

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE OF CASES	VII
TABLE OF AUTHORITIES.....	IX
CHAPTER 1. NATURE AND SCOPE OF JUDICIAL REVIEW	1
2. <i>POLITICAL QUESTIONS</i>	1
Rucho v. Common Cause	1
3. <i>CONGRESSIONAL REGULATION OF JUDICIAL POWER</i>	11
CHAPTER 2. NATIONAL LEGISLATIVE POWER.....	13
3. <i>THE NATIONAL TAXING AND SPENDING POWERS</i>	13
I. Regulation Through Taxing.....	13
<i>California v. Texas</i>	13
5. <i>APPLYING NATIONAL POWERS TO STATE GOVERNMENTS:</i> <i>INTERGOVERNMENTAL IMMUNITIES</i>	13
III. Federal Immunity from State Taxes.....	13
CHAPTER 3. DISTRIBUTION OF FEDERAL POWERS: SEPARATION OF POWERS	15
2. <i>CONGRESSIONAL ACTION AFFECTING “PRESIDENTIAL”</i> <i>POWERS</i>	15
I. Delegation of Rulemaking Power	15
<i>Gundy v. United States</i>	15
<i>National Federation of Indep. Bus. v. Dep’t of Labor,</i> <i>Occupational Safety and Health Admin.</i>	15
<i>West Virginia v. Environmental Protection Agency</i>	16
III. Appointment and Removal of Officers	16
<i>Seila Law LLC v. Consumer Financial Protection Bureau</i>	16
<i>Collins v. Yellen</i>	18
<i>United States v. Arthrex, Inc.</i>	19
3. <i>EXECUTIVE PRIVILEGE AND IMMUNITY</i>	20
<i>Trump v. Vance</i>	20
<i>Trump v. Mazars USA, LLP</i>	23
4. <i>IMPEACHMENT OF THE PRESIDENT</i>	25
CHAPTER 4. STATE POWER TO REGULATE.....	27
2. <i>BASIC DOCTRINAL PRINCIPLES AND THEIR APPLICATION</i>	27
I. Statutes That Discriminate on Their Faces Against Interstate Commerce.....	27
<i>Tennessee Wine and Spirits Retailers Ass’n v. Tennessee Alcoholic</i> <i>Beverage Comm’n</i>	27
IV. Statutes That Do Not Discriminate on Their Faces but Nevertheless Burden Interstate Commerce	28

CHAPTER 5. SUBSTANTIVE PROTECTION OF ECONOMIC INTERESTS	29
4. <i>OTHER LIMITS ON ECONOMIC LEGISLATION: THE PROHIBITION AGAINST “TAKING” “PRIVATE PROPERTY” WITHOUT JUST COMPENSATION</i>	29
<i>Cedar Point Nursery v. Hasid.....</i>	29
 CHAPTER 6. PROTECTION OF INDIVIDUAL RIGHTS: DUE PROCESS, THE BILL OF RIGHTS, AND UNENUMERATED RIGHTS	31
1. <i>APPLICABILITY OF THE BILL OF RIGHTS TO THE STATES; NATURE AND SCOPE OF FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT DUE PROCESS</i>	31
II. Is the Bill of Rights Incorporated “Jot-for-Jot”?	31
III. In <i>McDonald v. City of Chicago</i> , the Court Looks Back on Its “Incorporation” of Bill of Rights Guarantees	31
<i>Timbs v. Indiana</i>	31
<i>Ramos v. Louisiana</i>	32
IV. How Much More Specific Are Provisions of the Bill of Rights than Due Process Generally? The Case of Bodily Extractions	33
<i>Jacobson v. Massachusetts</i>	33
2. <i>REPRODUCTIVE FREEDOM</i>	34
Introductory Note on the Right of “Privacy”	34
The Court Overturns <i>Roe</i> and <i>Casey</i>	34
Dobbs v. Jackson Women’s Health Org.	34
4. <i>SEXUAL LIBERTY.....</i>	59
7. <i>THE RIGHT TO KEEP AND BEAR ARMS</i>	59
New York State Rifle & Pistol Ass’n, Inc. v. Bruen	59
8. <i>THE DEATH PENALTY AND RELATED PROBLEMS: CRUEL AND UNUSUAL PUNISHMENT</i>	81
IV. Additional Constitutional Limits on Imposing Severe Punishment.....	81
<i>Ford v. Wainwright</i>	81
<i>Madison v. Alabama.....</i>	81
<i>Jones v. Mississippi</i>	81
<i>Bucklew v. Precythe</i>	82
 CHAPTER 7. FREEDOM OF EXPRESSION AND ASSOCIATION	83
1. <i>THE SCOPE AND STRENGTH OF THE FIRST AMENDMENT.....</i>	83
I. Advocacy of Illegal Action	83
D. A Modern “Restatement”	83
<i>Nieves v. Bartlett.....</i>	83
<i>Houston Community College System v. Wilson.....</i>	84
II. Reputation and Privacy.....	85
B. Public Officials and Seditious Libel.....	85
2. <i>THE PROBLEM OF CONTENT REGULATION</i>	86
<i>Iancu v. Brunetti.....</i>	86

