

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PREFACE	III
TABLE OF CASES	XXI
Chapter 1. Nature and Scope of Judicial Review	1
1. Origins, Early Challenges, and Continuing Controversy	1
Marbury v. Madison	1
Further Historical Context	9
Warren—The Supreme Court in United States History	9
Text of the Constitution	10
Van Alstyne—A Critical Guide to <i>Marbury v. Madison</i>	10
The Oath	10
Gibson— <i>Eakin v. Raub</i>	10
The Court as “Final” Arbiter	11
Jefferson—Writing	11
Jackson—Veto Message	11
Lincoln—Inaugural Address	11
<i>Cooper v. Aaron</i>	12
Martin v. Hunter’s Lessee	13
2. Political Questions	17
<i>Baker v. Carr</i>	17
Nixon v. United States	18
<i>Zivotofsky v. Clinton</i>	22
Rucho v. Common Cause	23
3. Discretionary Review	30
United States Supreme Court Rules	31
Rule 10. Considerations Governing Review on Writ of Certiorari	31
Maryland v. Baltimore Radio Show, Inc.	31
Chapter 2. National Legislative Power	33
1. Sources and Nature	33
Introduction	33
McCulloch v. Maryland	34
<i>United States v. Comstock</i>	38
2. The National Commerce Power	39
I. Development of Basic Concepts	39
Gibbons v. Ogden	39
Champion v. Ames [The Lottery Case]	42
Houston, East & West Texas Ry. v. United States [Shreveport Case]	43

II.	Regulation of National Economic Problems	45
A.	Limitations on the Commerce Power Through 1936.....	45
	Hammer v. Dagenhart	45
	The New Deal vs. The Great Depression	46
	<i>Schechter Poultry Corp. v. United States</i>	46
	<i>Carter v. Carter Coal Co.</i>	47
B.	Expansion of Commerce Power After 1936.....	47
	<i>NLRB v. Jones & Laughlin Steel Corp.</i>	48
	United States v. Darby	48
	Local Activities Affecting Commerce.....	51
	<i>Wickard v. Filburn</i>	51
	<i>Maryland v. Wirtz</i>	52
	<i>Perez v. United States</i>	52
III.	Protection of Other Interests Through the Commerce Clause	53
	Heart of Atlanta Motel, Inc. v. United States	53
	<i>Katzenbach v. McClung</i>	54
IV.	New Limitations in the 21st Century	55
	United States v. Morrison	55
	Gonzales v. Raich	65
	National Federation of Independent Business v.	
	Sebelius	70
3.	The National Taxing and Spending Powers	77
I.	Regulation Through Taxing.....	78
	<i>National Federation of Independent Business v. Sebelius</i>	78
II.	Regulation Through Spending.....	81
	South Dakota v. Dole	81
	<i>Sabri v. United States</i>	84
	<i>National Federation of Independent Business v. Sebelius</i>	84
4.	Foreign Affairs Power	88
I.	Treaties as a Source of Legislative Power	88
	<i>Missouri v. Holland</i>	88
	<i>Bond v. United States</i>	89
5.	Applying National Powers to State Governments: Intergovernmental	
	Immunities.....	90
I.	Origins of Immunities	90
	<i>McCulloch v. Maryland</i>	90
II.	State Immunity from Federal Regulation	91
	<i>Maryland v. Wirtz</i>	91
	<i>National League of Cities v. Usery</i>	91
	Garcia v. San Antonio Metropolitan Transit Authority	92
	Printz v. United States	95
	<i>Reno v. Condon</i>	101
	<i>Murphy v. Nat'l Collegiate Athletic Ass'n</i>	102

