

---

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

AUTHOR'S NOTE FOR THE FIRST EDITION .....	V
EDITING CONVENTIONS AND DISCLAIMERS .....	VII
TABLE OF CASES.....	XVII
TABLE OF AUTHORITIES .....	XXXV
<b>Chapter 1. An Introduction to the Interplay Between Purpose and Text .....</b>	<b>1</b>
Preliminary Note on the Goals of Statutory Interpretation (with Particular Attention to the Relation Between Meaning and Legislative Intent).....	1
Riggs v. Palmer .....	9
Notes and Questions .....	16
23 Stat. 332 (1885) .....	31
Church of the Holy Trinity v. United States.....	33
Notes and Questions .....	42
Note on the <i>Statutes at Large</i> and the <i>United States Code</i> .....	47
United States v. Locke.....	55
Notes and Questions .....	64
Note on Judicial Interpretations of 28 U.S.C. § 1453(c)(1) .....	72
Spivey v. Vertrue, Inc. ....	75
Notes and Questions .....	77
Note on the Case of the Speluncean Explorers.....	81
Note on the Concept of “Rules” and “Standards” .....	91
Jaskolski v. Daniels .....	95
Notes and Questions .....	99
Note on Ambiguity vs. Vagueness in Statutory Language .....	102
<b>Chapter 2. Canons of Construction .....</b>	<b>107</b>
A. Introduction .....	107
B. Canons That Formalize Common Principles of Textual Interpretation.....	110
1. The “Ordinary Meaning” Principle .....	111
2. The Possible Relevance of Terms of Art .....	115
a. Terms with Technical Meanings in Particular Fields .....	115
b. Terms That Have Acquired Prior Meaning in the Law .....	116
3. <i>Noscitur a Sociis</i> .....	117
4. <i>Eiusdem Generis</i> .....	120
5. The Presumption of Consistent Usage .....	123
6. The Presumption Against Superfluity .....	126
7. <i>Expressio Unius Est Exclusio Alterius</i> .....	129
Mission Product Holdings, Inc. v. Tempnology, LLC.....	134
Note .....	139
Yates v. United States .....	140
Notes and Questions .....	158
C. The Rule of Lenity.....	160
Muscarello v. United States .....	167

Notes and Questions .....	179
Note on “Hybrid Civil/Criminal Statute[s]” .....	183
<b>D. Statutes and the Constitution.....</b>	<b>185</b>
1. Separability .....	188
2. The Traditional Saving Canon .....	200
3. The Canon That Favors Avoiding Constitutional Doubts .....	206
NLRB v. Catholic Bishop of Chicago .....	213
Notes and Questions .....	223
<b>E. Clear-Statement and Implied-Limitation Rules.....</b>	<b>229</b>
1. The Presumption Against Extraterritoriality .....	232
Morrison v. National Australia Bank Ltd. ....	240
Notes and Questions .....	256
2. The Presumption Against Retroactivity .....	274
Landgraf v. USI Film Products .....	276
Notes and Questions .....	300
Note on <i>Rivers v. Roadway Express</i> and <i>Lindh v. Murphy</i> .....	309
<b>F. Conflicts Among Canons .....</b>	<b>314</b>
<b>G. The Strange Case of the “Last-Antecedent Rule” .....</b>	<b>319</b>
Lockhart v. United States .....	324
Notes and Questions .....	339
<b>Chapter 3. Legislative History .....</b>	<b>345</b>
<b>A. Introduction.....</b>	<b>345</b>
<b>B. The Rise of Reliance on Legislative History in Statutory Interpretation.....</b>	<b>351</b>
Preliminary Note for <i>United Steelworkers v. Weber</i> .....	359
<i>United Steelworkers v. Weber</i> .....	363
Notes and Questions .....	385
<b>C. Recent Debates About the Use of Legislative History.....</b>	<b>396</b>
1. Constitutional Arguments .....	397
Immigration and Naturalization Service v. Chadha .....	400
Notes and Questions .....	421
Note on <i>Chadha</i> and the Debate over Legislative History .....	425
Office of Legal Policy, U.S. Department of Justice, USING AND MISUSING LEGISLATIVE HISTORY: A RE-EVALUATION OF THE STATUS OF LEGISLATIVE HISTORY IN STATUTORY INTERPRETATION (1989).....	435
Notes and Questions .....	438
2. Nonconstitutional Arguments .....	442
a. Theoretical Objections to the Logic Behind the Use of Legislative History .....	443
(i) The Alleged Incoherence of Collective Intent .....	443
(ii) The Alleged Irrelevance of “Subjective” Intent .....	448
b. Practical Objections That the Use of Legislative History Will Do More Harm than Good .....	453
(i) Objections to the Premise That Using Legislative History Helps Courts Identify Intended Meaning .....	454
(ii) Objections Based on Notice.....	461
(iii) Objections Based on Cost.....	466

