
TABLE OF CONTENTS

PREFACE	V
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	IX
TABLE OF CASES	XXXI
TABLE OF SECONDARY AUTHORITIES	XLI
Introduction	1
Tanner v. United States: <i>Historical Prelude</i>	8
Focus on FRE 606(b).....	10
Tanner v. United States	10
Warger v. Shauers: <i>Case Note</i>	18
Peña-Rodriguez v. Colorado: <i>Case Note</i>	21
<i>Problem 1.1 “The Cosby Show”</i>	25
Tanner, Warger, & Peña-Rodriguez: <i>Afterthoughts</i>	26

UNIT I. RELEVANCE

Chapter 1. General Principles of Relevance.....	31
A. Probativeness and Materiality	31
Focus on FRE 401 & 402	33
1. Probativeness.....	33
George F. James, <i>Relevancy, Probability and the Law</i>	33
<i>Problem 1.1 “Show Me the Body”</i>	34
<i>Problem 1.2 Passports</i>	35
<i>Problem 1.3 Polygraph Consent</i>	35
2. Materiality	36
<i>Problem 1.4 Knowledge</i>	36
<i>Problem 1.5 Voluntary Intoxication</i>	37
United States v. James	38
United States v. James: <i>Afterthoughts</i>	41
<i>Problem 1.6 Violin Case</i>	43
B. Conditional Relevance	43
Focus on FRE 104(b)	43
<i>Problem 1.7 Threat to Disclose</i>	43
Bill D’Agostino, <i>Fitzhugh Case: Judge Allows Paternity Motive</i>	44
Cox v. State	45
Cox v. State: <i>Afterthoughts</i>	47
C. Probativeness Versus the Risk of Unfair Prejudice.....	51
Focus on FRE 403	51
Rule 403: <i>Phrase by Phrase</i>	51
1. Photos and Other Inflammatory Evidence	52
State v. Bocharski	52

	Rebecca Hofstein Grady et al., Impact of Gruesome Photographic Evidence on Legal Decisions: A Meta-Analysis.....	56
	<i>Problem 1.8 “Start Practicing Your Pentangeli”</i>	60
	The Government Accuses Roger Stone of Being a Disorganized Figure Who Committed a Crime.....	60
	<i>Commonwealth v. Serge</i>	61
	<i>Commonwealth v. Serge: Afterthoughts</i>	66
	<i>United States v. James</i>	68
2.	Evidence of Flight	70
	<i>United States v. Myers</i>	70
	<i>United States v. Myers: Afterthoughts</i>	74
	<i>Problem 1.9 Fleeing Trouble I</i>	75
	<i>Problem 1.10 Fleeing Trouble II</i>	76
	Jasmine B. Gonzales Rose, Toward a Critical Race Theory of Evidence	77
	<i>Problem 1.11 Staying Put</i>	79
3.	Probability Evidence.....	79
	<i>People v. Collins</i>	79
	<i>People v. Collins: Historical Postscript</i>	88
4.	Effect of Stipulations.....	94
	Focus on FRE 102	94
	<i>United States v. Jackson</i>	94
	<i>Old Chief v. United States</i>	96
	Chapter 2. The Specialized Relevance Rules	109
	Specialized Relevance Rules: <i>Analytical Charts</i>	110
	Specialized Relevance Rules Flowchart	112
A.	Subsequent Remedial Measures	113
	Focus on FRE 407	113
	<i>Problem 2.1 Wolf Attack</i>	113
	<i>Tuer v. McDonald</i>	114
	<i>Problem 2.2 Wood Chipper</i>	122
	<i>Problem 2.3 Taser Training</i>	123
	Notes on Rule 407: <i>Subsequent Remedial Measures</i>	124
B.	Compromise Offers and Payment of Medical Expenses.....	126
	Focus on FRE 408 & 409.....	126
	<i>Bankcard America, Inc. v. Universal Bancard Systems, Inc.</i>	126
	<i>Problem 2.4 Hotel Inspection</i>	129
	Notes on Rule 408: <i>Compromise and Offers to Compromise</i>	130
	Benjamin J. McMichael et al., “Sorry” Is Never Enough: How State Apology Laws Fail to Reduce Medical Malpractice Liability Risk	135
	Comparing Rules 408 (<i>Compromise</i>) and 409 (<i>Medical Expenses</i>)	141