Chapter 3. Distribution of Federal Powers: Separation of Powers	103
1. Presidential Action Affecting Congressional Powers	103
I. Internal Matters: Domestic Lawmaking	103
Youngstown Sheet & Tube Co. v. Sawyer [The Steel Seizure Case]	103
<i>Dames & Moore v. Regan</i>	109
<i>Medellin v. Texas</i>	111
II. External Matters: Foreign Affairs and War	112
United States v. Curtiss-Wright Export Corp.	112
<i>Zivotofsky v. Kerry</i>	114
2. Congressional Action Affecting Presidential Powers	116
I. Delegation of Rulemaking Power	116
<i>Yakus v. United States</i>	116
<i>Whitman v. American Trucking Assn's, Inc.</i>	116
<i>United States v. Mead</i>	117
<i>West Virginia v. Environmental Protection Agency</i>	117
II. Legislative and Line Item Vetoes	118
INS v. Chadha	118
<i>Process Gas Consumers Group v. Consumer Energy Council of America</i>	122
Clinton v. New York	122
III. Appointment and Removal of Officers	125
<i>Myers v. United States</i>	125
<i>Humphrey's Executor v. United States</i>	125
<i>Buckley v. Valeo</i>	126
<i>Bowsher v. Synar</i>	127
Morrison v. Olson	129
<i>Mistretta v. United States</i>	132
<i>Metropolitan Washington Airports Auth. v. Citizens for Abatement of Airport Noise</i>	133
<i>Free Enterprise Fund v. Public Company Accounting Oversight Board</i>	134
<i>Seila Law LLC v. Consumer Financial Protection Bureau</i>	135
<i>Collins v. Yellen</i>	137
<i>United States v. Arthrex, Inc.</i>	137
<i>NLRB v. Canning</i>	138
3. Executive Privilege and Immunity	139
<i>United States v. Nixon</i>	139
<i>Nixon v. Fitzgerald</i>	141
<i>Clinton v. Jones</i>	142
<i>Trump v. Vance</i>	144
<i>Trump v. Mazars USA, LLP</i>	147
Chapter 4. State Power to Regulate	151
Introduction	151
<i>Crosby v. National Foreign Trade Council</i>	151

1.	State Regulation When Congress's Power Is "Dormant": History and Fundamental Issues.....	152
	<i>Gibbons v. Ogden</i>	152
	<i>Willson v. Black-Bird Creek Marsh Co.</i>	154
	Cooley v. Board of Wardens	154
2.	Basic Doctrinal Principles and Their Application.....	155
	<i>Wyoming v. Oklahoma</i>	156
	<i>Pike v. Bruce Church, Inc.</i>	157
	I. Statutes That Discriminate on Their Faces Against Interstate Commerce.....	157
	Baldwin v. G.A.F. Seelig, Inc.	157
	<i>Dean Milk Co. v. Madison</i>	159
	Philadelphia v. New Jersey	160
	<i>Maine v. Taylor</i>	163
	<i>Sporhase v. Nebraska</i>	163
	II. Congressional Authorization of State Regulation	164
	<i>Prudential Ins. Co. v. Benjamin</i>	164
	<i>Metropolitan Life Ins. Co. v. Ward</i>	165
	III. Discriminatory Statutes That Favor Governmental Rather than Private Entities	166
	United Trash Haulers Ass'n v. Oneida-Herkimer Solid Waste Management Auth.	166
	<i>Department of Rev. v. Davis</i>	170
	IV. Statutes That Do Not Discriminate on Their Faces but Nevertheless Burden Interstate Commerce	171
	<i>Breard v. Alexandria</i>	172
	<i>Hunt v. Washington State Apple Advertising Comm'n</i>	173
	National Pork Producers Council v. Ross	175
	<i>South Dakota v. Wayfair, Inc.</i>	184
	V. Subsidies and Linkages.....	184
	<i>New Energy Co. of Ind. v. Limbach</i>	184
	West Lynn Creamery, Inc. v. Healy	185
3.	The State as a Market Participant.....	189
	Reeves, Inc. v. Stake	189
	<i>South-Central Timber Development, Inc. v. Wunnicke</i>	192
4.	Interstate Privileges and Immunities Clause	193
	United Building & Construction Trades Council v. Mayor of Camden	194
	<i>McBurney v. Young</i>	197
	Chapter 5. Substantive Protection of Economic Interests	199
	Introduction.....	199
1.	Origins of Substantive Due Process.....	199
	I. Early Expressions of the Notion That Governmental Authority Has Implied Limits.....	200
	<i>Calder v. Bull</i>	200
	<i>Barron v. Mayor and City Council of Baltimore</i>	201

