Table of Contents

STEP-BY-STEP STUDY GUIDE SERIESIII
INTRODUCTION TO TORTS
What Is the Step-by-Step Torts Study Guide?v
Introduction to the Torts Approachv
Chapter One. Intentional Torts
Torts—Overview Step 1: Did the defendant voluntarily act to place the
plaintiff in fear of an immediate battery?2
Assault—Step 1: Did the defendant engage in a volitional act?2
Assault—Step 2: Did the defendant intend to place the plaintiff in
apprehension of an immediate battery?
Assault—Step 3: Did the actions of the defendant cause the harm to the
plaintiff?3
Assault—Step 4: Did the plaintiff suffer reasonable apprehension of an immediate battery?
Torts—Overview Step 2: Did the defendant voluntarily cause a harmful or
offensive touching of the plaintiff?
Battery — Step 1: Did the defendant engage in a volitional act?4
Battery—Step 2: Did the defendant intend to touch the plaintiff?4
Battery — Step 3: Did the actions of the defendant cause the harm to the
plaintiff?5
Battery – Step 4: Did the plaintiff suffer a harmful or offensive touching?5
${\it Torts-Overview~Step~3: Did~the~defendant~voluntarily~confine~the~plaintiff?5}$
False Imprisonment—Step 1: Did the defendant engage in a volitional
act?6
False Imprisonment – Step 2: Did the defendant intend to confine or
restrain the plaintiff within a bounded area?6
False Imprisonment—Step 3: Was the plaintiff confined?6
False Imprisonment – Step 4: Did the actions of the defendant cause the
harm to the plaintiff?6
IIED—Step 1: Did the defendant engage in a volitional act that was
extreme and outrageous?7
IIED—Step 2: Did the defendant intend to cause severe emotional distress
or recklessly disregard that severe emotional distress might occur?7
IIED—Step 3: Did the actions of the defendant cause the harm to the
plaintiff?
IIED—Step 4: Did the plaintiff suffer severe emotional distress?8 Trespass to Land—Step 1: Did the defendant engage in a volitional act?9
Trespass to Land—step 1. Did the defendant engage in a voiltional act?9

	s to Land—Step 2: Did the defendant intend to cause a physical	
	asion of the plaintiff's land?	9
•	s to Land—Step 3: Did the actions of the defendant cause the	0
	m to the plaintiff?	9
	s to Land—Step 4: Did the plaintiff suffer a physical invasion of or her land?	0
	Nuisance—Step 1: Did the defendant engage in a volitional act?	
	Nuisance—Step 2: Did the defendant intend to cause a substantial	
	unreasonable interference with the plaintiff's land? Nuisance—Step 3: Did the actions of the defendant cause the	11
	m to the plaintiff?	11
	Nuisance—Step 4: Did the plaintiff suffer a substantial and	1 1
	easonable interference with the use and enjoyment of his or her	
	d?	11
	uisance—Step 1: Did the defendant engage in a volitional act?	
	fulsance—Step 2: Did the defendant intend to unreasonably	14
	erfere with the health, safety, or property rights of the public?	12
	fuisance—Step 3: Did the plaintiff suffer damages unique from	, .
	lifferent in kind from those inflicted on the public?	12
	s to Chattels—Step 1: Did the defendant engage in a volitional	
-)	13
	s to Chattels—Step 2: Did the defendant intend to do the act that	
•	sed the interference?	13
Trespass	s to Chattels—Step 3: Did the actions of the defendant cause the	
har	m to the plaintiff?	13
Trespass	to Chattels—Step 4: Did the plaintiff suffer an interference with	
his	or her property?	13
_	s to Chattels—Step 5: What is the appropriate remedy?	
	ion—Step 1: Did the defendant engage in a volitional act?	14
	ion—Step 2: Did the defendant intend to do the act that caused	
	conversion?	14
	ion—Step 3: Did the actions of the defendant cause the harm to	- 4
	plaintiff?	14
	ion—Step 4: Did the plaintiff suffer a destruction of or serious	1.4
	substantial interference with his or her property?	
	ion—Step 5: What is the appropriate remedy?	
	Answers	
rest roursen	Allsweis	13
Chapter Two	. Intentional Torts – Defenses	. 21
Consent—Ste	p 1: Did the plaintiff either expressly or impliedly consent to	
the defer	ndant's actions?	21
Consent—Ste	p 1a: Did plaintiff lack the capacity to consent?	21
Consent—Ste	p 1b: Was consent induced by fraud, duress or mistake?	22
Consent—Ste	p 2: Did the defendant's actions exceed the scope of consent?	22