C.	Liability Insurance.....	143
	Focus on FRE 411.....	143
	<i>Ventura v. Kyle</i>	143
	<i>Problem 2.5 Claims Adjuster</i>	149
	<i>Problem 2.6 Malpractice Insurer</i>	149
	<i>Problem 2.7 Failure to Report</i>	150
	Shari Seidman Diamond & Neil Vidmar, Jury Room Ruminations on Forbidden Topics.....	151
D.	Pleas in Criminal Cases.....	156
	Focus on FRE 410.....	156
	<i>Problem 2.8 Prosecutor's Offer</i>	156
	<i>United States v. Biaggi</i>	157
	<i>Problem 2.9 Mariotta's Retrial</i>	159
	Notes on Rule 410: <i>Pleas, Plea Discussions, and Related Statements</i>	159
	The Specialized Relevance Rules: <i>Afterthoughts</i>	162
	Chapter 3. Character Evidence.....	165
A.	The Character-Propensity Rule.....	165
	Focus on FRE 404(a)(1).....	165
	Man, Girl Sought in Street Fight Fatal to Youth.....	165
	Girl Wife Held with Husband in Fatal Shooting.....	166
	Zackowitz Goes to Death Chair Week of May 5.....	166
	<i>People v. Zackowitz</i>	167
	<i>People v. Zackowitz: Afterthoughts</i>	171
	The Propensity Box.....	172
	Focus on FRE 404(b).....	176
	Character Evidence Flowchart.....	181
	Miguel A. Méndez, Character Evidence Reconsidered: "People Do Not Seem to be Predictable Characters.".....	182
B.	Routes Around the Box.....	185
	1. Proof of Knowledge.....	185
	<i>Problem 3.1 Hacker</i>	185
	<i>Problem 3.2 Drug Seller</i>	186
	<i>Problem 3.3 Train Crash</i>	186
	2. Proof of Motive.....	187
	Taryn Finley, Cop Who Killed Sam DuBose Was Wearing a Confederate Flag Shirt.....	187
	<i>Problem 3.4 Confederate Flag</i>	187
	<i>Problem 3.5 Rap Lyrics</i>	188
	Livia Albeck-Ripka, A Bill Limits Rap Lyrics as Evidence.....	190
	<i>Problem 3.6 US v. Peltier I</i>	191
	3. Proof of Identity.....	192
	<i>Problem 3.7 US v. Peltier II</i>	192
	<i>Problem 3.8 Lottery Lists</i>	193

	<i>Problem 3.9 Cycling Brochures</i>	193
	United States v. Trenkler	194
	United States v. Stevens	203
	United States v. Stevens: <i>Afterthoughts</i>	210
	<i>Problem 3.10 Misreading Stevens</i>	211
4.	Narrative Integrity (Res Gestae)	211
	<i>Problem 3.11 Russian Roulette</i>	211
	United States v. DeGeorge.....	213
5.	Absence of Accident	216
	<i>Problem 3.12 Cleaning His Gun</i>	216
	<i>Problem 3.13 Cruelty to Dogs</i>	217
	Alan Gathright, Rebuttal OKd if Burnett Testifies That Leo Bit Him.....	217
6.	Doctrine of Chances.....	218
	The Brides Case, Prisoner on Trial at the Old Bailey.	218
	Brides in Baths, Prisoner Sentenced to Death.	220
	Rex v. Smith: <i>Afterthoughts</i>	220
C.	The <i>Huddleston</i> Standard	225
	Huddleston v. United States	225
	<i>Problem 3.14 Past Acquittal</i>	231
D.	Propensity Evidence in Sexual Assault Cases	231
	Focus on FRE 413, 414, & 415.....	231
	Lannan v. State.....	232
	State v. Kirsch.....	238
	<i>Problem 3.15 Common Plan and Domestic Violence</i>	241
	Notes on Rules 413, 414, and 415.....	242
	Floor Statement of the Principal House Sponsor, Representative Susan Molinari, Concerning the Prior Crimes Evidence Rules for Sexual Assault and Child Molestation Cases	243
	Report of the Judicial Conference of the United States on the Admission of Character Evidence in Certain Sexual Misconduct Cases	244
	Sally Quinn, Baggage Check; How Far Should We Go?	246
	Katharine K. Baker, Once a Rapist? Motivational Evidence and Relevancy in Rape Law	247
	United States v. Guardia.....	250
	United States v. Guardia: <i>Postscript</i>	254
	United States v. Mound	256
	United States v. Mound: <i>Postscript</i>	257
E.	Proof of the Defendant's and the Victim's Character	257
	Focus on FRE 404(a)(2)(A), 404(a)(2)(B), & 405(a).....	257
	Michelson v. United States.....	257
	Michelson v. United States: <i>Afterthoughts</i>	266

