

DETAILED TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Preface to the Fifth edition	v
	Study Guide	xxix
1	Why Take This Course?	1
2	Types of Courtroom Evidence	5
	• Oral Testimony	
	• Real Evidence	
	• Documents	
	• Demonstrative Evidence	
	• Stipulations	
	• Judicial Notice	
	• Circumstantial Evidence	
3	Four W's of the Federal Rules of Evidence: Why, Who, Where, When	19
	• Policies Motivating the Federal Rules	
	• Drafting and Legislative History	
	• Rules 101 and 1101: Scope of the Rules	
4	Structure of a Trial	31
	• Pretrial Motions	
	• Opening and Closing Statements	
	• Cases-in-Chief	
	• Rebuttal and Rejoinder	
	• Jury Instructions	
5	Raising and Resolving Evidentiary Objections	39
	• Rule 103: Objections and Motions to Strike	
	• Timeliness	
	• Specificity	
	• Offers of Proof	

	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Repeated Objections• Jury’s Presence• Rule 105: Limiting Instructions• Appellate Review	
6	Relevance	53
	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Rule 402: Evidence Must Be Relevant• Rule 401: Defines Relevance• Stipulations• Unrelated Misdeeds• Negative Evidence• Hindsight• Opening the Door	
7	Prejudice, Confusion, or Waste of Time	67
	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Rule 403: Exclusion of Evidence for Prejudice, Confusion, or Waste of Time• Judge “May” Exclude• Prejudice Must Be “Unfair”• Prejudice or Other Concerns Must “Substantially Outweigh” Probative Value• Stipulations• Waste of Time	
8	Fitting the Rules Together	85
9	Subsequent Remedial Measures	89
	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Rule 407: Evidence of Subsequent Remedial Measures• Policy of Encouraging Prompt Repairs• What Is a “Measure”?• Problems of Timing• Repairs by Non-Parties• Introducing Evidence for Other Purposes• Defining “Impeachment” Under Rule 407	

10	Settlements and Offers to Compromise	107
	• Rule 408: Bars Admission of Civil Settlement Offers	
	• Also Protects Statements and Conduct from Negotiations	
	• Applies in Both Civil and Criminal Cases	
	• Exceptions	
11	Medical Expenses	129
	• Rule 409: Offers to Pay Medical Expenses	
	• Protects Offer, Promise, or Payment, Not Other Statements	
	• Admission Allowed for Some Purposes	
12	Criminal Plea Bargaining	137
	• Rule 410: Excludes Plea Bargaining Evidence	
	• Nolo Contendere Pleas and Withdrawn Guilty Pleas v. Finalized Guilty Pleas	
	• Protected Statements	
	• Only Defendant Protected	
	• Definition of “Plea Discussion”	
	• Exceptions	
	• Waiver	
	• Relationship to Rule 403	
13	Liability Insurance	153
	• Rule 411: Liability Insurance	
	• What Is “Liability Insurance”?	
	• Admission of Evidence for Limited Purposes	
14	Putting a Witness on the Stand	161
	• Rule 601: Competence	
	• State Law Exception	
	• Rules 605 and 606: Judges and Jurors	
	• Rule 602: Personal Knowledge	
	• Laying the Foundation	
	• Rule 603: Oaths and Affirmations	
	• Rule 604: Interpreters	

15	Examining Witnesses	179
	• Taking Turns: Direct, Cross, Redirect, and Recross Examinations	
	• Rule 611 Guidelines	
	• Leading Questions	
	• Beyond the Scope	
	• Impeachment	
	• Rehabilitation	
	• Rule 614: Judicial Questions and Witnesses	
	• Rule 615: Excluding Witnesses During Testimony	
16	Refreshing a Witness’s Memory	201
	• Process of Refreshing Recollection	
	• Testifying from Refreshed Memory, Not Reciting Content of Document	
	• Rule 612: Rights for Adverse Parties During Refreshment	
	• Limited Use of Documents Admitted Under Rule 612	
	• Writings Include Photographs, Videotapes, and Other Media	
17	Impeaching Witnesses.	213
	• Toolbox of Ten Tactics	
	• Rule 607: Any Party May Impeach	
	• Drawing the Sting	
18	Using Prior Statements to Impeach Witnesses	223
	• Powerful Impeachment Tool: Showing Inconsistency in a Witness’s Statements	
	• Extrinsic Evidence and Collateral Matters	
	• Rule 613: Procedural Guidelines	
	• Rules 403 and 611: Prejudice, Delay, and Confusion	
	• Impeachment Versus Proof	
	• Limiting Instructions	
19	Revealing Untruthful Character on Cross-Examination.	243
	• Rule 404(a): General Prohibition of Character Evidence	

