

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE OF CASES	XV
Chapter 1. Introduction to Transnational Intellectual Property	
Law	1
A. Introduction	1
1. The Paris Convention	1
2. The Berne Convention for the Protection of Literary and Artistic Works	3
3. World Intellectual Property Organization	3
4. WTO/TRIPS	4
5. TRIPS/DOHA Declaration	5
6. TRIPS-Plus	9
7. Intellectual Property and Traditional Knowledge	10
8. Intellectual Property and Social Justice	12
B. How the Book Is Organized	13
Chapter 2. Transnational Patent Law Unit	15
A. General Overview	15
B. U.S. Patent Law	21
1. Overview of Patent Law in the United States	21
2. Materials	24
Association for Molecular Pathology v. Myriad Genetics, Inc.	25
Alice Corp. v. CLS Bank Intern.	32
Group One, Ltd. v. Hallmark Cards, Incorporated	41
Perfect Web Technologies, Inc. v. InfoUSA, Inc.	47
Nautilus, Inc. v. Biosig Instruments, Inc.	56
Chef America, Inc. v. Lamb-Weston, Inc.	63
Absolute Software, Inc. v. Stealth Signal, Inc.	68
Spectralytics, Inc. v. Cordis Corp.	77
Octane Fitness, LLC v. Icon Health & Fitness, Inc.	86
Crocs, Inc. v. International Trade Com'n	91
Global-Tech Appliances, Inc. v. SEB S.A.	103
Limelight Networks v. Akamai Technologies	110
C. European Union Patent Law	116
1. Overview of Patent Law in Europe	116
2. Materials	119
Oliver Brüstle v. Greenpeace e.V., Case C-34/10, EU:C:2011:669	120
Monsanto Technology LLC v. Cefetra BV and Others, Case C-428/08, EU:C:2010:402	135
Court of Justice of the European Union Press Release No. 112/11	148

	Court of Justice of the European Union Press Release No. 24/10.....	150
	In the Patent Nullity Case Concerning European Patent 0 907 364 (DE 697 14 739)	152
	Sanofi v. Actavis (Germany)	165
	European Central Bank v. Document Security Systems Incorporated (USA).....	175
D.	Patent Law in Japan.....	180
	1. Overview of Patent Law in Japan	180
	2. Materials	183
	Judgment Upholding a Decision of Revocation in an Opposition Procedure by the Patent Office, in Which the Recitations of a Claimed Invention Do Not Comply with Statutory Requirement (Support Requirement) In Article 36, Paragraph 1, Item 5 of Patent Law That Was Effective Before the Revision by Law No. 116 of 1994, with Regard to a Particular Mathematical Formulation That Includes Two Technical Variables, or “Parameters”, Each of Which Denotes a Characteristic of an Element of the Claim, Which Is Used in the Claimed Invention	184
	Saikō Saibansho [Supreme Court of Japan] Apr. 11, 2000.....	188
	Judgment on the Case Where Products of Another Person Was Found to Be Within the Technical Scope of the Patented Invention for Being Identical in Construction as Indicated in the Scope of Claim in the Patent Specification	192
	Case of an Appeal Filed for the Principal Action Seeking an Injunction Against Patent Infringement, etc. and an Appeal Filed for the Counterclaim Seeking Damages	197
	The Judgment Concerning Whether or Not the Patent Owner Who Has Granted an Exclusive License Is Allowed to Claim Injunction Based on the Patent Right.....	205
	Judgment upon Case Concerning the Conducting of a Test Needed for the Application of So-Called Following Pharmaceutical Products for the Approval Provided by Art.14 of the Law on Pharmaceutical Business and “Working of a Patented Invention for Testing or Research” as Provided by Art.69, Para.1 of the Patent Law	207
	Patent-Related Proceedings.....	212
	The Japanese Supreme Court’s Decision in Kilby (2000)	214
E.	Patent Law in China	215
	1. Overview of Patent Law in China	215
	2. Materials	220
	Hong Kong Meiyi Metal Products Factory v. Patent Reexamination Board of the Patent Office of China	220
	Xu Wenqing v. The Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences of the State Intellectual Property Office, and Xing Pengwan.....	229