	<i>Fletcher v. Peck</i>	202
	<i>Dred Scott v. Sandford</i>	202
II.	Fourteenth Amendment	203
	Slaughter-House Cases	203
2.	The <i>Lochner</i> Era	209
I.	The Road to <i>Lochner</i>	209
	<i>Allgeyer v. Louisiana</i>	209
	Lochner v. New York	210
II.	Three Decades of Control over Legislative Policy	215
	<i>Muller v. Oregon</i>	215
	<i>Bunting v. Oregon</i>	215
	<i>Adair v. United States</i>	215
	<i>Coppage v. Kansas</i>	215
	<i>Epic Systems Corp. v. Lewis</i>	216
	<i>Adkins v. Children’s Hospital</i>	216
3.	The Abandonment of <i>Lochner</i>	217
	Nebbia v. New York	217
	<i>West Coast Hotel Co. v. Parrish</i>	220
	Footnote 4 of the <i>Carolene Products Case</i>	220
	<i>United States v. Carolene Products Co.</i>	220
	The 1940s, 50s and 60s: A Far Cry from <i>Lochner</i>	221
	<i>Olsen v. Nebraska</i>	221
	<i>Lincoln Federal Labor Union v. Northwestern Iron & Metal</i> <i>Co.</i>	222
	<i>Williamson v. Lee Optical of Oklahoma, Inc.</i>	222
	<i>Ferguson v. Skrupa</i>	223
	Punitive Damages Constraints	223
	<i>BMW of North America, Inc. v. Gore</i>	223
	<i>State Farm Mut. Auto Ins. Co. v. Campbell</i>	224
	<i>Philip Morris v. Williams</i>	224
	<i>Browning-Ferris Industries of Vermont v. Kelco Disposal, Inc.</i>	225
4.	Other Limits on Economic Legislation: The Prohibition Against “Taking” “Private Property” Without Just Compensation	225
	<i>Penn Central Transp. Co. v. New York City</i>	226
	<i>Cedar Point Nursery v. Hasid</i>	226
	<i>Lucas v. South Carolina Coastal Council</i>	227
	<i>Stop the Beach Renourishment, Inc. v. Florida Dept. of</i> <i>Environmental Protection</i>	227
	<i>Murr v. Wisconsin</i>	227
	<i>Eastern Enterprises v. Apfel</i>	229
	Kelo v. New London	229
	Laws “Impairing the Obligation of Contracts”	232
	<i>Home Building & Loan Ass’n v. Blaisdell</i>	232
	<i>United States Trust Co. v. New Jersey</i>	233
	<i>Allied Structural Steel Co. v. Spannaus</i>	234

Chapter 6. Protection of Individual Rights: Due Process, the Bill of Rights, and Unenumerated Rights	237
1. Applicability of the Bill of Rights to the States; Nature and Scope of Fourteenth Amendment Due Process	237
I. Incorporation Theories	237
Adamson v. California	238
<i>Duncan v. Louisiana</i>	241
II. Is the Bill of Rights Incorporated “Jot-for-Jot”?	243
III. Twenty-First Century Extensions of Jot-for-Jot Incorporation	243
<i>Timbs v. Indiana</i>	244
<i>Ramos v. Louisiana</i>	244
IV. Bodily Extractions	246
<i>Rochin v. California</i>	246
<i>Breithaupt v. Abram</i>	248
<i>Schmerber v. California</i>	248
<i>Winston v. Lee</i>	249
2. Reproductive Freedom	249
Introductory Note on the Right of “Privacy”	249
<i>Buck v. Bell</i>	250
<i>Skinner v. Oklahoma</i>	250
Griswold v. Connecticut	251
<i>Poe v. Ullman</i>	254
<i>Eisenstadt v. Baird</i>	259
Roe v. Wade	260
<i>Doe v. Bolton</i>	264
The Court Reaffirms “the Essential Holding of <i>Roe</i> ”	264
Planned Parenthood of Southeastern Pennsylvania v. Casey	264
The Court Overrules <i>Roe</i> and <i>Casey</i>	272
Dobbs v. Jackson Women’s Health Org.	272
3. Right to Die.....	295
Washington v. Glucksberg	295
<i>Vacco v. Quill</i>	302
4. Sexual Liberty	303
Bowers v. Hardwick	303
Lawrence v. Texas	306
5. Family Life and Marriage.....	315
I. Family Composition and Living Quarters	315
<i>Belle Terre v. Boraas</i>	315
<i>Moore v. East Cleveland</i>	316
II. Marriage.....	318
<i>Loving v. Virginia</i>	318
<i>Zablocki v. Redhail</i>	318
<i>Turner v. Safley</i>	320
<i>United States v. Windsor</i>	321
Obergefell v. Hodges	321