Focus on FRE 405(b)	270
Distinguishing Proof of Character Under Rule 405(b)	270
<i>Problem 3.16 “I Wouldn’t Shoot Anybody”</i>	272
<i>Problem 3.17 Character of Victim I</i>	273
<i>Problem 3.18 Character of Victim II</i>	273
<i>Problem 3.19 Character of Victim III</i>	274
F. Evidence of Habit	275
Focus on FRE 406	275
Halloran v. Virginia Chemicals Inc.	275
Halloran v. Virginia Chemicals Inc.: <i>Afterthoughts</i>	277
<i>Problem 3.20 Steroids</i>	279
Chapter 4. Impeachment and Character for Truthfulness	281
A. Modes of Impeachment	281
Impeachment Evidence Flowchart.....	284
B. Impeachment by Opinion, Reputation, and Cross-Examination About Past Lies	285
Focus on FRE 404(a)(3), 607, & 608.....	285
United States v. Whitmore	285
H. Richard Uviller, Credence, Character, and the Rules of Evidence: Seeing Through the Liar’s Tale	290
<i>Problem 4.1 Bar Fight</i>	292
Character and Credibility: Study Guide (Part I)	293
<i>Problem 4.2 Lawyer’s Lies</i>	298
Jonathan D. Glater, Character to Be a Major Issue in Tyco Trial.....	298
C. Impeachment with Past Convictions	299
Focus on FRE 609	299
1. The History and Theory of Rule 609	299
Rule 609 and Defendant Testimony: <i>Historical Prelude</i>	299
Anna Roberts, Conviction by Prior Impeachment	303
Theodore Eisenberg & Valerie P. Hans, Taking a Stand on Taking the Stand: The Effect of a Prior Criminal Record on the Decision to Testify and on Trial Outcomes.....	306
2. Rule 609 in Force	309
<i>Problem 4.3 Impeachment Modes</i>	309
United States v. Brewer	309
Character and Credibility: Study Guide (Part II)	314
<i>Problem 4.4 Weighing Tests</i>	322
<i>Problem 4.5 Meter Fixing</i>	322
Rule 609: <i>Case Notes on Appellate Review</i>	323
Roselle L. Wissler & Michael J. Saks, On the Inefficacy of Limiting Instructions: When Jurors Use Prior Conviction Evidence to Decide on Guilt	328

D.	Rehabilitation	331
	<i>Problem 4.6 Rehabilitating the Witness</i>	331
	Character and Credibility: Study Guide (Part III).....	332
	<i>Problem 4.7 Bolstering</i>	334
E.	Use of Extrinsic Evidence	335
	<i>Problem 4.8 Offering Proof</i>	335
	Character and Credibility: Study Guide (Part IV)	336
	<i>Problem 4.9 Selfish Lies</i>	340
Chapter 5. The Rape Shield Law		343
A.	Historical Backdrop.....	343
	People v. Abbot.....	343
	State v. Sibley	345
	John Henry Wigmore, A Treatise on the Anglo-American System of Evidence in Trials at Common Law	347
B.	The Shield Law	348
	Susan Estrich, Real Rape	348
	Focus on FRE 412.....	351
	Sherry F. Colb, “Whodunit” Versus “What Was Done”: When to Admit Character Evidence in Criminal Cases	351
	Harriett R. Galvin, Shielding Rape Victims in the State and Federal Courts: A Proposal for the Second Decade	353
C.	The Law in Force	355
1.	Other Sexual Behavior or Sexual Predisposition	355
	<i>Problem 5.1 Marriage and Sexuality</i>	355
2.	Explaining the Source of Physical Evidence	357
	<i>Problem 5.2 Images</i>	357
3.	Past Sexual Behavior with the Accused	358
	<i>Problem 5.3 Emails</i>	358
4.	Past Allegedly False Accusations	359
	State v. Smith.....	359
	<i>Problem 5.4 Smith on Remand</i>	362
	<i>Problem 5.5 Old Accusation</i>	363
	<i>Problem 5.6 Sexting, Texting</i>	364
5.	404(b)—Style Uses of Evidence of Past Sexual Behavior.....	365
a.	Proof of Bias	366
	Olden v. Kentucky	366
	<i>Problem 5.7 Bad Trouble</i>	367
	Olden v. Kentucky: <i>Afterthoughts</i>	368
b.	Narrative Integrity (Res Gestae).....	371
	Stephens v. Miller.....	371
	<i>Problem 5.8 Retrying Stephens</i>	378