Self-Defense—Step 1: Did the defendant reasonably believe that he/she	
was under imminent and unprivileged attack?2	:3
Self-Defense—Step 2: Did the defendant use reasonable force in	
response?	23
Defense of Others—Step 1: Did the defendant reasonably believe that	
another was under imminent and unprivileged attack?2	23
Defense of Others—Step 2: Did the defendant use reasonable force in	
response?2	24
Defense of Property — Step 1: Did the defendant use reasonable non-	
	24
Defense of Property—Step 2: May the defendant use force to recover	
dispossessed land?	24
Defense of Property—Step 3: May the defendant use force to recover	
tortiously dispossessed personal property?2	25
Authority—Step 1: Can the defendant claim the privilege to arrest the	10
	25
Authority—Step 2: Can the defendant claim the shopkeeper's privilege	10
	26
Authority—Step 3: Can the defendant claim the right to use reasonable	ıO
	26
1	O
Necessity—Step 1: Private Necessity: Can the defendant injure the	00
property of another to avoid harm to himself or to his property?2	ю
Necessity – Step 2: Public Necessity: Can the defendant injure the	. –
property of another to avoid harm to the public?	
Test Yourself Questions 2	
Fest Yourself Answers	U
Chapter Three. Negligence 3	1
Negligence—Step 1: Did the defendant breach a duty owed to the plaintiff?3	
Duty—Step 1: Are there any applicable special duty rules or factors?3	
Duty—Step 1a: Did the defendant possess increased knowledge or	-
skills greater than the average person?	29
Duty—Step 1b: Was the defendant engaged in a type of activity	-2
that imposes a higher duty of care?	29
Duty—Step 1c: Was the defendant an owner or occupier of land?3	
Duty—Step 1d: Does the jurisdiction have a guest statute?3	
Duty—Step 1e: Did the plaintiff suffer only mental distress?	
	, ,
Substep 1e(1): Did the plaintiff experience emotional distress as a direct result of the defendant's actions?	7
	1
Substep 1e(2): Is the plaintiff experiencing the mental distress	
as a result of witnessing another being injured by the	. —
defendant?	1
Substep 1e(3): Did the plaintiff experience a physical	
manifestation of the mental distress?3	8

Duty—Step 2: If there are no special duty rules or special factors, was the	
plaintiff within the zone of danger such that the general rule of duty applies?	
Duty—Step 3: Did the injury to the plaintiff result from an omission to	
act by the defendant? If so, is there a basis for imposing a special	
duty to act on the defendant?	38
Breach—Step 1: Did the defendant violate a criminal statute?	
Breach—Substep 1a: Was the statute designed to protect this class	10
of plaintiff from this type of injury?	41
Breach—Substep 1b: Was the defendant's violation of statute	
excused? If under the facts the defendant's violation of statute	
is excusable, then it is not negligence per se.	41
Breach—Substep 1c: What is the effect of the violation of statute?	
Breach—Step 2: Did the defendant fail to act as a reasonable and prudent	
person?	
Breach—Substep 2a: Does allowance have to be made because	
defendant was acting under an emergency?	43
Breach—Substep 2b: Does allowance have to be made because the	
defendant was a child?	43
Breach—Step 3: If it cannot be proven that defendant breached a duty,	
does the doctrine of res ipsa loquitur create an inference of	
negligence?	43
Negligence – Step 2: Causation.	44
Causation – Step 1: Was the defendant the actual cause?	
Actual Cause—Substep 1a: Will the but-for test work?	45
Actual Cause—Substep 1b: If not, will the substantial factor test	
work?	
Actual Cause—Substep 1c: If not, will Summers v. Tice work?	
Actual Cause—Substep 1d: If not, will the market share test work?	
Causation—Step 2: Was the defendant the proximate (legal) cause?	46
Negligence—Step 3: Did the plaintiff suffer certain and compensable	
damages?	
Negligence—Step 4: Are there applicable defenses?	
Negligence Defenses—Step 1: Did the plaintiff fail to act reasonably in	
his/her own protection?	61
Negligence Defenses—Substep 1a: If the jurisdiction follows the	
doctrine of contributory negligence, was the plaintiff's	
negligent conduct the proximate cause of his/her own injuries?	5 1
Negligence Defenses—Substep 1a: Does the doctrine of last clear	01
chance apply?	52
Negligence Defenses—Substep 1b: If the jurisdiction follows the	02
doctrine of comparative negligence, does the jurisdiction	
follow pure comparative negligence?	52
ionow pare comparative negligence:	