- Rule 608(b)(1): Limited Exception for Proving a Witness’s Character for Truthfulness or Untruthfulness
- Cross-Examination on Specific Incidents
- Good Faith Belief and Judicial Discretion
- Extrinsic Proof Prohibited

20 Using Criminal Convictions to Impeach Witnesses 255

- Rule 609: Evidence of a Witness’s Prior Criminal Convictions Is Admissible
- Limited Purpose: To Attack Truthfulness
- Special Treatment of Criminal Defendant
- Crimes Involving Dishonesty
- Time Limits
- Other Limits

21 Reputation or Opinion Evidence of Untruthful Character 275

- Rule 608(a): Reputation or Opinion Evidence About a Witness’s Character
- Limited to Character for Untruthfulness or Truthfulness
- Evidence of Truthful Character Admissible Only After Character Has Been Attacked

22 Cross-Examining the Character Witness 285

- Rule 608(b)(2): Cross-Examining the Character Witness
- Inquiry into Specifics
- Good Faith Belief
- Judicial Discretion
- No Extrinsic Evidence

23 Religious Beliefs and Impeachment 291

- Rule 610: No Impeachment or Bolstering with Religious Beliefs
- But Religious Beliefs Are Admissible for Other Purposes

24 Rule of Completeness 295

- Rule 106: Rule of Completeness

- Applies Only to Writings and Recordings
- Rule of Timing or Admissibility?

25 **Character Evidence and the Rules** 301

- “Character Evidence” Includes Many Kinds of Evidence
- Character, Reputation, and Acts Are Distinct Concepts
- When Evidence Relates to Character, Ask What the Proponent Is Trying to Prove and the Inferences Relied upon
- Four Categories of “Character” Evidence Help Determine Admissibility

26 **Evidence to Prove Character as an Element** 313

- Character May Be an Element of a Charged Crime, Civil Claim, or Defense
- Rule 405: When Character Is an Element, Evidence of Specific Acts, Reputation, and Opinion Are All Admissible
- Rule 403 and Other Rules Still Apply

27 **Using Character Evidence to Prove Propensity** 323

- Rule 404(a)(1): Bars Character Evidence When Used to Prove Propensity
- Policy Rationale: Liability Based on Specific Acts, Not General Character
- Includes Proof of Good or Bad Character
- Bars Evidence of Any Person’s Character

28 **Character Evidence to Show Propensity in Criminal Prosecutions** 329

- Rule 404(a)(2): Allows Some Character Evidence to Prove Propensity in Criminal Cases
- Exceptions Derive from the “Mercy Rule”
- Character Traits Must Be Pertinent
- Prosecutor May Only Match Defendant’s Evidence

29 **Methods of Proving Propensity in Criminal Cases** 339

- Rule 405(a): Character Evidence About Accused or Alleged Victim Is Limited to Opinion or Reputation Testimony

- Cross-Examiner May Ask About Relevant Specific Acts
- Good Faith Belief
- No Extrinsic Evidence
- Limiting Instructions
- Rebuttal Character Witnesses

30 Other Crimes, Wrongs, or Acts 353

- Rule 404(b): Admission of “Character” Evidence for Purposes Other than Proof of Propensity
- Relationship of Rules 404(b) and 404(a)
- Non-Exclusive List of Other Purposes
- Prior or Subsequent Acts
- Civil and Criminal Cases
- Good and Bad Acts
- Application of Rule 403
- Limiting Instructions

31 Habit 379

- Rule 406: Evidence of Habit or Routine Practice Is Admissible to Show Action on a Particular Occasion
- “Habit” Is Specific, Repeated Conduct in Response to Certain Situations
- Corroboration Not Needed
- Proof by Any Means
- Habit Alone May Support Verdict

32 Rape Shield Law. 389

- Rule 412: Bars Most Evidence of an Alleged Victim’s Prior Sexual Acts or Sexual Reputation
- Applies Only in Cases of “Sexual Misconduct”
- Criminal Case Exceptions:
 - Prior Sexual Conduct Explains Physical Evidence
 - Prior Sexual Conduct Between Defendant and Alleged Victim
 - Necessary to Protect Defendant’s Constitutional Rights
- “Reverse 403” Standard for Civil Case Exceptions

