

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PREFACE TO THE FOURTH EDITION	V
PREFACE TO THE THIRD EDITION	VII
PREFACE TO THE SECOND EDITION	IX
PREFACE TO THE FIRST EDITION	XI
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS TO THE FIRST EDITION	XV
TABLE OF FIGURES	XXXIII
TABLE OF CASES	XXXVII
Chapter 1. Defining Competition Policy for a Global Economy	1
A. Case Study—the Lysine Cartel	7
United States v. Andreas	8
Sidebar 1–1: “Vitamins, Inc.”	16
B. Identifying the Core Questions of Antitrust Law	19
1. What Are the Purposes of Competition Law Systems?	20
a. What Economic Purposes Can They Serve?	20
Market Power and Its Consequences: The Case of the	
Coffee Shop	21
i. Demand	21
ii. Supply and Perfect Competition	25
iii. Market Power	29
iv. The Consequences of the Exercise of Market Power	31
v. The Benefits of Competition	33
b. What Non-Economic Purposes Can They Serve?	36
Sidebar 1–2: Non-Economic Values and Competition	
Policy	39
Policy Exchange: Antitrust and Inequality—Two Views	43
2. What Conduct, Public or Private, Can Impair the Proper	
Functioning of Markets?	48
a. What Do We Mean by “Anticompetitive” Conduct?	49
i. Introducing the Concept of “Antitrust Injury”	50
Brunswick Corporation v. Pueblo Bowl-O-Mat, Inc.	51
ii. Distinguishing Collusive from Exclusionary	
Anticompetitive Effects	54
JTC Petroleum Co. v. Piasa Motor Fuels, Inc.	58
Case Study: Testing the Antitrust Winds—Apple’s	
Acquisition of Weather App Dark Sky	63
Note on Digital Markets Policy Developments	67
b. Justifying Intervention: When Can Markets Be “Self-	
Correcting”?	69

3.	What Forms Can Antitrust Systems Take?.....	72
a.	The Structure of Legal Rules	75
b.	Design of the Enforcement Mechanism	76
	Sidebar 1–3: Ramifications of Decentralized Enforcement	77
c.	Remedies	80
d.	The Role of the Courts	81
4.	Which Ideas Influence the Answers to the Core Questions of Antitrust Policy?	81
a.	Changing Intellectual Foundations	82
b.	The Influence of Industrial Organization Economics	84
c.	The Chicago School of Antitrust	85
d.	The Harvard School of Antitrust	87
e.	Post-Chicago Antitrust Analysis, Game Theory, and Other Developments	89
f.	Modern Forces for Expansion	92
C.	An Introduction to Economic Proof	93
1.	What Are the Effects of Business Conduct on Economic Welfare?.....	93
2.	How Can the Effects of Business Conduct on Economic Welfare Be Demonstrated?	98
	Sidebar 1–4: Economics and the Development of Legal Rules	100
	Bell Atlantic Corp. v. Twombly.....	104
D.	Conclusion.....	107
	Appendix to Chapter 1	108
	Chapter 2. Concerted Action by Competitors	115
A.	Historical Foundations: The Emergence of the Rule of Reason	116
1.	<i>Standard Oil</i> and the “Rule of Reason”	116
	Board of Trade of City of Chicago v. United States	118
2.	Abbreviated Application of the Rule of Reason: The Per Se “Rule”	125
	Note on Antitrust Law Developments During the Great Depression.....	127
	United States v. Socony-Vacuum Oil Co.....	129
3.	Transitioning to Modern Economic Analysis: First Principles.....	137
	National Society of Professional Engineers v. United States.....	139
	Broadcast Music, Inc. v. Columbia Broadcasting System, Inc.	147
	Note on the Rule of Reason After National Society of Professional Engineers and Broadcast Music.....	155
B.	Evolution and Modern Implementation of the Rule of Reason	157
1.	Proving Anticompetitive Effects by Irrebuttable Presumption: Per Se Analysis	158
a.	Price Fixing	158
	Catalano, Inc. v. Target Sales, Inc.	158
	Sidebar 2–1: <i>Maricopa</i> as Seen Through the Marshall and Powell Papers	162
	Note on Use of the “Joint Venture” Label	166