6.	The Right to Keep and Bear Arms	334
	District of Columbia v. Heller	334
	McDonald v. City of Chicago	342
	New York State Rifle & Pistol Ass’n, Inc. v. Bruen	350
Chapter 7. Freedom of Expression and Association		367
1.	The Scope and Strength of the First Amendment	367
	I. Advocacy of Illegal Action	368
	A. Emerging Principles	368
	Schenck v. United States	368
	<i>Debs v. United States</i>	370
	<i>Masses Publishing Co. v. Patten</i>	372
	Justice Holmes—Dissenting in Abrams v. United States	374
	<i>United States v. Alvarez</i>	377
	B. State Seditious Laws.....	377
	<i>Gitlow v. New York</i>	377
	Whitney v. California	380
	C. Communism and Illegal Advocacy	385
	Dennis v. United States	385
	<i>Yates v. United States</i>	397
	<i>United States v. Spock</i>	398
	D. A Modern “Restatement”	398
	Brandenburg v. Ohio	398
	<i>Hess v. Indiana</i>	401
	II. Reputation and Privacy.....	403
	A. Group Libel	403
	<i>Beauharnais v. Illinois</i>	403
	B. Public Officials and Seditious Libel.....	406
	New York Times Co. v. Sullivan	406
	<i>Curtis Pub. Co. v. Butts</i>	413
	<i>Associated Press v. Walker</i>	413
	C. Private Individuals and Public Figures	414
	Gertz v. Robert Welch, Inc.	414
	<i>Time, Inc. v. Firestone</i>	422
	D. Emotional Distress	422
	<i>Hustler Magazine v. Falwell</i>	422
	<i>Snyder v. Phelps</i>	424
	E. Disclosure of Private Facts	431
	Florida Star v. B.J.F.	431
	<i>Bartnicki v. Vopper</i>	437
	<i>Eldred v. Ashcroft</i>	442
	III. Obscenity.....	443
	A. The Search for a Rationale.....	443
	Paris Adult Theatre I v. Slaton	444
	<i>Kingsley Int’l Pictures Corp. v. Regents</i>	448

	B.	A Revised Standard	449
		Miller v. California	449
	C.	Vagueness and Overbreadth: An Overview	458
IV.		“Fighting Words,” Offensive Words and Hostile Audiences	461
	A.	Fighting Words	461
		<i>Chaplinsky v. New Hampshire</i>	461
	B.	Hostile Audiences	463
		<i>Terminiello v. Chicago</i>	463
		<i>Feiner v. New York</i>	463
	C.	Offensive Words.....	466
		Cohen v. California	466
V.		Commercial Speech	471
		Virginia State Board of Pharmacy v. Virginia Citizens	
		Consumer Council	471
		<i>Ohralik v. Ohio State Bar Ass’n</i>	477
		<i>Central Hudson Gas & Elec. Corp. v. Public Serv.</i>	
		<i>Comm’n</i>	478
		Lorillard Tobacco Co. v. Reilly	478
		<i>Expressions Hair Design v. Schneiderman</i>	485
2.		The Problem of Content Regulation.....	487
		<i>Chicago Police Dept. v. Mosley</i>	487
		R.A.V. v. St. Paul	488
		<i>Wisconsin v. Mitchell</i>	500
		<i>Virginia v. Black</i>	501
		<i>Counterman v. Colorado</i>	507
		<i>Matal v. Tam</i>	509
		<i>Iancu v. Brunetti</i>	510
I.		“Hate Speech” and the <i>Skokie</i> Controversy	511
		<i>Collin v. Smith</i>	511
		United States v. O’Brien	512
		Texas v. Johnson	518
		<i>United States v. Eichman</i>	526
		<i>Clark v. Community for Creative Non-Violence</i>	527
		<i>Arcara v. Cloud Books, Inc.</i>	532
		<i>Cohen v. Cowles Media Co.</i>	534
		Reed v. Gilbert	534
		<i>City of Austin v. Reagan National Advertising</i>	546
3.		Government Property and the Public Forum	547
		<i>Hague v. C.I.O.</i>	548
I.		Foundation Cases	548
	A.	Mandatory Access	548
		<i>Schneider v. Irvington</i>	548
		<i>Cox v. New Hampshire</i>	550
		<i>Heffron v. International Soc. for Krishna Consciousness</i>	550
		<i>Ward v. Rock Against Racism</i>	550
		International Society for Krishna Consciousness,	
		Inc. v. Lee	551