---

D. The Hierarchy of Legislative History and Its Interaction with Other Interpretive Tools.....	468
1. The Hierarchy of Types of Legislative History .....	468
2. Interactions Between Legislative History and the Canons.....	478
a. Can Legislative History Overcome the Rule of Lenity?.....	480
b. Can Legislative History Overcome Implied-Limitation Rules? .....	484
c. Can Legislative History Overcome Descriptive Canons for Resolving Ambiguity?.....	489
d. Legislative History and the Presumption Against Absurdity .....	490
3. The Use of Legislative History to Identify “Scrivener’s Errors” (or Other Errors That Courts Might Correct Through Interpretation).....	492
Lamie v. United States Trustee .....	493
Note and Question.....	501
Koons Buick Pontiac GMC, Inc. v. Nigh.....	502
Notes and Questions .....	512
E. When Might Legislative History Bear Only on Expected Applications and Not on “Meaning”? .....	519
Preliminary Note for <i>Boutilier v. INS</i> .....	522
Boutilier v. Immigration and Naturalization Service .....	523
Notes and Questions .....	526
Bostock v. Clayton County .....	534
Notes and Questions .....	571

#### **Chapter 4. Interpreting Statutes in Light of Other Statutes and Past Interpretations .....577**

A. Interpreting Statutes in Light of Past Judicial Decisions About the Same Statutes .....	577
Introductory Note About <i>Stare Decisis</i> .....	577
1. Should <i>Stare Decisis</i> Have Special Force in Statutory Cases? ...	591
Flood v. Kuhn .....	594
Notes and Questions .....	606
Kimble v. Marvel Entertainment, LLC .....	617
Notes and Questions .....	631
2. Theories of Legislative Acquiescence and Ratification.....	633
a. Should the Legislature’s Failure to Override a Prominent Interpretation of a Statute Add to the Precedential Force of That Interpretation? .....	633
b. When the Legislature Reenacts a Statute Without Relevant Change, Is the Legislature Implicitly Codifying Prominent Glosses on the Old Statute? .....	644
c. What if Subsequent Statutes Suggest Approval of a Precedent Without Actually Codifying It? .....	651
B. Interpreting Statutes in Light of Other Statutes (and Past Decisions About Those Other Statutes).....	653
1. The Concept of Statutes <i>In Pari Materia</i> .....	653
Wachovia Bank, N.A. v. Schmidt .....	673

Notes and Questions .....	678
2. “Statutory Usage” .....	683
West Virginia University Hospitals, Inc. v. Casey .....	685
Notes and Questions .....	699
3. The Presumption Against Implied Repeals.....	705
Morton v. Mancari.....	706
Notes and Questions .....	713
Radzanower v. Touche Ross & Co.....	723
Notes and Questions .....	731
Epic Systems Corp. v. Lewis .....	742
Notes and Questions .....	763
4. Statutory Directions About How to Interpret Statutes.....	772
Note on the Dictionary Act, the Revised Statutes, and Title 1 of the United States Code .....	786
Dorsey v. United States .....	796
Notes and Questions .....	810
Clinton v. City of New York .....	814
Notes and Questions .....	829
Note on the Administrative Procedure Act .....	836
Note on <i>Burwell v. Hobby Lobby Stores, Inc.</i> .....	840
<b>Chapter 5. Federal Statutes and Administrative Agencies .....</b>	<b>847</b>
A. Introduction to Federal Administrative Agencies .....	848
B. <i>Chevron</i> Deference .....	855
1. The Basis and Scope of <i>Chevron</i> Deference.....	856
<i>Chevron U.S.A. Inc. v. Natural Resources Defense Council</i> .....	856
Notes and Questions .....	867
Note on the “Major Questions” Doctrine .....	888
<i>West Virginia v. EPA</i> .....	900
Notes and Questions .....	921
2. The Relationship Between <i>Chevron</i> and Other Interpretive Doctrines.....	934
a. <i>Chevron</i> and Textualism vs. Purposivism .....	935
b. <i>Chevron</i> and the Canons.....	937
<i>Babbitt v. Sweet Home Chapter of Communities for a                 Great Oregon</i> .....	945
Notes and Questions .....	968
c. <i>Chevron</i> and Legislative History.....	980
d. <i>Chevron</i> and Judicial <i>Stare Decisis</i> .....	986
C. <i>Auer</i> Deference .....	999
<i>Kisor v. Wilkie</i> .....	1002
Notes and Questions .....	1022
<b>Chapter 6. Federal Statutes and the Federal Structure .....</b>	<b>1027</b>
A. A Sampling of Federalism Canons .....	1027
1. Preserving “the Federal-State Balance” .....	1027
<i>Bond v. United States</i> .....	1028
Notes and Questions .....	1042