b.	Market Division	169
	Palmer v. BRG of Georgia	171
c.	Concerted Refusals to Deal (“Group Boycotts”)	174
	Sidebar 2–2: Use of the “Group Boycott” Label	175
	Federal Trade Commission v. Superior Court Trial Lawyers Ass’n	179
	Note on Superior Court Trial Lawyers and the “Gig” Economy	189
	Sidebar 2–3: Defining and Justifying Contemporary Per Se Analysis	191
2.	Proving Anticompetitive Effects by Rebuttable Presumption Through Evidence of Actual Harm	194
	National Collegiate Athletic Ass’n v. Board of Regents of the University of Oklahoma	195
	Note on the Development of the “Quick Look”	207
	Note on Antitrust and the Professions	217
	National Collegiate Athletic Association v. Alston	218
	Note on the State of the Rule of Reason After NCAA v. Alston	236
3.	Proving Anticompetitive Effects by Rebuttable Presumption Using Economic Reasoning	243
	Polygram Holding, Inc. v. Federal Trade Commission	243
	Sidebar 2–4: Revisiting <i>Addyston Pipe</i> and Ancillary Restraint Analysis	252
4.	Proving Anticompetitive Effects by Rebuttable Presumption Using Circumstantial Evidence	257
	Realcomp II, Ltd. v. FTC	258
	Sidebar 2–5: The Contemporary Rule of Reason in Practice	273
C.	Modern Challenges in Characterization and Application of the Rule of Reason	285
	In re Sulfuric Acid Antitrust Litigation	286
D.	Conclusion	295
E.	Problems	295
	Problem 2–1: Mountain Medical	295
	Problem 2–2: The Riverbank Pastry Chefs	296
Chapter 3. Distinguishing Concerted from Unilateral Action		299
A.	Antitrust’s Special Scrutiny of Collective Action	302
	Note on the Rationale for Scrutinizing Concerted Action	304
B.	The Modern Economics of Collusion	306
1.	The Problems of Collusion and Their Solutions: Two Case Studies	308
	Case Study I: Tacit Coordination—a Gasoline Station Hypothetical	309
	Case Study II: Factors Facilitating or Frustrating Coordination—a Delicatessen Hypothetical	313
	Sidebar 3–1: Understanding Collusion as a Prisoner’s Dilemma	321

2.	Incomplete Coordination	324
C.	The Development of Traditional Conspiracy Law	326
1.	Collusion in the Shadow of Antitrust: Coordination Strategies for the Firms and Proof Strategies for Plaintiffs	328
2.	Conscious Parallelism or Agreement?	331
	Interstate Circuit, Inc. v. United States	331
	Sidebar 3–2: The Turner/Posner Debate on Conscious Parallelism	337
	Bell Atlantic Corp. v. Twombly	346
D.	Proving Agreement Through Circumstantial Evidence: “Parallelism Plus”	357
1.	Establishing Parallelism Plus	357
	American Tobacco Co. v. United States	358
	United States v. Foley	363
2.	Synthesizing the Plus Factors	369
	Sidebar 3–3: Integrating the Plus Factors into a Narrative	374
3.	Modern Trends in Inferring Agreement	380
	Sidebar 3–4: What Is an Agreement?	381
	In re Text Messaging Antitrust Litigation	385
	In re Text Messaging Antitrust Litigation	388
E.	Information Exchange and Other Facilitating Practices	400
1.	Information Exchange	401
	Williamson Oil Co., Inc. v. Philip Morris USA	407
	Note on Container Corp.: Information Sharing as an Independent Sherman Act Violation	417
2.	Unilateral Facilitating Practices: Invitations to Collude	422
	United States v. American Airlines, Inc.	422
3.	Other Unilateral Facilitating Practices	427
	Sidebar 3–5: Section 5 of the Federal Trade Commission Act	430
F.	Conclusion	435
G.	Problems and Exercises	435
	Problem 3–1: Durab	435
	Problem 3–2: Ice Machines	436
	Chapter 4. Exclusionary Conduct	441
	Policy Exchange on Error Costs	449
A.	The Economics of Exclusion	457
1.	<i>Lorain Journal</i> Case Study	457
	Lorain Journal Co. v. United States	458
2.	The Modern Economics of Exclusion: Two Paradigms	463
B.	Historical Foundations: The “Power + Conduct” Framework	471
1.	The Enduring Influence of Judge Hand’s Decision in <i>Alcoa</i>	471
	United States v. Aluminum Co. of America	473
	Sidebar 4–1: <i>Alcoa</i> and Its Enduring Influence	480
	Sidebar 4–2: Durable Goods Monopoly—Three Economic Issues	494

