A. Specific Findings | 810 |
| | 1. Witness Factors | 810 |
| | 2. Perpetrator, Event, and Post-Event Factors | 811 |
| | 3. Suggestive Procedure Factors | 812 |
| | B. Recent Science | 812 |
| | C. Review Problems | 815 |
| | Problem 14-1..... | 815 |
| | Problem 14-2..... | 816 |
| | Problem 14-3..... | 817 |
| | Problem 14-4..... | 817 |
| | Problem 14-5..... | 818 |
| VI. | Other Constitutional Issues | 818 |
| | A. Fifth Amendment | 818 |
| | B. Fourth Amendment..... | 818 |
| VII. | U.S. Department of Justice Memorandum on Eyewitness Identification Procedures | 819 |
| Chapter 15. National Security..... | | 833 |
| I. | Introduction..... | 833 |
| II. | Federal Law..... | 833 |
| | A. The USA Patriot Act | 834 |
| | 1. Provisions Affecting Fourth Amendment Searches | 834 |
| | 2. Provisions Affecting Statutory Powers | 834 |
| | B. The Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act (“FISA”) | 836 |
| | C. The National Security Agency’s Global Surveillance Program | 838 |
| | D. Other Special Statutory Powers..... | 839 |
| | 1. The Homeland Security Act..... | 839 |
| | 2. Extended Detention of Persons in the War on Terrorism .. | 839 |
| | 3. Material Witness Warrants..... | 844 |
| III. | Terrorism..... | 847 |
| | A. Domestic Terrorism..... | 847 |
| | B. International Terrorism..... | 851 |
| IV. | January 6, 2021: Insurrection..... | 852 |
| | INDEX | 875 |