---

2. When Should Federal Statutes Be Read to Cover the States Themselves?.....	1045
a. The Presumption Against Reading Federal Statutes to Abrogate State Sovereign Immunity.....	1046
b. Are States “Persons,” and When Do Other General Words in Federal Statutes Reach States? .....	1052
c. Federal Statutes and the Qualifications for Important State Offices.....	1062
Gregory v. Ashcroft .....	1064
Notes and Questions .....	1078
B. Federal Statutes and the Preemption of State Law .....	1087
1. “Express” Preemption .....	1090
a. Do Some Preemption Clauses Cover Only Certain Types of State Law? .....	1092
b. Do Courts Ever Owe <i>Chevron</i> Deference to an Agency’s Interpretation of an Express Preemption Clause? .....	1095
c. Should Interpreters Approach Preemption Clauses with a Presumption Against Preemption? .....	1097
d. Should Courts Use a Special Style of Interpretation for Preemption Clauses? .....	1100
Note on the Preemption Clause in the Airline Deregulation Act of 1978 .....	1104
Northwest, Inc. v. Ginsberg.....	1108
Notes and Questions .....	1114
2. Implied “Field” Preemption .....	1119
3. Conflict Preemption .....	1125
Geier v. American Honda Motor Co.....	1128
Notes and Questions .....	1151
Note on “Obstacle” Preemption .....	1166
C. Federal Statutes and “General” Law .....	1178
1. Interpreting Federal Statutes That Use Terms Familiar to State Law.....	1183
a. The Possibility That a Term in a Federal Statute Might Be a Mere Placeholder for Definitions Supplied by the Law of Individual States.....	1183
Reconstruction Finance Corp. v. Beaver County .....	1184
Notes and Questions .....	1186
b. The Possibility That a Term in a Federal Statute Should Be Given a Uniform Interpretation That Reflects the Statute’s Purposes but Deviates from General Law .....	1192
NLRB v. Hearst Publications, Inc. ....	1193
Notes and Questions .....	1201
c. The Possibility That a Term in a Federal Statute Might Draw Its Meaning from General Law .....	1204
Nationwide Mutual Insurance Co. v. Darden .....	1204
Notes and Questions .....	1208
2. When (and Why) Might General Law Govern Other Questions That a Statute “Federalizes”?.....	1210
Meyer v. Holley .....	1211

Notes and Questions .....	1217
Note on the Details of Federal Rights of Action .....	1223
<b>Chapter 7. Statutes over Time .....</b>	<b>1235</b>
A. Unexpected Applications of an Invariant Directive .....	1236
Commonwealth v. Welosky .....	1239
Notes and Questions .....	1246
B. “Open-Textured” Terms and Phrases .....	1251
In re Erickson.....	1253
Notes and Questions .....	1257
C. Aging Statutes and Imaginative Reconstruction .....	1260
Coda: A Problem from the Copyright Act of 1909 .....	1264
Jerome H. Remick & Co. v. American Automobile Accessories Co. ....	1266
Jerome H. Remick & Co. v. American Automobile Accessories Co. ....	1268
Notes and Questions .....	1269
D. The Importance of <i>When</i> Courts Resolve Indeterminacies .....	1272
1. The Relevance of Current Policy Considerations.....	1272
2. The Relevance of Modern Constitutional Doctrine.....	1273
3. The Relevance of Other Statutes .....	1274
E. The Effect of <i>Stare Decisis</i> .....	1281
F. Static and Dynamic References .....	1284
Jam v. International Finance Corp. ....	1284
Notes and Questions .....	1301
INDEX .....	1309