c.	Defendant’s State of Mind.....	378
	United States v. Knox.....	378
	United States v. Knox: <i>Afterthoughts</i>	381
6.	A Glance at Civil Cases	385
	<i>Problem 5.9 Jones v. Clinton</i>	385
	Neil A. Lewis, President’s Accuser May Be Questioned on Her Sexual Past.....	385

UNIT II. RELIABILITY

Chapter 6. Competency of Witnesses	389
Historical Prelude: <i>Rules of Witness Competency and the Clash Between North and South</i>	389
Focus on FRE 601, 602, 603, & 610.....	396
Competency Rules Today	396
Chapter 7. The Rule Against Hearsay	399
A. Historical Prelude.....	399
The Trial of Sir Walter Raleigh, Knt. at Winchester, for High Treason (1603).....	399
B. Defining Hearsay.....	401
1. The Basic Rule.....	401
Focus on FRE 801(a)–(c) & 802	401
Hearsay: <i>An Introduction</i>	401
Hearsay Evidence Flowchart.....	407
Problems.....	408
<i>Problem 7.1 Affidavit</i>	408
<i>Problem 7.2 Gesture</i>	409
<i>Problem 7.3 Quoting Herself</i>	409
<i>Problem 7.4 Blood Test</i>	409
<i>Problem 7.5 Boasts</i>	410
<i>Problem 7.6 Ineffective Assistance</i>	410
<i>Problem 7.7 Declarations</i>	411
2. Defining Assertions	412
Judson F. Falknor, The “Hear-Say” Rule as a “See-Do” Rule: Evidence of Conduct	412
<i>Problem 7.8 Ship Inspection</i>	415
<i>Problem 7.9 Amchitka Holiday</i>	415
The Nature of Assertions	416
Hearsay Quiz.....	426
3. Exceptions to the Hearsay Rule: An Introduction.....	429
C. Opposing Parties’ Statements	431
1. The Party’s Own Words.....	433
Focus on FRE 801(d)(2)(A).....	433

	<i>Problem 7.10 Billables</i>	433
	<i>Problem 7.11 He Said She Said</i>	433
2.	Adoptive Admissions.....	434
	Focus on FRE 801(d)(2)(B)	434
	<i>Problem 7.12 Currency Seizure Report</i>	434
	<i>Problem 7.13 Buddies</i>	435
	<i>Problem 7.14 Jailhouse Meeting</i>	436
3.	Statements of Agents	437
	Focus on FRE 801(d)(2)(C) & (D)	437
	Pet Wolf Bites Boy in U. City	437
	Mahlandt v. Wild Canid Survival & Research Center, Inc.....	438
	<i>Problem 7.15 Shovel and Bucket</i>	442
4.	Coconspirators' Statements	443
	Focus on FRE 801(d)(2)(E) & 104(a).....	443
	Bourjaily v. United States.....	443
	Bourjaily v. United States: <i>Afterthoughts</i>	448
	<i>Problem 7.16 Translation</i>	452
D.	Past Statements of Witnesses and Past Testimony	453
1.	Introduction	453
	Past Statements of Witnesses and Past Testimony Chart.....	454
	Edmund M. Morgan, Hearsay Dangers and the Application of the Hearsay Concept.....	455
2.	Inconsistent Statements Offered to Impeach.....	457
	Focus on FRE 613	457
	United States v. Barrett	457
	<i>Problem 7.17 Stop Sign</i>	460
	<i>Problem 7.18 Fine Watches</i>	462
	United States v. Ince	463
	<i>Problem 7.19 Why Such Excitement?</i>	468
	Miranda and Silence	468
	Miranda and Silence	469
3.	Inconsistent Statements Offered Substantively	475
	Focus on FRE 801(d)(1)(A).....	475
	<i>Problem 7.20 Mother Says</i>	475
4.	Past Consistent Statements	476
	Focus on FRE 801(d)(1)(B)	476
	Tome v. United States.....	476
5.	Statements of Identification	485
	Focus on FRE 801(d)(1)(C)	485
	National Research Council, Identifying the Culprit: Assessing Eyewitness Testimony	485
	<i>Problem 7.21 Composite Sketch</i>	488