Negligence Defenses — Substep 1c: Does the jurisdiction follow	
"partial comparative negligence?"	.53
Negligence Defenses—Step 2: Does the doctrine of assumption of the risk	
apply?	.53
Negligence Defenses—Substep 2a: Did the plaintiff know and	
appreciate the risk?	.53
Negligence Defenses—Substep 2b: Did the plaintiff voluntarily	
expose himself to that risk?	.54
Test Yourself Questions	
Test Yourself Answers	.59
Chapter Force Child Lightlite	co
Chapter Four. Strict Liability	ชอ
Strict Liability—Step 1: Did the defendant possess an animal which injured the plaintiff?	.64
Strict Liability—Step 2: Did the defendant engage in an abnormally	
dangerous activity?	.65
Strict Liability—Step 3: Was the defendant the actual and proximate cause of	
the plaintiff's injuries?	.65
Strict Liability—Step 4: Did the plaintiff suffer damages?	.65
Strict Liability—Step 5: Are there any applicable defense theories?	.65
Strict Liability — Substep 5a: Did the plaintiff voluntarily assume the risk	
of harm from the abnormally dangerous activity?	.65
Strict Liability — Substep 5b: Did the plaintiff act negligently in a way	
that precipitated the abnormally dangerous activity or caused it to	
result?	.65
Test Yourself Questions	.67
Test Yourself Answers	.68
Chapter Five. Products Liability	69
Strict Liability/Products Liability—Step 1: Is the plaintiff the type of person	
who can sue?	.70
Strict Liability/Products Liability—Step 2: Is the defendant the type of person	
who can be sued?	
Strict Liability/Products Liability—Step 3: Was the product defective?	.71
Strict Liability/Products Liability—Step 3a: Did the product contain a	
manufacturing flaw?	.71
Strict Liability/Products Liability—Step 3b: Did the product contain a	
design defect?	.71
Strict Liability/Products Liability—Step 3c: Did the defendant fail to	
adequately warn of a danger presented by the product of which the	
defendant knew or should have known?	.72
Strict Liability/Products Liability—Step 4: Was the defendant the actual and	
proximate cause of the plaintiff's injuries?	.72
Strict Liability/Products Liability — Step 5: Did the plaintiff suffer damages?	.73
Strict Liability/Products Liability—Step 6: Are there applicable defenses?	.73

Warranty Theory/Products Liability—Step 1: Did the defendant make an	
express warranty as to the nature or quality of the product?	.74
Warranty Theory/Products Liability—Step 2: Was there an applicable implied	
warranty of fitness or merchantability?	
Warranty Theory/Products Liability — Step 3: Was the defendant the actual	
and proximate cause of the plaintiff's injuries?	.74
Warranty Theory/Products Liability – Step 4: Did the plaintiff suffer	
damages?	.75
Warranty Theory/Products Liability—Step 5: Are there applicable defenses?	
Negligence in Products Liability Fact Pattern	
Intentional Tort in Products Liability Fact Pattern	
Test Yourself Questions	
Test Yourself Answers	
Chapter Six. Defamation and Invasion of Privacy	
Defamation—Step 1: Was there a defamatory statement of fact?	
Defamation—Step 2: Was the statement concerning the plaintiff?	
Defamation—Step 3: Was the statement published to a third party?	.82
Defamation—Step 3a: Did the defendant either intentionally or	
negligently permit the statement to be communicated to a third	
party?	
Defamation—Step 3b: Is the defendant liable as a republisher?	
Defamation—Step 4: Did plaintiff suffer recoverable damages?	.82
Defamation—Step 5: Do Constitutional principles apply to the cause of	
action?	
Defamation—Step 6: Are there any applicable defenses?	.84
Test Yourself Questions	.88
Test Yourself Answers	.90
	00
Chapter Seven. Economic Torts and Miscellaneous Torts	
Deceit—Step 1: Was there a material misrepresentation of fact?	.93
Deceit—Step 2: Did the defendant intend that the plaintiff rely on the	0.4
misrepresentation?	
Deceit—Step 3: Did the defendant act with scienter?	.95
Deceit—Step 4: Did the plaintiff justifiably rely upon the misrepresentation?	.95
Deceit—Step 5: Was the plaintiff's reliance the actual and proximate cause of	~ -
the damages suffered?	
Deceit—Step 6: Did the plaintiff suffer damages?	.96
Negligent Misrepresentation—Step 1: Was there a material misrepresentation	
of fact?	.96
Negligent Misrepresentation—Step 2: Did the defendant fail to exercise due	
care in making the misrepresentation?	.96
Negligent Misrepresentation—Step 3: Did the plaintiff justifiably rely on the	
misrepresentation?	.96