I.	“Hate Speech” and the <i>Skokie</i> Controversy	86
	<i>Barr v. American Association of Political Consultants, Inc.</i>	86
	<i>City of Austin v. Reagan National Advertising</i>	88
4.	<i>NEW CATEGORIES?</i>	89
I.	Harm to Children and the Overbreadth Doctrine	89
7.	<i>GOVERNMENT SPEECH</i>	90
I.	Subsidies of Speech	90
	<i>Shurtleff v. City of Boston</i>	90
II.	Government as Educator and Editor	92
	Mahanoy Area School District v. B. L.	92
III.	Government as Employer	102
9.	<i>THE RIGHT NOT TO SPEAK, THE RIGHT TO ASSOCIATE, AND THE RIGHT NOT TO ASSOCIATE</i>	102
I.	The Right Not to Be Associated with Particular Ideas	102
10.	<i>WEALTH, EQUALITY, AND THE POLITICAL PROCESS</i>	104
	<i>FEC v. Cruz</i>	104
	CHAPTER 8. FREEDOM OF RELIGION	107
1.	<i>THE ESTABLISHMENT CLAUSE</i>	107
II.	Aid to Religion	107
	Carson v. Makin	107
III.	Religion and Public Schools	120
	Kennedy v. Bremerton School District	120
IV.	Official Acknowledgment of Religion	137
	<i>American Legion v. American Humanist Ass’n</i>	137
2.	<i>THE FREE EXERCISE CLAUSE AND RELATED STATUTORY ISSUES</i>	138
I.	Conflict with State Regulation	138
	<i>Espinoza v. Montana Department of Revenue</i>	139
	<i>Fulton v. City of Philadelphia</i>	142
	<i>South Bay Pentecostal Church v. Newsom</i>	145
	<i>Tandon v. Newsom</i>	145
	CHAPTER 9. EQUAL PROTECTION	147
2.	<i>RACE AND ETHNIC ANCESTRY</i>	147
IV.	De Jure vs. De Facto Discrimination	147
	<i>Department of Homeland Security v. Regents of University of California</i>	147
V.	Affirmative Action	147
	B. Employment and Government Contracts	147
3.	<i>DISCRIMINATION BASED ON GENDER</i>	148
II.	Differences—Real and Imagined	148
4.	<i>SPECIAL SCRUTINY FOR OTHER CLASSIFICATIONS: DOCTRINE AND DEBATES</i>	148
I.	Sexual Orientation	148

5.	<i>FUNDAMENTAL RIGHTS</i>	149
I.	Voting	149
D.	“Dilution” of the Right: Partisan Gerrymanders	149
	<i>Davis v. Bandemer</i>	149
II.	Travel	149
CHAPTER 10. THE CONCEPT OF STATE ACTION		151
2.	“ <i>GOVERNMENT FUNCTION</i> ”	151
III.	Refusals to Find “Governmental Function”	151
	<i>Manhattan Community Access Corp. v. Halleck</i>	151
CHAPTER 12. LIMITATIONS ON JUDICIAL POWER AND		
REVIEW		153
2.	<i>STANDING</i>	153
I.	The Structure of Standing Doctrine	153
	<i>California v. Texas</i>	153
	<i>Uzuegbunam v. Preczewski</i>	155
II.	Congressional Power to Create Standing	156
	<i>TransUnion LLC v. Ramirez</i>	156
3.	<i>TIMING OF ADJUDICATION</i>	158
I.	Mootness	158