33	Propensity in Sexual-Assault and Child-Molestation Cases	409
	• Rules 413–415: Propensity Evidence Is Admissible in Cases Involving Sexual Assault or Child Molestation	
	• The Rules Override Rule 404’s General Bar on Propensity Evidence	
	• Rule 403 Still Applies	
34	Preliminary Questions	419
	• Rule 104: Procedures for Deciding the Admissibility of Evidence	
	• Questions of Law and Fact	
	• Relevance That Depends on a Fact	
	• Preponderance Standard	
	• Burden of Proof	
	• Relationship to Rule 403	
35	What Is Hearsay and Why Don’t We Like It?	435
	• In Daily Life, We Prefer Firsthand Reports to Secondhand Ones	
	• Courts Strongly Share This Preference	
	• Rule 802: Most Hearsay Is Barred from Trial	
	• Rule 801: Defining Hearsay	
	• A Declarant Is a Person Who Makes a Statement Based on Firsthand Knowledge	
	• Any Statement Is Potential Hearsay, Unless It Is Made by a Declarant on the Witness Stand in the Current Proceeding	
36	The “Truth of the Matter Asserted”	449
	• Statements Are Only Hearsay if Offered to Prove the Truth of the Matter Asserted	
	• Out-of-Court Statements Often Prove a Fact Other than the Truth of the Matter They Assert	
	• When Offered for These Other Purposes, Statements Are Not Hearsay	
37	What Is a Statement?	463
	• Oral and Written Statements	

- Conduct Is a Statement When the Actor Intends to Communicate Something via the Conduct
- Audiotapes, Photographs, and Videotapes
- Machine Readouts

38 Admissible Hearsay 475

- Reliability and Need Are Keys to Hearsay Exceptions
- 31 Exceptions in Four Categories
- The Judge Decides Admissibility, Including Factual Issues, Under Rule 104(a)
- Sixth Amendment Limits Some Exceptions, but Only in Criminal Cases

39 Hearsay Exemption—Prior Statements by Witnesses 481

- Rule 801(d)(1): Exempts Some Prior Statements by Witnesses from the Hearsay Ban
- Witness Must Be Subject to Cross-Examination
- Inconsistent Prior Statements
- Consistent Prior Statements
- Identifications

40 Hearsay Exceptions—Present Sense Impressions and Excited Utterances 503

- Rule 803(1): Hearsay Statement Is Admissible if Declarant Makes the Statement While Perceiving the Event
- Rule 803(2): Hearsay Statement Is Admissible if Declarant Makes the Statement While Excited by the Event
- Both Exceptions Assume That Declarant Is Less Likely to Lie Under Certain Conditions

41 Hearsay Exception—State of Mind 517

- Rule 803(3): Hearsay Exception for Statements Expressing the Declarant’s Current State of Mind or a Mental or Physical Condition
- References to External Facts
- “I Think,” “I Believe,” “I Remember”

- Using State of Mind to Prove the Declarant’s Previous or Subsequent Conduct
 - The Mysterious *Hillmon* Case: Using the Declarant’s State of Mind to Prove Another Person’s Conduct
- 42 **Hearsay Exception—Medical Treatment**537
- Rule 803(4): Hearsay Exception for Statements About Symptoms or Causes of Medical Conditions
 - Made for the Purposes of Medical Diagnosis or Treatment
 - Pertinent to Diagnosis or Treatment
 - Statements by and to Family Members
 - Diagnosis for Purposes of Litigation
 - Statements Attributing Blame or Fault
 - Psychological Conditions
 - Domestic and Sexual Abuse
- 43 **Hearsay Exception—Recorded Recollection**551
- Rule 803(5): Allows Admission of Recorded Recollection
 - Declarant Must Testify and Lack Current Memory
 - Other Foundation Requirements
 - Witness May Read Recorded Recollection; Only Adverse Party May Admit as Exhibit
- 44 **Rule 805—Hearsay Within Hearsay** 563
- Rule 805: Multiple Levels of Hearsay Are Admissible as Long as Each Layer Fits Within an Exception
 - Challenges in Laying a Foundation
- 45 **Hearsay Exception—Business Records**571
- Rule 803(6): Admits Business Records
 - Broad Definition of “Business”
 - Record Must Be Kept in the Course of a Regularly Conducted Business Activity
 - Must Be Regular Practice to Keep Record
 - Trustworthiness Caveat Bars Records Made in Anticipation of Litigation
 - Double Hearsay Issues