	Sidebar 4–3: The Section 2 Conduct Standard After <i>Alcoa</i> — Flirting with No Fault	498
2.	Economic Analysis of Market Definition: The <i>Cellophane</i> Decision (and Fallacy)	501
	United States v. E. I. Du Pont De Nemours & Co.	502
	Note on the Contribution of du Pont to Our Understanding of Market Definition	510
	Sidebar 4–4: The <i>Cellophane</i> Fallacy	511
C.	The Contemporary Law and Economics of Monopolization	515
1.	Non-Price Exclusionary Conduct.....	517
	Aspen Skiing Co. v. Aspen Highlands Skiing Corp.	518
	Note on Kodak and the Economics of Market Power in Aftermarkets	532
	United States v. Microsoft Corp.	536
	Note on Microsoft Prosecutions in the European Union.....	558
	Sidebar 4–5: The “Single Inference” Method for Inferring Anticompetitive Effects	561
	Sidebar 4–6: The Role of Intent in Antitrust Analysis	572
2.	Predatory Pricing	579
	Brooke Group Ltd. v. Brown & Williamson Tobacco Corp.....	581
	Note on Weyerhaeuser and Predatory Overbuying	596
	Sidebar 4–7: The Economic Debate About Predatory Pricing: A Short History	598
3.	Concerted and Unilateral Refusals to Deal	608
a.	Foundation Cases: From <i>Eastern States</i> to <i>Klor’s</i>	610
b.	Contemporary Treatment of Exclusionary Concerted Refusals to Deal: <i>Northwest Wholesale Stationers</i> (1985) and <i>Visa</i> (2003)	615
	United States v. Visa U.S.A., Inc.	620
c.	Unilateral Refusals to Deal: <i>Trinko</i> (2004).....	630
	Verizon Communications Inc. v. Law Offices of Curtis V. Trinko, LLP	631
	Note on “Price Squeezes”: The Intersection of Brooke Group and <i>Trinko</i>	641
	Sidebar 4–8: Essential Facilities, Refusals to Deal, and Leveraging After <i>Trinko</i>	642
	Note on “Cheap Exclusion”	652
	Sidebar 4–9: Comparative Perspectives: The Treatment of Anticompetitive Single Firm Conduct in the U.S. and E.U.	654
4.	Pattern or Practice: Preparing a “Monopoly Broth”.....	657
D.	The Offense of Attempt to Monopolize.....	658
	Spectrum Sports, Inc. v. McQuillan	659
E.	Remedies	665
	Note on the Success of Conduct Remedies in Monopolization Cases....	666
	United States v. Microsoft Corp.	669
F.	Conclusion.....	676

G.	Problems and Exercises	677
	Problem 4–1: Amerinet	677
	Problem 4–2: Mountain Air	678
	Chapter 5. Mergers and Acquisitions	681
A.	A Primer on Merger Analysis in the U.S.	682
	1. Introduction to the Statutory Framework	682
	2. Motives for Merger Among Rivals	684
	3. Historical Perspectives on Merger Enforcement	688
B.	Horizontal Mergers: The Emergence and Erosion of the Structural Paradigm.....	688
	1. Guide to the Cases.....	688
	2. The Emergence of the Structural Presumption.....	690
	Brown Shoe Co. v. United States	690
	United States v. Philadelphia National Bank	695
	Note on Von’s Grocery and Pabst Brewing	700
	3. The Erosion of the Structural Presumption	702
	United States v. General Dynamics Corporation	702
	Note on the Structural Presumption and the 1982 Merger Guidelines	706
	Sidebar 5–1: The Sound of Silence: The Supreme Court and Merger Policy Since 1975	707
	United States v. Baker Hughes, Inc.....	711
	Buyer Power as a Defense.....	719
	Federal Trade Commission v. H.J. Heinz Co.	721
	Sidebar 5–2: Inferring Market Power from Market Concentration: The Economics of the Structural Presumption	728
	Policy Exchange on the Role of Concentration in Horizontal Merger Analysis.....	730
C.	Horizontal Merger Analysis Under the DOJ/FTC Merger Guidelines	738
	Sidebar 5–3: Pre-Merger Notification and the Merger Enforcement Process in the U.S.....	738
	Note on Consummated Mergers	743
	1. Market Definition, Market Participants, and Market Concentration (Horizontal Merger Guidelines §§ 4–5)	745
	Note on Cross-Price Elasticity of Demand.....	749
	a. Market Definition Under the Merger Guidelines.....	750
	Note on Market Definition in Practice	753
	United States v. H & R Block, Inc.	756
	Note on Issues in Market Definition: Submarkets, Price Discrimination Markets, Cluster Markets, and Critical Loss Analysis	778
	Sidebar 5–4: The Economics of Price Discrimination	786
	b. Identifying Market Participants.....	790
	c. Measuring Market Concentration	791