	United States v. Owens	489
	<i>Problem 7.22 Accident or Abuse?</i>	493
E.	Hearsay Exceptions Under Rule 804: “Declarant Unavailable”	494
1.	Past Testimony	494
	Focus on FRE 804(a) and 804(b)(1).....	494
	Volland-Golden v. City of Chicago	494
	<i>Problem 7.23 Pretrial Testimony</i>	502
2.	Statements Against Interest	503
	Focus on FRE 804(b)(3).....	503
	<i>Problem 7.24 Ask Magnolia</i>	504
	Williamson v. United States	504
	<i>Problem 7.25 Alice’s Restaurant</i>	509
	<i>Problem 7.26 Accomplices</i>	510
	<i>Problem 7.27 “Bucky Wasn’t Involved”</i>	511
3.	Dying Declarations	512
	Focus on FRE 804(b)(2).....	512
	William Shakespeare: <i>On Truth and Dying</i>	512
	<i>Problem 7.28 Clyde Mattox</i>	513
	<i>Problem 7.29 Suicide Note</i>	513
	Shepard v. United States	514
	Focus on FRE 806	516
	Shepard v. United States: <i>Afterthoughts</i>	516
	Bryan A. Liang, Shortcuts to “Truth”: The Legal Mythology of Dying Declarations.....	518
4.	Forfeiture by Wrongdoing	519
	Focus on FRE 804(b)(6).....	519
	United States v. Gray	519
	Rule 804(b)(6): <i>Forfeiture by Wrongdoing</i>	523
F.	Exceptions Under Rule 803: Declarant’s Availability Immaterial	525
1.	Present Sense Impressions and Excited Utterances	526
	Focus on FRE 803(1) & (2).....	526
	<i>Problem 7.30 Dog Mauling I</i>	526
	Jaxon Van Derbeken, Dog-Mauling Judge OKs Testimony	526
	<i>Problem 7.31 Dog Mauling II</i>	527
	United States v. Boyce	528
	Timothy T. Lau, Reliability of Present Sense Impression Hearsay Evidence.....	529
	Justin Peters, Was George Zimmerman’s 911 Call a Clever Piece of Propaganda?	531
2.	Statements of Then-Existing Condition	533
	Focus on FRE 803(3).....	533
	Mutual Life Insurance Co. v. Hillmon	533
	<i>Problem 7.32 Kidnapper</i>	536

	Shepard v. United States.....	537
	Mutual Life Insurance Co. v. Hillmon: <i>Historical Postscript</i>	539
3.	Statements for Medical Diagnosis or Treatment	548
	Focus on FRE 803(4).....	548
	<i>Problem 7.33 Elder Abuse</i>	548
	State v. Smith.....	549
	<i>Problem 7.34 Twisted Arm</i>	558
	Melody R. Herbst et al., Young Children’s Understanding of the Physician’s Role and the Medical Hearsay Exception.....	559
	<i>Problem 7.35 Food Poisoning</i>	561
4.	Refreshing Memory and Recorded Recollections.....	562
	Focus on FRE 803(5) & 612.....	562
	<i>Problem 7.36 License Plate</i>	562
	Johnson v. State	563
5.	Business Records.....	568
	Focus on FRE 803(6) & (7).....	568
	Palmer v. Hoffman: <i>Historical Prelude</i>	568
	Palmer v. Hoffman.....	572
	<i>Problem 7.37 Lawn Mower</i>	575
	United States v. Vigneau.....	576
6.	Public Records and Reports.....	580
	Focus on FRE 803(8) & (10).....	580
	Beech Aircraft Corp. v. Rainey	580
	Police Reports and Business Records.....	587
G.	Residual Exception.....	592
	Focus on FRE 807.....	592
	New Courthouse, Badly Damaged by Fire This Morning—Dome Burned Off	592
	Dallas County v. Commercial Union Assurance Co.	592
	Dallas County v. Commercial Union Assurance Co.: <i>Afterthoughts</i>	597
	Rule 807 and Demise of the “Near-Miss” Theory	599
	Chapter 8. Confrontation and Compulsory Process	603
A.	The Confrontation Clause and Hearsay	603
	Mattox v. United States.....	603
	Confrontation Clause and Hearsay: <i>Case Notes</i>	605
	I. The <i>Mattox</i> Era	606
	II. The <i>Roberts</i> Era.....	606
	III. The <i>Crawford</i> Era.....	610
	Crawford v. Washington	611
	Crawford v. Washington: <i>The Court’s Contested Originalism</i>	624
	Robert Tharp, Domestic Violence Cases Face New Test.....	630
	Crawford v. Washington: <i>Afterthoughts</i>	631
	Post- <i>Crawford</i> Confrontation Caselaw	632