46	Hearsay Exception—Public Records	587
	• Rule 803(8): Admits Three Categories of Public Records	
	• Activities of a Public Office	
	• Observations of a Public Office	
	• Results of Public Investigations	
	• Some Records Inadmissible Against Criminal Defendants	
	• Trustworthiness Requirement	
	• Opinions and Conclusions	
	• Third-Party Statements	
	• Intersection with Other Rules	
47	Hearsay Exceptions—Other 803 Exceptions	601
	• Rule 803(7) and 803(10): Absence of Entries in Business Records and Public Records	
	• Rule 803(16): Ancient Documents	
	• Rule 803(17): Market Reports and Similar Commercial Publications	
	• Rule 803(18): Learned Treatises	
48	Rule 804 Introduction—What Is Unavailability?	615
	• Rule 804: Hearsay Exceptions Apply Only if the Declarant Is Unavailable	
	• Five Types of Unavailability	
	• Privilege	
	• Refusal to Testify	
	• Lack of Memory	
	• Death or Illness	
	• Cannot Be Found or Brought to Court	
	• Proponent Must Show Unavailability	
49	Hearsay Exception—Former Testimony	623
	• Rule 804(b)(1): Admissibility of Former Testimony	
	• Declarant Must Be Unavailable	
	• Testimony Under Oath at Prior Trial, Hearing, or Deposition	
	• Opportunity and Similar Motive to Develop Testimony	

- Same Party or Predecessor in Interest
- Comparison to Rule 801(d)(1)

50 **Hearsay Exception—Dying Declarations**635

- Rule 804(b)(2): Dying Declarations
- Declarant Must Believe That Death Is Imminent
- Statement Must Concern Circumstances of Death
- Admissible in Any Civil Case and in Homicide Prosecutions
- Declarant Need Not Die, but Must Be Unavailable

51 **Hearsay Exception—Statement Against Interest** 641

- Rule 804(b)(3): Hearsay Exception for Statement Against Declarant’s Interest
- Declarant Must Be Unavailable
- Statements Offered in Criminal Cases Need Corroboration

52 **Hearsay Exception—Forfeiture**651

- Rule 804(b)(6): Admits Hearsay Against Party That Intentionally and Wrongfully Procured Witness’s Unavailability
- Wrongdoing
- Acquiescence
- Intent

53 **Hearsay Exemption—Statements by an Opposing Party**659

- Rule 801(d)(2): Every Statement by an Opposing Party Is Exempted from Hearsay Rule
- Adopted Statements
- Statements Made by Agents
- Statements Authorized by Party

54 **Statements by Opposing Parties in the Context of Multiple Parties**673

- “Same Side” Issue: May One Party Offer Statement Against Another Party on the Same Side of the Litigation?
- “Spillover” Issue: Out-of-Court Statement by One Party Is Not Admissible Against Co-Plaintiffs or Codefendants

- Limiting Instruction to Prevent Spillover
- Confrontation Clause Limits Use of Statements with Spillover Effect Against Criminal Defendants

55 Hearsay Exemption—Statements of Coconspirators 687

- Rule 801(d)(2)(E): Admits Conspirator’s Out-of-Court Statement Against All Coconspirators
- Course of Conspiracy
- Concealment
- “In Furtherance of” the Conspiracy
- Preliminary Determinations

56 Residual Exception 703

- Rule 807: Admits Some Hearsay Falling Outside Enumerated Exceptions
- Must Have Sufficient Guarantees of Trustworthiness
- Must Be More Probative than Other Evidence Proponent Can Reasonably Obtain
- Proponent Must Give Notice
- Courts Use Exception Very Rarely

57 Attacking a Declarant’s Credibility. 713

- Rule 806: Parties Can Impeach Declarants with Article VI Tools
- Rule Does Not Apply to Most Statements That Are “Not Hearsay”
- Extrinsic Evidence of Declarant’s Dishonest Acts

58 The Sixth Amendment and Hearsay. 721

- Confrontation Clause Protects Criminal Defendants, Not Other Parties
- Confrontation Means Cross-Examination
- The Clause Applies Only to “Testimonial Statements”
- Testimonial Is Hard to Define
- Availability, Cross-Examination, and Exceptions