	Lee v. International Society for Krishna Consciousness, Inc.	559
	<i>Lehman v. Shaker Heights</i>	560
	<i>Burson v. Freeman</i>	560
	<i>Minnesota Voters Alliance v. Mansky</i>	561
II.	Privacy and the Public Forum	563
	Hill v. Colorado	563
	<i>McCullen v. Coakley</i>	571
	<i>Packingham v. North Carolina</i>	572
4.	New Categories?	573
I.	Harm to Children and the Overbreadth Doctrine	574
	<i>New York v. Ferber</i>	574
	<i>Ashcroft v. Free Speech Coalition</i>	578
	<i>United States v. Williams</i>	581
II.	Harm to Women: Feminism and Pornography	581
	<i>American Booksellers Ass'n V. Hudnut</i>	581
III.	Animal Cruelty and the Flight from (and to) New Categories	585
	<i>United States v. Stevens</i>	585
IV.	Violent Video Games	589
	<i>Brown v. Entertainment Merchants Ass'n</i>	590
V.	Stolen Valor and the Problem of Non-Defamatory Falsity	596
	<i>United States v. Alvarez</i>	597
VI.	"Indecent" or "Near Obscene" Speech	604
	<i>Renton v. Playtime Theatres, Inc.</i>	604
	<i>Boos v. Barry</i>	607
	<i>Los Angeles v. Alameda Books</i>	608
	<i>Barnes v. Glen Theatre, Inc.</i>	609
	<i>Erie v. Pap's A.M.</i>	609
VII.	Private Speech	611
	<i>Dun & Bradstreet, Inc. v. Greenmoss Builders, Inc.</i>	611
5.	Prior Restraints	613
I.	Foundation Cases	614
A.	Licensing	614
	<i>Lovell v. Griffin</i>	614
	<i>Watchtower Bible & Tract Society v. Stratton</i>	614
B.	Injunctions	615
	Near v. Minnesota	615
	<i>Walker v. Birmingham</i>	617
II.	Prior Restraints and National Security	618
	New York Times Co. v. United States [The Pentagon Papers Case]	618
	<i>United States v. Progressive, Inc.</i>	624
6.	Justice and Newsgathering	626
I.	Publicity About Trials	626
	<i>Sheppard v. Maxwell</i>	626
	<i>Nebraska Press Ass'n v. Stuart</i>	627

II.	Newsgathering.....	628
A.	Protection of Confidential Sources	628
	Branzburg v. Hayes	628
B.	Access to Trials and Other Governmentally Controlled Information and Institutions	634
	Richmond Newspapers, Inc. v. Virginia	634
7.	Government Speech	638
I.	Subsidies of Speech	638
	<i>Rust v. Sullivan</i>	639
	<i>Rosenberger v. University of Virginia</i>	639
	<i>Pleasant Grove City v. Summum</i>	640
	Walker v. Texas Division, Sons of Confederate Veterans, Inc.	641
	<i>Shurtleff v. City of Boston</i>	649
	<i>National Institute of Family and Life Advocates v. Becerra</i>	651
II.	Government as Educator and Editor	653
	Tinker v. Des Moines School District	653
	<i>Morse v. Frederick</i>	657
	Hazelwood School District v. Kuhlmeier	657
	Mahanoy Area School District v. B. L.	661
III.	Government as Employer	670
	<i>Garcetti v. Ceballos</i>	671
8.	The Electronic Media	674
I.	Access to the Mass Media	674
	<i>Miami Herald Pub. Co. v. Tornillo</i>	674
	<i>Red Lion Broadcasting Co. v. FCC</i>	675
	<i>Arkansas Educational Television Comm'n v. Forbes</i>	677
II.	The Electronic Media and Content Regulation	678
	FCC v. Pacifica Foundation	678
	<i>Sable Communications v. FCC</i>	685
	<i>Reno v. American Civil Liberties Union</i>	685
9.	The Right Not to Speak, the Right to Associate, and the Right Not to Associate	686
I.	The Right Not to Be Associated with Particular Ideas	686
	<i>West Virginia State Bd. of Educ. v. Barnette</i>	686
	<i>Wooley v. Maynard</i>	687
	<i>Pruneyard Shopping Center v. Robins</i>	688
	<i>Hurley v. Irish-American Gay, Lesbian and Bisexual Group of Boston</i>	689
	<i>303 Creative LLC v. Elenis</i>	690
	<i>NAACP v. Claiborne Hardware Co.</i>	691
	<i>Janus v. American Federation of State, County, and Municipal Employees, Council 31</i>	692
	<i>McIntyre v. Ohio Elections Comm'n</i>	694
II.	Intimate Association and Expressive Association.....	695
	<i>Roberts v. United States Jaycees</i>	695
	<i>Boy Scouts of America v. Dale</i>	697