Hubei Wushi Pharmaceuticals Group Co., Ltd. v. Aonuo (China) Pharmaceuticals Co., Ltd. and Wang Junshe	255
Shengzhen Triangle Science & Technology Industrial Co., Ltd. and Ma Xiguang v. Compaq Computer Corp. of the United States	265
Interpretation of the Supreme People's Court on Several Issues Concerning the Application of Law to the Trial of Patent Dispute Cases	270
China Supreme People's Court Judicial Interpretation (2013) No. 9.....	276
Obtaining Patent Rights in China	276
Chapter 3. Transnational Copyright Law Unit	281
A. General Overview.....	281
B. Copyright Law in the United States	288
1. Overview of Copyright Law in the United States	288
2. Materials	295
Feist Publications, Inc. v. Rural Telephone Service Co., Inc.	295
Community for Creative Non-Violence v. Reid	307
American Broadcasting Companies, Inc. v. Aereo	311
Mirage Editions, Inc. v. Albuquerque A.R.T. Co.	322
Lee v. A.R.T. Co.	325
Kirtsaeng v. John Wiley & Sons, Inc.	328
Leadsinger, Inc. v. BMG Music Pub.	340
JCW Investments, Inc. v. Novelty, Inc.	348
Metro-Goldwyn-Mayer Studios Inc. v. Grokster, Ltd.	354
Gaylord v. U.S.	365
C. Copyright Law in the European Union.....	380
1. Overview of Copyright Law in the European Union.....	380
2. Materials	384
Phil Collins v. Imtrat Handelsgesellschaft mbH.....	384
Baigent v. Random House Group Ltd.	396
Turner Entertainment Co. v. Huston, CA Versailles.....	423
Infopaq International A/S v. Danske Dagblades Forening.....	432
Eva-Maria Painer v. Standard Verlags GmbH	446
D. Copyright Law in Japan	464
1. Overview	464
2. Materials	468
Judgments of Intellectual Property High Court, Fourth Division.....	468
Judgment of the First Petit Bench, Date of the Judgment 1997.07.17	470
Judgment of the First Petty Bench, Date of the Judgment 2011.12.08	479

E.	Copyright Law in China	487
1.	Overview	487
2.	Materials	493
	Zhao Jikang v. Qujing Cigarette Plant	494
	Qian Zhongshu and People's Publishing House v. Xu Zhifen and Sichuan Literature & Artspublishing House	496
	The Walt Disney Co., U.S. v. Beijing Publishing Press, etc.	503
	Zhang Linying and Other Three Persons v. Guangyuan Co., Ltd., Chinese Revolutionary Museum and Gongmei Corp. Group	513
	Chapter 4. Transnational Digital Copyright Law Unit	523
A.	Introduction	523
B.	Digital Copyright in the United States	530
1.	Case Materials Outline	536
2.	Materials	537
	Vernor v. Autodesk, Inc.	537
	Advance Magazine Publishers Inc. v. Leach	550
	Viacom Int'l, Inc. v. YouTube, Inc.	557
	A.V. ex rel. Vanderhye v. iParadigms, LLC	574
	The Authors Guild, Inc., et al., Hathitrust, et al.	586
C.	Digital Copyright in the European Union	596
1.	Case Materials Outline	599
2.	Materials	600
	SAS Institute Inc. v. World Programming Ltd.	600
	Peter Pinckney v. KDG Mediatech AG	616
	Scarlet Extended SA v. Société Belge des Auteurs, Compositeurs et éditeurs SCRL (SABAM)	624
D.	Digital Copyright in China	635
1.	Case Materials Outline	638
2.	Materials	639
	Tianli Co. v. Wang Antao	639
	Zhang Chengzhi v. 21 Vianet Communication Technology Co., Ltd.	645
E.	Digital Copyright in Japan	652
1.	Case Materials Outline	654
2.	Materials	654
	K.K. I.C.M. v. K.K. Mets	655
	Case Number 2001 (Ju) No.952 Date of the Judgment 2002.04.25	668
	Case Number 2009 (A) No. 1900 Date of the Decision 2011.12.19	672
	Intellectual Property High Court Case Number 2009 (Ju) 788	682