Negligent Misrepresentation—Step 4: Was the plaintiff's reliance the actual	
and proximate cause of damages suffered?	.96
Negligent Misrepresentation—Step 5: Did the plaintiff suffer damages?	.97
Inducing Breach—Step 1: Did the defendant engage in a volitional act?	.97
Inducing Breach—Step 2: Did the defendant intend to induce a third person	
to breach a contract?	.97
Inducing Breach—Step 3: Did actions of the defendant cause harm to the	
plaintiff?	.97
Inducing Breach—Step 4: Was there a breach of an existing contract which	
caused harm to the plaintiff?	.98
Inducing Breach—Step 5: Are there any applicable defenses?	.98
Interference—Step 1: Did the defendant engage in a volitional act?	.98
Interference—Step 2: Did the defendant intend to induce a third person to	
refrain from entering into a contract?	.98
Interference—Step 3: Did actions of the defendant cause harm to the	
plaintiff?	.98
Interference—Step 4: Did the plaintiff suffer harm?	.99
Interference—Step 5: Are there any applicable defenses?	.99
Falsehood – Step 1: Did the defendant make a false statement to another?	.99
Falsehood – Step 2: Did the defendant have the requisite intent?	.99
Falsehood — Step 3: Was the statement false?	100
Falsehood — Step 4: Did the statement cause the plaintiff harm?	100
Falsehood – Step 5: Did the plaintiff suffer damages?	100
Malicious Prosecution—Step 1: Was there a prior proceeding commenced by	
the current defendant, who was the plaintiff in that prior proceeding?	100
Malicious Prosecution—Step 2: Was that prior proceeding commenced for	
an improper purpose and without probable cause?	101
Malicious Prosecution—Step 3: Did that prior proceeding terminate	
favorably to the plaintiff in this action, who was the defendant in the	
prior action?	
Malicious Prosecution—Step 4: Did the plaintiff suffer damages?	101
Abuse of Process—Step 1: Did the defendant intentionally misuse a judicial	
process for some wrongful purpose?	
Abuse of Process—Step 2: Did the defendant have the requisite intent?	
Abuse of Process—Step 3: Did the plaintiff suffer damages?	
Test Yourself Questions	102
Test Yourself Answers	105
Chapter Eight. Tort Remedies and Liability Concerns	107
Part One—Tort Remedies.	
Damages – Step 1: Are compensatory or nominal damages available?	
Damages—Step 1a: Did the plaintiff suffer harm for which damages	
may compensate?	108

Damages—Step 1b: If the plaintiff suffered no compensable harm but	
proved all other elements of the cause of action, are nominal	
damages available?	.109
Damages – Step 2: Are punitive damages available?	.109
Damages—Step 3: Does the plaintiff have a claim for legal restitution or can	
the plaintiff make an argument that the situation calls for equitable	
restitution?	.110
Step 1: Legal Restitution: Can the plaintiff bring an action for ejectment	
or replevin?	.110
Step 2: Equitable Restitution: Can the plaintiff sue on a constructive trust	t
or equitable lien theory, and, if so, are there any tracing issues?	.110
Damages—Step 4: Does the plaintiff have a claim for equitable remedies in	
the form of injunctive relief?	.111
Part Two-Liability Concerns	
Plaintiff's Devices—Step 1: Is there a relationship between the tortfeasor and	
the defendant which justifies the doctrine of vicarious liability?	.112
Step a: Does the tortfeasor work for or with the defendant?	.112
Step b: Did the tort arise from actions that are within the scope of	
employment?	.112
Step c: Were the tortfeasor and defendant partners or involved in a joint	
venture?	.112
Plaintiff's Devices—Step 2: Was the defendant under a duty to control the	
actions of the tortfeasor?	.113
Plaintiff's Devices—Step 3: Were the defendants jointly and severally	
liable?	.113
Defendant's Devices—Step 1: Can the defendant seek contribution from	
another tortfeasor?	.113
Defendant's Devices—Step 2: Can the defendant seek indemnity from	
another tortfeasor?	.113
Narrowing Devices—Step 1: Is there an applicable immunity from	
liability?	.114
Narrowing Devices—Step 2: Has either the victim or tortfeasor died?	.114
Narrowing Devices – Step 2a: If so, does the jurisdiction have a survival	
statute?	.114
Narrowing Devices – Step 2b: If so, does the jurisdiction have a	
wrongful death statute?	.115
Narrowing Devices—Step 3: If there are multiple tortfeasors:	.115
Narrowing Devices - Step 3a: Has one tortfeasor satisfied all or part of	
the plaintiff's injuries?	.115
Narrowing Devices – Step 3b: Has one tortfeasor been granted a	
release?	.115
Test Yourself Questions	.116
Test Yourself Answers	.118

Appendix A. Selected Torts Essay Questions and Sample Answers	121
Question 1	121
Question 2	122
Question 3	123
Question 4	124
Question 5	125
Question 6	126
Question 7	127
Question 8	128
Question 9	129
Question 10	
Sample Answer to Question 1	
Sample Answer to Question 2	
Sample Answer to Question 3	
Sample Answer to Question 4	
Sample Answer to Question 5	
Sample Answer to Question 6	
Sample Answer to Question 7	
Sample Answer to Question 8	
Sample Answer to Question 9	
Sample Answer to Question 10	
Appendix B. Glossary of Selected Torts Law Terms	167