10.	Wealth, Equality, and the Political Process	699
	Buckley v. Valeo	699
	<i>Randall v. Sorrell</i>	710
	<i>McCutcheon v. FEC</i>	712
	Citizens United v. FEC	712
	<i>McConnell v. FEC</i>	723
	<i>FEC v. Wisconsin Right to Life, Inc.</i>	723
	<i>Williams-Yulee v. Florida Bar</i>	724
	Chapter 8. Freedom of Religion	725
1.	The Establishment Clause	725
	I. Introduction	725
	II. Aid to Religion	727
	<i>Everson v. Board of Educ.</i>	727
	<i>Walz v. Tax Comm'n</i>	729
	<i>Lemon v. Kurtzman</i>	729
	<i>Mitchell v. Helms</i>	730
	Carson v. Makin	731
	III. Religion in the Public Schools	738
	Wallace v. Jaffree	738
	<i>McCullum v. Board of Educ.</i>	743
	<i>Zorach v. Clauson</i>	744
	<i>Stone v. Graham</i>	744
	<i>Epperson v. Arkansas</i>	744
	<i>Edwards v. Aguillard</i>	745
	<i>Board of Educ. v. Mergens</i>	745
	<i>Good News Club v. Milford Central School</i>	746
	Kennedy v. Bremerton School District	746
	IV. Official Acknowledgment of Religion	756
	Allegheny County v. ACLU	756
	<i>McCreary County v. ACLU</i>	765
	<i>Van Orden v. Perry</i>	767
	<i>American Legion v. American Humanist Ass'n</i>	773
	<i>Lee v. Weisman</i>	774
	<i>Greece v. Galloway</i>	776
	<i>Elk Grove Unified School Dist. v. Newdow</i>	778
	<i>Capitol Square Review & Advisory Board v. Pinette</i>	779
	<i>Salazar v. Buono</i>	781
2.	The Free Exercise Clause and Related Statutory Issues	782
	I. Conflict with State Regulation	782
	<i>Reynolds v. United States</i>	782
	<i>Cantwell v. Connecticut</i>	783
	<i>West Virginia State Bd. of Educ. v. Barnette</i>	783
	Hobbie v. Unemployment Appeals Comm'n	783
	<i>Jimmy Swaggart Ministries v. Board of Equal.</i>	785
	<i>United States v. Lee</i>	786
	<i>Gillette v. United States</i>	786

	<i>Johnson v. Robison</i>	786
	<i>Bob Jones Univ. v. United States</i>	786
	<i>Lyng v. Northwest Indian Cemetery Protective Ass'n</i>	787
	Employment Division v. Smith	788
	<i>Church of the Lukumi Babalu Aye, Inc. v. Hialeah</i>	795
	<i>Locke v. Davey</i>	795
	<i>Trinity Lutheran Church of Columbia, Inc. v. Comer</i>	797
	<i>Espinoza v. Montana Department of Revenue</i>	798
	<i>Hosanna-Tabor Evangelical Lutheran Church and School v.</i> <i>Equal Employment Opportunity Comm'n</i>	800
	<i>Masterpiece Cakeshop, Ltd. v. Colorado Civil Rights</i> <i>Commission</i>	802
	<i>Fulton v. City of Philadelphia</i>	803
	<i>South Bay Pentecostal Church v. Newsom</i>	805
	<i>Tandon v. Newsom</i>	805
	Trump v. Hawaii	807
II.	Non-Mainstream Religious Beliefs and Practices	815
	<i>United States v. Ballard</i>	815
	<i>Torcaso v. Watkins</i>	816
	<i>United States v. Seeger</i>	816
3.	Preference Among Religions	817
	<i>Board of Educ. of Kiryas Joel v. Grumet</i>	817
	<i>Larkin v. Grendel's Den, Inc.</i>	818
	<i>Jones v. Wolf</i>	819
	<i>Larson v. Valente</i>	820
4.	Conflict Between the Clauses.....	820
	Corporation of the Presiding Bishop of the Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-Day Saints v. Amos	820
	<i>Welsh v. United States</i>	824
	<i>Thomas v. Review Bd.</i>	824
	<i>Texas Monthly, Inc. v. Bullock</i>	825
	<i>Thornton v. Caldor, Inc.</i>	825
	<i>Cutter v. Wilkinson</i>	826
	Chapter 9. Equal Protection	827
1.	Race and Ethnic Ancestry.....	829
	I. Pre-Civil War Historical Background	829
	<i>Dred Scott v. Sandford</i>	829
	II. Discrimination Against Racial and Ethnic Minorities: Early Cases Under the Civil War Amendments	835
	<i>Strauder v. West Virginia</i>	837
	Plessy v. Ferguson	839
	Korematsu v. United States	841
	<i>Trump v. Hawaii</i>	846
	III. Discrimination Against Racial and Ethnic Minorities: Modern Cases	846
	Brown v. Board of Education	846