Whorton v. Bockting: <i>Case Note</i>	634
Giles v. California: <i>Case Note</i>	635
<i>Problem 8.1 Forfeiture Motion</i>	641
Michigan v. Bryant	642
<i>Problem 8.2 "Ralph Nesbitt"</i>	657
<i>Problem 8.3 Dealing in Progress</i>	659
Bullcoming v. New Mexico	661
Brian Ballou & Andrea Estes, 'I Messed Up Bad. It's My Fault,' Chemist Says.....	671
<i>Problem 8.4 Via Skype</i>	673
Williams v. Illinois: <i>Case Note</i>	673
Ohio v. Clark: <i>Case Note</i>	683
Focus on FRE 106	688
Hemphill v. New York: <i>Case Note</i>	688
Firm Footholds and the Confrontation Flowchart	689
Hearsay and Confrontation Flowchart.....	691
<i>Problem 8.5 Deliver After Death</i>	693
Carrie Antlfinger, Court Considers Letter Predicting Death.....	693
<i>Problem 8.6 Medical Records</i>	694
<i>Problem 8.7 DOVE Interview</i>	695
The Confrontation Frontier: <i>Surveying the Future of the Crawford</i> <i>Doctrine</i>	697
<i>Crawford</i> and Its Progeny: <i>Have They Helped or Hurt Criminal</i> <i>Defendants?</i>	703
The Trial of Sir Walter Raleigh: <i>Historical Postscript</i>	708
B. The <i>Bruton</i> Doctrine	709
<i>Bruton</i> v. United States	711
<i>Problem 8.8 "Dog O' War"</i>	718
Jaxon Van Derbeken, Witness Links Attorneys to Prison Gang Testimony in Dog-Mauling Trial	718
Gray v. Maryland	719
<i>Problem 8.9 "He, She, or They"</i>	726
C. Compulsory Process.....	728
Jack Nelson, Supreme Court to Rule on Mississippi Shootout	728
John P. MacKenzie, Confession Case Reverses Usual Roles	729
<i>Chambers</i> v. Mississippi.....	730
<i>Chambers</i> v. Mississippi: <i>Historical Postscript</i>	736
<i>Holmes</i> v. South Carolina: <i>Case Note</i>	742
Chapter 9. Lay Opinions and Expert Testimony	747
A. Lay Opinions	747
Focus on FRE 701	747
<i>Problem 9.1 Despondently</i>	747
<i>Problem 9.2 How Old?</i>	747