Chapter 5. Transnational Trademark Law Unit	689
A. General Overview.....	689
B. United States Trademark Law.....	694
1. Trademark Law in the United States.....	694
2. Materials.....	702
Qualitex Co. v. Jacobson Products Co., Inc.....	703
Two Pesos v. Taco Cabana.....	708
E.T. Browne Drug Co. v. Cococare Products, Inc.	712
American Rice Inc. v. Producers Rice Mill Inc.	718
Visa Intern. Service Ass’n v. JSL Corp.	732
Hokto Kinoko Co. v. Concord Farms, Inc.....	737
Tiffany (NJ) Inc. v. eBay Inc.....	746
Newport News Holdings Corp. v. Virtual City Vision, Inc.	760
C. European Union Trademark Law.....	766
1. Trademark Law in the European Union.....	766
2. Materials.....	771
Société des produits Nestlé SA v. Mars UK Ltd. (C-353/03)	772
Leno Merken BV v. Hagelkruis Beheer BV (C-149/11).....	776
Specsavers International Healthcare Ltd. v. Asda Stores Ltd. (C-252/12).....	789
L’Oréal SA v. eBay International AG (C-324/09)	801
Google France SARL, Google Inc. v. Louis Vuitton Malletier SA (C-236/08).....	833
Excerpt from Stylianos Malliaris, Protecting Famous Trademarks: Comparative Analysis of US and EU Diverging Approaches—The Battle Between Legislatures and the Judiciary Who Is the Ultimate Judge?	859
Intel Corporation Inc. v. CPM United Kingdom Ltd. (C-252/07).....	864
Coty Prestige Lancaster Group GmbH v. Simex Trading AG (C-127/09).....	878
D. Japanese Trademark Law.....	887
1. Trademark Law in Japan.....	887
2. Materials.....	893
YakultHonsha Co., Ltd. v. JPO Commissioner.....	893
In re Manhattan Portage Device Mark.....	908
“Palm Spring Polo Club” Case.....	912
Judgment Concerning the Meaning of the Phrase “Provision of Information Concerning Sales of Goods,” Specified in Class 35(iii) of the Appended Table of the Ordinance for Enforcement of the Trademark Act (Prior to the Revision by Ordinance of the Ministry of Economy, Trade and Industry No. 202 of 2001).....	914
Judgment upon Case Concerning the Effect That “Trademarks That Entail the Risk of Confusion with Products or Services Relating to the Business of Another Party” as Referred to in Article 4, Para.1, Subpara.15 of the Trademark Law	

	Include Trademarks That Risk Causing [Confusion] in the Broad Sense of the Term.....	919
	Judgment upon the Case Concerning Lack of Illegality in Parallel Importation as Violation of Trademark Right (February 27, 2003)	923
E.	Chinese Trademark Law	928
1.	Trademark Law in China.....	928
2.	Materials	934
	Ju County Winery v. Wendeng Brewery Factory	934
	Jing Brand Co., Ltd. v. Trademark Appeal Board of the State Administration for Industry and Commerce	938
	Starbucks Corporation and Shanghai President Coffee Corporation v. Shanghai Starbucks Cafe Company Limited and Shanghai Starbucks Cafe Company Limited, Nanjing Road Branch.....	945
	Rugao Printing Machinery Factory v. Yide Company	969
	Chapter 6. Unfair Competition Law Unit.....	975
A.	General Overview.....	975
B.	United States Unfair Competition Law.....	979
1.	Unfair Competition Law in the United States	979
2.	Materials	984
	International News Service v. Associated Press	984
	The Forschner Group, Inc. and Swiss Army Brands, Ltd. v. Arrow Trading Co., Inc.....	1001
	JAR Laboratories LLC v. Great American E & S Insurance Company.....	1017
	Tiffany (NJ) Inc. v. eBay Inc.....	1028
	Dastar Corporation v. Twentieth Century Fox Film Corporation	1037
C.	European Union Unfair Competition Law	1045
1.	Unfair Competition Law in the European Union.....	1045
2.	Materials	1048
	Verein gegen Unwesen in Handel und Gewerbe Köln eV v. Mars GmbH (C-470/93)	1048
	Opinion of Mr Advocate General Tesauro Delivered on 24 October 1991.—Criminal Proceedings Against X.—Reference for a Preliminary Ruling: Tribunal de Grande Instance de Bergerac—France.—Motor Vehicles—Misleading Advertising.—Case C-373/90	1054
	In Case X (Nissan), C-373/90.....	1060
D.	Japanese Unfair Competition Law	1064
1.	Unfair Competition Law in Japan	1064
2.	Materials	1066
	The North Korea Case.....	1066
	The Yomiuri Online Case.....	1072

TABLE OF CONTENTS

xiii

E.	Chinese Unfair Competition Law.....	1077
1.	Unfair Competition Law in China.....	1077
2.	Materials	1079
	Starbucks Corporation and Shanghai President Coffee Corporation v. Shanghai Starbucks Cafe Company Limited and Shanghai Starbucks Cafe Company Limited, Nanjing Road Branch.....	1079
	Hangzhou Wahaha Group Company v. Zhuhai Giant Hi-Tech Group Company	1103
	Beijing Zhongrui Cultural Co. Ltd. v. Beijing Zero Market Investigation & Analysis Co. Ltd.	1106
	INDEX.....	1113