	<i>Bolling v. Sharpe</i>	850
	Brown v. Board of Education (II)	851
	Loving v. Virginia	852
	<i>Palmore v. Sidoti</i>	855
	<i>Johnson v. California</i>	855
IV.	Racially Discriminatory Enforcement of the Laws	856
	Yick Wo v. Hopkins	856
	<i>United States v. Armstrong</i>	856
V.	History of School Desegregation.....	857
	<i>Cooper v. Aaron</i>	857
	<i>Griffin v. County School Bd.</i>	858
	<i>Green v. County School Bd.</i>	858
	<i>Swann v. Charlotte-Mecklenburg Bd. of Educ.</i>	859
	<i>Oklahoma City Bd. of Educ. v. Dowell</i>	860
	Parents Involved in Community Schools v. Seattle	
	School Dist.	861
2.	“Traditional” Approach: “Rational Basis” Review	871
	Railway Express Agency v. New York	872
	New Orleans v. Dukes	874
	<i>New York City Transit Auth. v. Beazer</i>	876
	<i>United States Dept. of Agriculture v. Moreno</i>	878
3.	Further Issues Involving Race	879
I.	De Jure vs. De Facto Discrimination	880
	Washington v. Davis	880
	<i>Personnel Administrator v. Feeney</i>	884
	<i>Arlington Heights v. Metropolitan Housing Dev. Corp.</i>	887
II.	Affirmative Action	889
	<i>Regents of Univ. of California v. Bakke</i>	890
	<i>Grutter v. Bollinger</i>	895
	Students for Fair Admissions, Inc. v. President and	
	Fellows of Harvard College	897
	<i>Adarand Constructors, Inc. v. Pena</i>	911
4.	Discriminations Based on Sex	912
I.	Defining the Level of Scrutiny.....	912
	<i>Reed v. Reed</i>	913
	<i>Frontiero v. Richardson</i>	913
	<i>Craig v. Boren</i>	915
	United States v. Virginia	916
II.	Differences—Real and Imagined.....	924
	<i>Geduldig v. Aiello</i>	924
	<i>Michael M. v. Superior Court</i>	925
	<i>Nguyen v. INS</i>	928
	<i>Sessions v. Morales-Santana</i>	929
III.	“Benign” or “Remedial” Discrimination	930
	Califano v. Webster	930
	Mississippi Univ. for Women v. Hogan	932

5.	Special Scrutiny for Other Classifications: Doctrine and Debates	935
I.	Sexual Orientation	936
	Romer v. Evans	936
	<i>Lawrence v. Texas</i>	942
	<i>Obergefell v. Hodges</i>	944
	<i>Bostock v. Clayton County</i>	945
II.	Noncitizens.....	946
A.	State Discriminations Against Documented Immigrants.....	946
	<i>Graham v. Richardson</i>	946
	<i>Sugarman v. Dougall</i>	946
	Ambach v. Norwick	947
	<i>Plyler v. Doe</i>	949
B.	Federal Discriminations Against Noncitizens	949
	<i>Mathews v. Diaz</i>	949
C.	A Note on Noncitizens' Constitutional Rights Other than Equal Protection	950
III.	Other Challenged Bases for Discrimination	951
	<i>City of Cleburne v. Cleburne Living Center, Inc.</i>	951
	<i>Mathews v. Lucas</i>	952
	<i>Clark v. Jeter</i>	953
	<i>Massachusetts Bd. of Retirement v. Murgia</i>	953
	<i>James v. Valtierra</i>	955
6.	Fundamental Rights	955
I.	Voting	956
A.	Denial or Qualification of the Right	956
	<i>Harper v. Virginia State Bd. of Elecs.</i>	956
	Kramer v. Union Free School District	958
	<i>Crawford v. Marion County Elec. Bd.</i>	960
B.	“Dilution” of the Right: Apportionment	962
	Reynolds v. Sims	962
C.	“Dilution” of the Right: Issues Involving Race	966
	Mobile v. Bolden	967
	<i>Rogers v. Lodge</i>	972
	Shaw v. Reno	973
	Cooper v. Harris	978
D.	“Dilution” of the Right: Partisan Gerrymanders	982
	<i>Rucho v. Common Cause</i>	983
E.	Equality in the Counting and Recounting of Votes	983
	Bush v. Gore	983
II.	Travel	987
	Saenz v. Roe	988
III.	Welfare and Education.....	993
	<i>Dandridge v. Williams</i>	993
	San Antonio Ind. School Dist. v. Rodriguez	996
	<i>Plyler v. Doe</i>	1003