2.	Competitive Effects	797
	Note on Mergers That Enhance Buyer Market Power (Monopsony Power).....	799
a.	Coordinated Competitive Effects	803
	Hospital Corporation of America v. Federal Trade Commission	805
	United States v. H & R Block, Inc.	813
	Sidebar 5–5: Mavericks and the Coordinated Competitive Effects of Mergers	815
	Sidebar 5–6: Competitor vs. Customer Complaints	820
b.	Unilateral Competitive Effects	824
	Note on the Economics of Unilateral Effects in Differentiated-Product Markets: The Merger of Crunchies and Fruities	829
	Sidebar 5–7: Methods of Quantifying Unilateral Effects in the Merger Guidelines	832
	United States v. H & R Block, Inc.	837
	Note on Other Unilateral Effects Theories	840
	Sidebar 5–8: Comparative Perspectives: Merger Enforcement in the U.S. and E.U.	843
3.	Supply Substitution and Entry	847
	United States v. Waste Management, Inc.	848
	Note on Entry Analysis in Economics, Antitrust, and the Merger Guidelines	854
4.	Efficiencies	858
	United States v. H & R Block, Inc.	861
	Note on Crediting Efficiencies in the Face of Anticompetitive Harm: Verification, Relatedness, and Pass-Through	862
	Note on Efficiencies and Consumer Welfare	865
5.	Failing Firms	869
	Sidebar 5–9: Merger Remedies	870
D.	Non-Horizontal Mergers	874
1.	The Evolution of Vertical Merger Analysis	875
2.	Vertical Merger Analysis Today	880
	United States v. AT&T, Inc.	886
	Note on the Bargaining Model in the AT&T/Time Warner Litigation	899
	Note on Eliminating Double Marginalization	902
	Sidebar 5–10: Vertical Integration and Transaction Cost Economics	904
3.	Potential Competition and Conglomerate Mergers	906
	Note on Conglomerate Merger Enforcement and Watergate	907
a.	Potential Competition Theories and Acquisitions of Nascent Rivals	909
	Case Study: Potential Competition and Facebook’s Acquisition of Instagram	913
b.	Other Conglomerate Merger Theories	917

c.	Acquisitions by Financial Investors and Private Equity Firms—the New “Conglomerates”?	918
E.	Conclusion	920
F.	Problems and Exercises	920
	Problem 5–1: Spotless	920
	Problem 5–2: Chic Shampoo	922
	Additional Review Questions	923
Chapter 6. Anticompetitive Distribution Practices		925
A.	Single Brand Price and Non-Price Restraints	930
1.	Historical Foundations and Development	930
2.	Abandoning Per Se Analysis: <i>Sylvania</i> and <i>Leegin</i>	932
	Continental T.V., Inc. v. GTE Sylvania Incorporated	933
	Sidebar 6–1: Dealer Relations After <i>Sylvania</i>	945
	Leegin Creative Leather Products, Inc. v. PSKS, Inc.	951
	Sidebar 6–2: After <i>Leegin</i> : The Economics of RPM	968
3.	Exclusive Distributors and Suppliers	975
	E & L Consulting, Ltd. v. Doman Industries Ltd.	977
	Note on the “Single Monopoly Profit” Theory	983
B.	Exclusive Dealing, Tying and Related Practices	988
1.	Historical Foundations and Development	990
	Sidebar 6–3: Section 3 of the Clayton Act and the Role of “Incipiency”	997
	Jefferson Parish Hospital District No. 2 v. Hyde	1000
	Sidebar 6–4: The Per Se Rule, the Rule of Reason, and Tying— a View from the Marshall Papers	1018
2.	The Modern Law and Economics of Tying and Exclusive Dealing	1019
a.	Tying	1019
	United States v. Microsoft Corp.	1020
	Sidebar 6–5: The Economics of Tying	1029
	Note on Brantley and the Continued Viability of Exploitative Theories of Competitive Harm for Tying	1035
b.	Exclusive Dealing	1038
	McWane, Inc. v. Federal Trade Commission	1039
	Note on the Treatment of Exclusive Dealing in <i>Gilbarco</i>	1058
	Sidebar 6–6: The Contemporary Economics of Exclusive Dealing	1063
	Note on the Economic Effects of “Most Favored Nation” Provisions	1069
c.	Conditional Pricing Practices	1074
	ZF Meritor, LLC v. Eaton Corporation	1076
d.	Other Vertical Exclusionary Restraints	1102
	Ohio v. American Express Co.	1103
	Sidebar 6–7: The Economics of Two-Sided Platforms with Network Effects	1128