	Lay Opinions: <i>An Introduction</i>	748
	<i>Problem 9.3 White Powder</i>	750
	United States v. Ganier	751
	United States v. Ganier: <i>Afterthoughts</i>	755
	<i>Problem 9.4 Hieroglyphics</i>	758
B.	Expert Testimony	759
1.	Who Qualifies as an Expert?.....	760
	Focus on FRE 702	760
	<i>Problem 9.5 Horticulturist</i>	760
	Brian Richardson, Man Says He Helped Bring Drugs to State	760
	United States v. Johnson	761
	The Proof of the Pudding.....	763
	Jinro America, Inc. v. Secure Investments, Inc.....	763
	<i>Problem 9.6 Drug Argot</i>	767
2.	(Im)proper Topics of Expert Testimony	768
	Focus on FRE 702(a) & 704.....	768
a.	Matters of Common Knowledge.....	768
	<i>Problem 9.7 Confusion?</i>	768
	<i>Problem 9.8 Housing Ads</i>	769
	<i>Problem 9.9 Slip and Fall</i>	770
b.	Opinions on Law and Opinions on Ultimate Issues.....	771
	<i>Problem 9.10 Defendant's Intent</i>	771
	Hygh v. Jacobs	772
c.	Opinions on Witness Credibility	776
	<i>Problem 9.11 Officers' Truthfulness</i>	776
	<i>Problem 9.12 Rap Lyrics = Truth?</i>	777
	State v. Batangan	778
	State v. Batangan: <i>Afterthoughts</i>	781
d.	Opinions on Eyewitness Identification	783
	State v. Guilbert	783
	<i>Problem 9.13 Street Corner Stickup</i>	792
3.	Proper Bases of Expert Opinion Testimony	794
	Focus on FRE 702(b), 703, & 705	794
	Rule 703: <i>An Introduction</i>	794
	In re Melton: <i>Case Note</i>	798
	<i>Problem 9.14 Rwandan Roadblock</i>	802
	<i>Problem 9.15 Doctor's Note</i>	803
4.	Assessing the Reliability of Expert Scientific Testimony.....	804
a.	The Doctrine.....	804
	Frye v. United States	804
	Daubert v. Merrell Dow Pharmaceuticals, Inc.	805
	Daubert v. Merrell Dow: <i>Afterthoughts</i>	813
	Gina Kolata, Judge Rules Breast Implant Evidence Invalid	822

	Susan Haack, An Epistemologist in the Bramble-Bush: At the Supreme Court with Mr. Joiner	824
b.	A Focus on Polygraph Evidence	826
	D. Michael Risinger, Navigating Expert Reliability: Are Criminal Standards of Certainty Being Left on the Dock?	827
	United States v. Scheffer: <i>Case Note</i>	829
	Martha J. Farah et al., Functional MRI-based Lie Detection: Scientific and Societal Challenges.....	833
	United States v. Semrau.....	841
	<i>Problem 9.16 Polygraph Consent II</i>	850
	Henry T. Greely, Mind Reading, Neuroscience, and the Law	851
5.	Assessing the Reliability of Non-Scientific Expertise.....	853
a.	The Doctrine	853
	Kumho Tire Company v. Carmichael.....	853
	Rule 702: <i>Public Comments on 2000 Amendment</i>	861
	<i>Problem 9.17 Hedonics</i>	862
b.	A Focus on Syndrome Evidence.....	863
	Kenneth J. Weiss & Alisa R. Gutman, Testifying about Trauma: A Call for Science and Civility	863
	Tardif v. City of New York.....	867
	William M. Grove & R. Christopher Barden, Protecting the Integrity of the Legal System: The Admissibility of Testimony from Mental Health Experts Under Daubert/Kumho Analyses	873
	<i>Problem 9.18 Dueling Doctors</i>	877
	<i>Problem 9.19 Separation Violence</i>	877
6.	Assessing the Reliability of the Forensic Sciences	879
	The Fate of the Forensic Sciences	879

Chapter 10. Authentication, Identification, and the “Best Evidence”

	Rule	903
A.	Authentication and Identification	903
	Focus on FRE 901 & 902	903
	Introduction.....	903
1.	Documents	906
	<i>Problem 10.1 “To Send Money”</i>	906
	<i>Problem 10.2 Handwriting Opinion</i>	907
	United States v. Stelmokas.....	908
	<i>Problem 10.3 Anonymous Note</i>	911
2.	Text Messages and Phone Calls	912
	<i>Problem 10.4 Delo’s Texts</i>	912

State v. Benton	913
<i>Problem 10.5 Calling Small</i>	917
3. Photographs, Videotapes, and Internet Images	918
State v. Luke	918
United States v. Oreckinto	925
<i>Problem 10.6 Posting While Driving</i>	930
<i>Problem 10.7 Serge Animation</i>	931
B. The “Best Evidence Rule”	932
Focus on FRE 901 & 902	932
Introduction	932
<i>Problem 10.8 Perjury Trial</i>	935
<i>Problem 10.9 Alice’s Restaurant II</i>	936
Seiler v. Lucasfilm: <i>Prequel</i>	937
Seiler v. Lucasfilm	940
<i>Problem 10.10 GPS Data</i>	942
United States v. Jackson	944