Chapter 10. The Concept of State Action	1007
1. Introduction	1007
Civil Rights Cases	1008
2. “Government Function”	1010
I. Electoral Functions: The “White Primary” Cases	1010
<i>Smith v. Allwright</i>	1010
<i>Terry v. Adams</i>	1011
II. Municipal Functions: Privately Owned Spaces Open to the Public	1012
<i>Marsh v. Alabama</i>	1012
III. Refusals to Find “Governmental Function”	1012
Jackson v. Metropolitan Edison Co.	1012
<i>Manhattan Community Access Corp. v. Halleck</i>	1015
Flagg Bros., Inc. v. Brooks	1016
3. State “Involvement”	1020
I. State Enforcement.....	1020
Shelley v. Kraemer	1020
II. Symbiotic Relationships Between the State and Private Entities.....	1022
Burton v. Wilmington Parking Auth.	1022
<i>Lugar v. Edmondson Oil Co.</i>	1024
<i>Edmonson v. Leesville Concrete Co.</i>	1024
III. State Licensing and Authorization	1025
Moose Lodge v. Irvis	1025
IV. State Subsidization and Regulation.....	1028
Rendell-Baker v. Kohn	1028
4. State Failure to Act.....	1030
DeShaney v. Winnebago County Dep’t of Social Serv.	1030
Chapter 11. Congressional Enforcement of Civil Rights	1035
1. Historical Framework	1035
Civil Rights Cases	1036
2. Regulation of Private Persons	1039
I. Thirteenth Amendment	1039
Jones v. Alfred H. Mayer Co.	1039
<i>Runyon v. McCrary</i>	1041
II. Fourteenth Amendment.....	1042
<i>United States v. Morrison</i>	1042
3. Regulation of State Actors	1043
I. Fourteenth Amendment.....	1043
City of Boerne v. Flores	1043
<i>Tennessee v. Lane</i>	1049
II. Fifteenth Amendment	1049
<i>Rome v. United States</i>	1049
Shelby County v. Holder	1050

Chapter 12. Limitations on Judicial Power and Review	1057
1. Standing.....	1057
I. The Structure of Standing Doctrine.....	1057
Allen v. Wright	1057
<i>Friends of the Earth, Inc. v. Laidlaw Environmental Services</i> <i>(TOC), Inc.</i>	1064
<i>Heckler v. Mathews</i>	1064
<i>Clapper v. Amnesty International</i>	1064
<i>Regents of the University of California v. Bakke</i>	1065
<i>California v. Texas</i>	1066
<i>Los Angeles v. Lyons</i>	1066
<i>Uzuegbunam v. Preczewski</i>	1067
II. Congressional Power to Create Standing	1068
Lujan v. Defenders of Wildlife	1069
<i>FEC v. Akins</i>	1073
<i>TransUnion LLC v. Ramirez</i>	1074
III. Taxpayer Standing and Other Status-Based Standing Issues....	1076
<i>Frothingham v. Mellon</i>	1076
<i>Flast v. Cohen</i>	1077
<i>Hein v. Freedom from Religion Foundation, Inc.</i>	1078
<i>Coleman v. Miller</i>	1079
<i>Raines v. Byrd</i>	1080
<i>Arizona State Legislature v. Arizona Independent Redistricting</i> <i>Commission</i>	1080
2. Timing of Adjudication.....	1081
I. Mootness	1081
DeFunis v. Odegaard	1081
<i>Friends of the Earth v. Laidlaw Env. Servs. (TOC), Inc.</i>	1084
<i>United States Parole Comm’n v. Geraghty</i>	1084
II. Ripeness	1085
Appendix A. The Justices of the Supreme Court	1087
Appendix B. The Constitution of the United States	1101