	Policy Exchange on Antitrust Standards for Vertical Conduct.....	1131
C.	Price Discrimination and the Robinson-Patman Act.....	1138
	Volvo Trucks North America, Inc. v. Reeder-Simco GMC, Inc.....	1143
D.	Conclusion.....	1149
E.	Problems and Exercises.....	1149
	Problem 6–1: Pomegranate Apparel.....	1149
	Problem 6–2: Printing Products Corporation.....	1151
	Problem 6–3: Ride-Hail Pricing.....	1153
	Chapter 7. Antitrust, Innovation, and Intellectual Property.....	1155
	Sidebar 7–1: Antitrust Principles and the “New Economy”.....	1156
A.	Antitrust and Innovation.....	1161
	Note on Innovation and the Scope of Intellectual Property	
	Protection.....	1163
1.	History.....	1165
a.	The Rise and Fall of the “Nine No-Nos”.....	1165
	In the Matter of Xerox Corporation.....	1167
	SCM Corporation v. Xerox Corp.	1172
b.	Changing Times, Changing Attitudes: The Intellectual Property Guidelines.....	1176
2.	Changing Technologies and Antitrust Institutions.....	1181
	Standard Oil Company (Indiana) v. United States.....	1182
	United States v. Singer Manufacturing Co.	1186
	Sidebar 7–2: Innovation, Technology, and Future Product Markets.....	1194
B.	Collusive Agreements.....	1198
1.	Manipulation of Product Standards and Certifications.....	1198
	American Society of Mechanical Engineers, Inc. v. Hydrolevel Corp.	1199
2.	Patent Pools and Cross Licensing.....	1202
	Patents for MPEG-2 Technology.....	1203
	Note on the Role of the PTO in Defining the Scope of Patent Protection.....	1214
3.	Patent Settlements in the Pharmaceutical Industry.....	1216
	Note on the Hatch-Waxman Act and Early Pay-for-Delay Cases.....	1216
	Federal Trade Commission v. Actavis, Inc.	1217
	Note on Rule of Reason Analysis of Reverse Payment Settlements After Actavis.....	1230
	Sidebar 7–3: Product Hopping and Other Conduct That May Delay Generic Entry.....	1234
4.	Mergers and Joint Ventures.....	1240
a.	Acquisition of Intellectual Property Rights (“IPRs”) and the Role of “Blocking Patents”.....	1240
	Note on Acquisitions of IPRs by Patent Assertion Entities.....	1241

b.	Suppression of Rival Innovation Through Acquisition	1243
C.	Exclusionary Conduct	1245
1.	Suppression of Rival Innovation by a Dominant Firm or Firms	1246
	United States v. Microsoft Corp.	1249
	Allied Orthopedic Appliances, Inc. v. Tyco Healthcare Group LP.....	1250
2.	Single Firm Licensing Practices	1259
	Note on Kodak and Refusals to License Intellectual Property Rights	1260
	CSU, L.L.C. v. Xerox Corp.	1262
	Sidebar 7–4: Antitrust Liability for Unilateral Refusals to License Intellectual Property Rights.....	1268
3.	Concerns About Patent Holdup and Holdout	1272
	Broadcom Corp. v. Qualcomm Inc.	1275
	Note on the Treatment of FRAND-SEP Issues in Other Jurisdictions.....	1285
	Rambus Inc. v. Federal Trade Commission	1290
	Sidebar 7–5: A Brief History of the FTC’s Antitrust Enforcement Directed at Patent Holdup.....	1302
D.	Conclusion.....	1307
E.	Problems and Exercises	1307
	Problem 7–1: <i>In re Union Oil Co. of Cal. (“Unocal”)</i>	1307
	Problem 7–2: U.S. IP Guidelines Example 8 (“Horizontal Restraints”).....	1308
	Problem 7–3: U.S. IP Guidelines Example 10 (“Acquisitions of Intellectual Property Rights”).....	1308
 Chapter 8. Implementing Competition Policy Rules: The		
Structure of Antitrust Enforcement		
A.	Defining the Boundaries of National Jurisdiction	1311
1.	Interstate Commerce Requirement.....	1312
	Summit Health, Ltd. v. Pinhas.....	1312
2.	Foreign Jurisdictional Barriers	1316
a.	Comity	1317
	Hartford Fire Insurance Co. v. California.....	1318
b.	The Substantial Effects Test: Sorting out the Relationship Between <i>Hartford Fire</i> and the FTAIA	1324
	F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd. v. Empagran S.A.	1325
c.	Act of State Doctrine	1335
d.	Foreign Sovereign Immunity	1336
e.	Foreign Sovereign Compulsion	1336
	Sidebar 8–1: Cooperation and Convergence in the Global Community.....	1338