UNIT III. PRIVILEGES

Chapter 11. Privileges: General Principles	949
A. Rule 501’s Origins and Application	949
Focus on FRE 501	949
Rule 501: <i>Historical Prelude</i>	949
Focus on Proposed FRE 504	953
Jaffee v. Redmond	953
<i>Problem 11.1 Relayed Threats</i>	961
In re: Grand Jury Subpoena, Judith Miller	962
Adam Liptak, Reporter Jailed After Refusing to Name Source	974
Noam N. Levey, House Extends Law to Protect Reporters	975
In re: Grand Jury Subpoena, Judith Miller: <i>Postscript</i>	978
B. Witnesses’ Privileges vs. Defendants’ Need for Evidence	978
<i>Problem 11.2 Right Meets Privilege</i>	978
Focus on Proposed FRE 506 & 511	979
Devlin Barrett et al., Jail Notes Led to Revelation	979
Jim Dwyer, In Court, a Priest Reveals a Secret He Carried for 12 Years	980
Morales v. Portuondo	981
Morales v. Portuondo: <i>Afterthoughts</i>	989
Chapter 12. The Lawyer–Client Privilege and the Privilege Against Self- Incrimination	993
Jeremy Bentham, Rationale of Judicial Evidence	993
A. Scope of the Lawyer–Client Privilege	994
Common Elements of the Professional Privileges	994

1.	The Nature of Legal Services.....	996
	Focus on Proposed FRE 503.....	996
	People v. Gionis.....	996
2.	Maintaining Confidentiality	1002
	Focus on FRE 502	1002
	<i>Problem 12.1 Eavesdropped</i>	1002
	<i>Problem 12.2 Dumpster Diving</i>	1003
	Williams v. District of Columbia	1004
	<i>Problem 12.3 Clawing Back</i>	1010
	Mark Herrmann, Inside Straight.....	1012
	Barry R. Temkin, Errant E-Mail	1013
	Eileen Libby, The “Oops” Factor	1014
3.	Defining Communications: Source of Fees and Client’s Identity...	1015
	<i>Problem 12.4 Unknown Driver</i>	1017
	<i>Problem 12.5 Stolen Typewriter</i>	1018
	<i>Problem 12.6 Counterfeit Bill</i>	1019
	<i>Problem 12.7 Handwriting</i>	1020
4.	Duration of the Privilege.....	1020
	Michael Isikoff, Foster Was Shopping for Private Lawyer, Probers Find	1020
	Swidler & Berlin v. United States	1021
B.	The Crime-Fraud Exception.....	1028
	<i>Problem 12.8 Custody Dispute</i>	1028
	United States v. Zolin.....	1029
C.	Government Lawyers.....	1035
	In Re: Grand Jury Investigation [Rowland]	1035
	<i>Problem 12.9 Ex-Governor’s Claim</i>	1041
D.	The Lawyer–Client Privilege and the Privilege Against Self- Incrimination.....	1041
1.	General Principles	1041
	A Guide Through the Doctrinal Thicket.....	1041
	Act of Production.....	1046
	<i>Problem 12.10 Guilty Knowledge</i>	1047
	<i>Problem 12.11 Spell Check</i>	1048
	<i>Problem 12.12 “I Consent”</i>	1049
2.	The Problem of Use Immunity	1050
	Use and Transactional Immunity	1050
	United States v. Hubbell	1051
	United States v. Hubbell: <i>Afterthoughts</i>	1058
Chapter 13. Familial Privileges.....		1061
A.	The Marital Privileges.....	1061
1.	The Spousal Testimonial Privilege	1061
	Tilton v. Beecher.....	1061

Focus on Proposed FRE 505.....	1061
Trammel v. United States	1062
Sam Howe Verhovek, Athlete and Legal Issue on Trial.....	1067
The Two Marital Privileges: <i>Overview</i>	1069
2. The Marital Confidences Privilege	1075
<i>Problem 13.1 Sham Marriage?</i>	1075
<i>Problem 13.2 Office Emails</i>	1077
<i>Problem 13.3 Kitchen Counter Note</i>	1078
United States v. Rakes	1079
<i>Problem 13.4 Marital Hearsay</i>	1083
B. A Parent–Child Privilege?	1084
In re Grand Jury Proceedings	1084
Conclusion. Evidence Law and Jury Mistrust	1095
INDEX.....	1105