59	Judicial Notice	759
	• Rule 201: Judicial Notice Allowed	
	• Adjudicative and Legislative Facts	
	• Two-Part Standard	
	• Instructions to Jury in Civil and Criminal Cases	
	• Judicial Notice Allowed at Any Time	
	• Stipulations and Judicial Notice	
60	Lay Opinions	773
	• Rule 701: Allows Non-Experts to Testify as to Opinions Under Three Conditions	
	• Lay Opinion Must Be Based on Personal Observation	
	• Must Be Helpful to Jury	
	• May Not Rest on Scientific, Technical, or Specialized Knowledge	
61	What Subjects Are Appropriate for Expert Testimony?	785
	• Rule 702: Admission of Expert Testimony	
	• Judge Serves as Gatekeeper	
	• Flexible Inquiry into Reliability, Fit, and Unfair Prejudice	
	• <i>Daubert</i> Factors: Non-Exclusive Criteria for Assessing Reliability	
	• Rule Applies to All Types of Expertise	
	• <i>Daubert</i> Hearings	
62	Qualifying Experts	805
	• Laying a Foundation	
	• Voir Dire by Opposing Counsel	
	• Expert Need Not Have Formal Education or Training	
63	Bases of Expert Opinion	815
	• Expert Opinions Are More Complex than Lay Ones	
	• Rules 703 and 705: Expert Testimony Need Not Rest on Personal Observation	
	• Data Supporting Expert Opinion Need Not Be Admissible	
	• Conditions for Expert to Disclose Inadmissible Supporting Evidence	

64	Limits on Opinion and Expert Testimony	835
	• Rule 704: No Automatic Prohibition of Testimony on Ultimate Issues	
	• Courts Continue to Protect the Province of the Jury Under Rules 701, 702, and 403	
	• Mental State of Criminal Defendant	
	• Opinions on Other Legal Conclusions	
	• Probability Evidence	
	• Polygraphs	
	• Expert Testimony About Eyewitness Reliability	
65	Court-Appointed Experts	851
	• Judges Have Inherent Power to Appoint Their Own Experts	
	• Rule 706: Creates Process for These Appointments	
66	Introduction to Privileges	857
	• Purposes of Evidentiary Privileges	
	• Rule 501: Federal Rules of Privilege Develop Through Common Law	
	• State Privilege Rules Apply in Diversity Cases	
	• Overview of Privileges	
	• Common Privilege Issues	
67	Attorney-Client Privilege	867
	• Protects Confidential Legal Communications Between Client and Attorney Related to Legal Services	
	• Crime-Fraud Exception	
	• Waiver	
	• Corporate Context	
	• Work Product Privilege	
68	Other Privileges	891
	• Two Types of Spousal Privilege:	
	• Spousal Testimonial Privilege	
	• Marital Communications Privilege	

	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Psychotherapist-Patient Privilege• Executive Privilege• Clergy-Communicant Privilege• Privilege Against Self-Incrimination	
69	Authentication	909
	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Parties Must Authenticate All Evidence Except Live Testimony• Evidence “Sufficient to Support a Finding” That the Evidence “Is What the Proponent Claims”• Rule 901: Nonexhaustive List of Ways to Authenticate Evidence• Rule 902: Documents That Are Self-Authenticating• Authentication Doesn’t Trump Other Evidentiary Objections	
70	Best Evidence	929
	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Article X: Party Must Offer Original Writing, Recording, or Photograph to Prove Content• Duplicates Frequently Allowed• Exceptions, Proof by Admission, and Public Records• Relationship to Other Rules	
71	Presumptions	949
	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Four Categories of Presumptions• Rule 302: State Law Governs Presumptions in Diversity Suits• Rule 301: Bubble-Bursting Presumptions Are the Default Rule in Other Federal Civil Cases• Constitutional Law Governs Presumptions in Criminal Cases	
72	The Role of the Jury	961
	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Need to Protect Legitimacy and Finality of Jury Verdicts• Rule 606(b): Jurors Are Incompetent to Testify About Statements, Thoughts, or Actions That Occurred During Deliberations• Internal/External Distinction• Rule Does Not Limit Non-Jurors• Sixth Amendment Exception	

The Federal Rules of Evidence	973
Proposed Privilege Rules	1019
Table of References to the Federal Rules of Evidence.....	1029
Table of Cases.....	1037
Subject Matter Index.....	1047