B.	An Overview of the American System for Prosecuting and Adjudicating Antitrust Violations	1343
1.	National Prosecutors: The Department of Justice and the Federal Trade Commission	1343
	Sidebar 8–2: Detecting Antitrust Violations: The Role of Information Gathering	1345
2.	Antitrust Prosecution by State Attorneys General	1348
3.	Adjudication: The Role of the Courts	1350
	Sidebar 8–3: Antitrust and Arbitration	1351
C.	Antitrust Enforcement Under the U.S. Constitutional Scheme: Antitrust Federalism and Antitrust’s Relationship with the First Amendment	1354
1.	Federal Regulation	1355
2.	State Regulation	1357
	Federal Trade Commission v. Phoebe Putney Health System, Inc.	1361
	North Carolina State Board of Dental Examiners v. FTC.....	1370
	Note on Seminole Tribe, Sovereign Immunity and the Continued Vitality of the Parker Framework.....	1380
3.	Intervention by Municipal and Other Local Authorities	1383
4.	Preemption.....	1385
	Sidebar 8–4: Revisiting Immunities Based on Government Intervention	1386
5.	Petitioning.....	1388
	Professional Real Estate Investors, Inc. v. Columbia Pictures Industries, Inc.	1391
D.	The Limited Role of Statutory Exemptions	1396
E.	Private Rights of Action	1398
1.	Injury to Business or Property	1400
2.	Antitrust Injury	1401
3.	Direct Purchasers	1401
	Illinois Brick Co. v. Illinois	1402
	Sidebar 8–5: The Aftermath of <i>Illinois Brick</i> : <i>ARC America</i> and the Emergence of Multi-Jurisdictional Antitrust Litigation.....	1410
	Apple Inc. v. Pepper	1412
4.	Standing.....	1429
	Associated General Contractors of California Inc. v. California State Council of Carpenters.....	1429
5.	Defenses Based on <i>In Pari Delicto</i> and Unclean Hands	1437
F.	Remedies for Antitrust Violations.....	1438
1.	Criminal Sanctions.....	1438
	United States v. Giraudo	1439
2.	Civil Remedies	1449
a.	Lawsuits as Remedies	1450

b.	Judicially-Imposed Remedies	1451
	National Society of Professional Engineers v. United States	1452
	Sidebar 8–6: Federal Settlements, the Tunney Act and Statutory Preclusion Under Section 5 of the Clayton Act	1454
3.	The Private Treble Damages Remedy	1459
a.	Why “Treble” Damages?	1459
	Sidebar 8–7: The Economics of Penalties	1461
	Note on Disgorgement	1469
b.	Calculating Antitrust Damages	1471
	J. Truett Payne Co. v. Chrysler Motors Corp.	1471
	Conwood Co. v. United States Tobacco Co.	1475
	Sidebar 8–8: Legal Procedure and Settlement	1481
G.	Conclusion	1484
H.	Problems and Exercises	1484
	Problem 8–1: Sweet Co.	1484
	Problem 8–2: Maverick Motors	1486
	Appendix. Selected Antitrust Statutes	1489
	INDEX	1507

TABLE OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
1-1	Demand for Coffee	22
1-2	Demand Elasticities Compared	24
1-3	Supply Function for a Perfectly Competitive Industry	26
1-4	Supply, Demand & Equilibrium	27
1-5	Backward (Upward) Shift in Supply	28
1-6	Outward (Upward) Shift in Demand.....	28
1-7	Consumers' and Suppliers' Surplus	29
1-8	Consequences of the Exercise of Market Power	32
1-9	Comparison of Characteristics of Competitive and Non-Competitive Markets	50
1-10	Collusive Anticompetitive Effects.....	55
1-11	Exclusionary Anticompetitive Effects	56
1-12	Comparing Collusive and Exclusionary Anticompetitive Effects	57
1-13	The Alleged JTC Conspiracy.....	61
1-14	Apple's Acquisition of Dark Sky Possible Competitive Effects Theories.....	66
1-15	The Structure of the U.S. Antitrust Enforcement System	76
1-16	Institutional Enforcement Models.....	79
1-17	Possible Remedies for Antitrust Violations	81
1-18	The Williamson Diagram	94
1-19	Marginal Cost.....	110
2-1	The <i>Chicago Board of Trade</i> Rule of Reason	122
2-2	The Structure of the Gasoline Industry in <i>Socony-Vacuum Oil</i>	131
2-3	Visualizing the Dichotomous ("Bi-Polar") Rule of Reason....	146
2-4	Anticompetitive Theories Associated with Joint Ventures	167
2-5	Typical Collusive Group Boycotts.....	175

3-1	Some Factors Facilitating or Frustrating Coordination.....	321
3-2	<i>Interstate Circuit</i> —The Hub and Spoke Conspiracy	335
3-3	Synthesizing the “Plus Factors” for Proving Conspiracy	369
3-4	Information Sharing: <i>American Column & Lumber</i> and <i>Maple Flooring</i> Compared.....	406
4-1	Presumptions (“Priors”) That Can Influence Perspectives...	447
4-2	Involuntary Cartel—Restricting Rival Access to Supply	464
4-3	Involuntary Cartel—Restricting Rival Access to Customer	465
4-4	Applying the Raising Rival’s Cost Framework.....	469
4-5	Pre- <i>Alcoa</i> Decisions and the Inference of Monopoly Power	483
4-6	Assumptions Underlying Calculation of <i>Alcoa</i> ’s Market Share.....	484
4-7	Proving <i>Microsoft</i> ’s Market Power	554
4-8	<i>Microsoft</i> ’s Structured Analysis.....	555
4-9	Exclusionary Group Boycotts—Three Scenarios.....	609
4-10	Framework for Analyzing Exclusionary Group Boycotts Under <i>Northwest Wholesale Stationers</i>	618
5-1	The Williamson Diagram	686
5-2	Stages of Merger Review at the Federal Antitrust Agencies.....	740
5-3	Calculation of Critical Loss for Market Definition.....	783
5-4	Understanding the HHI	793
5-5	Two Horizontal Merger Scenarios	798
5-6	A Price Increase Would Not Increase <i>Crunchies</i> Profits Pre-Merger	830
5-7	A Price Increase Would Increase <i>Crunchies</i> Profits Post- Merger	831
5-8	Contrasting Efficiencies More or Less Likely to Be Deemed Cognizable Under the Merger Guidelines	863
5-9	Potential for Input and Customer Foreclosure: Two Hypothetical Vertical Mergers.....	883
6-1	Horizontal and Vertical Relationships Compared	926

6-2	Vertical Intrabrand Restraints—Examples.....	927
6-3	Vertical Interbrand Restraints—Examples.....	928
6-4	Justifications for Vertical Non-Price Intrabrand Restrictions Recognized in <i>Sylvania</i>	941
6-5	Anticompetitive Theories Associated with Vertical Intrabrand Restraints Recognized by the Supreme Court in <i>Sylvania</i> and <i>Leegin</i>	970
6-6	Exclusive Dealing and Exclusive Distributorship Compared	976
6-7	Summary of Potential Pro- and Anti-Competitive Effects of Tying	1034
6-8	Summary of Potential Effects of Exclusive Dealing.....	1064
7-1	The Now Outmoded Nine No-Nos	1166
7-2	Some Antitrust Theories for Challenging Collusive Agreements That Restrict Innovation.....	1245
8-1	FTC & DOJ: Exclusive and Shared Jurisdiction.....	1345
8-2	Major U.S. Antitrust Exemptions.....	1397
8-3	The Economics of Penalties: Numerical Example	1462
8-4	Typical Measures of Damages in Private Antitrust Cases	1474